## **Surpporting information**

## In-situ engineered ZnS-FeS heterostructures in N-doping carbon nanocages accelerating polysulfides redox kinetics for lithium sulfur batteries

Wenda Li, Zhijiang Gong, Xiujuan Yan, Dezhu Wang, Jing Liu, Xiaosong Guo, Zhonghua Zhang\* and Guicun Li\*

College of Materials Science and Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China

E-mail: zhangzh@qust.edu.cn; guicunli@qust.edu.cn



Fig. S1. The schemical reaction between mental ion and TA.



Fig. S2. The SEM images of Fe/ZIF-8 with different Fe:Zn ratio (a)-(d) 1:2; (b)-(e) 1:1; (c)-(f) 2:1.



Fig. S3. The XRD patrons of Fe/ZIF-8 samples.



Fig. S4. The XRD patrons of Fe/ZIF-8 and TA-ZIF-8 nanocages.



Fig. S5. The EDS mapping images of ZnS-FeS/NC samples for C and N elements



Fig. S6. The XRD patterns of ZnS/NC and FeS/NC composites.

Table S1.	Co and I	Fe content i	in ZnS	-FeS@NC	sample a	nalvzed b	v ICP technic	ue.
				()			j · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Sample	Zn (wt.%)	Fe (wt.%)
ZnS-FeS@NC	20.3	9.6

Table S2. C and N content in ZnS-FeS@NC sample analyzed by EA technique.

Sample	C (wt.%)	N (wt.%)
ZnS-FeS@NC	44.2	6.4



Fig. S7. The XPS survey of FeS-ZnS/NC samples.



Fig. S8. The SEM images of S@ZnS-FeS/NC composite.



Fig. S9. The XRD pattern of S@ZnS-FeS/NC composite.



Fig. S10. The TGA and DSC curves of the S@ZnS-FeS@NC composite.



Fig. S11. TEM image of the S@ZnS-FeS@NC and the corresponding EDS elemental mapping images.



Fig. S12. Digital photos of the visual Li-S cell with S@ZnS/NC cathode and S@FeS/NC at different time.



Fig. S13. The CV curves of the S@ZnS-FeS/NC cathode for the first five cycles at a scan rate of 0.1 mV

 $s^{-1}$ 



Fig. S14. Fe2p XPS spectrum of ZnS-FeS/NC and ZnS-FeS/NC+Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. S15. N1s XPS spectrum of ZnS-FeS/NC and ZnS-FeS/NC+Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. S16. The band alignment of the ZnS and FeS.



Fig. S17. The charge and discharge profiles at different current densities of S@ZnS/NC and S@FeS/NC composites cathode.

Table S3. Performance comparison between S@ZnS-FeS/NC and sulfur electrodes based on metal sulfides in recent publications.

	1		
1	S@ZnS-FeS/NC	738 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> 4.0 C	This
			work
2	S/CoS <sub>2</sub>	580 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0 C	Ref. <sup>1</sup>
3	S/CuS	568 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 3.0 C	Ref. <sup>2</sup>
4	NiS@C-HS	718 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 4.0 C	Ref. <sup>3</sup>
5	rGO–VS <sub>2</sub> /S	616 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 3.0 C	Ref. <sup>4</sup>
6	MnS nanocrystal decorated N/S codoped graphene	572 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 4.0 C	Ref. <sup>5</sup>
7	S/AHCNS-SnS <sub>2</sub>	717.6 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 2.0 C	Ref. <sup>6</sup>

8	Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> @S	617 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 4.0 C	Ref. <sup>7</sup>
9	NbS <sub>2</sub> @S@I-Doped Graphene	603 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 5.0 C	Ref. <sup>8</sup>
10	C@WS <sub>2</sub> /S	448 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> 3.0 C	Ref. <sup>9</sup>



Fig. S18. The pristine and after discharge cross-sectional SEM image of the sulfur/carbon (a)-

(b) and S@ZnS-FeS/NC (c)-(d) cathodes with low sulfur loading.

## **Supporting Reference**

- 1. J. Zhou, N. Lin, W. I. Cai, C. Guo, K. Zhang, J. Zhou, Y. Zhu and Y. Qian, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **218**, 243-251.
- 2. H. Li, L. Sun, Y. Zhao, T. Tan and Y. Zhang, Appl. Surface Sci., 2019, 466, 309-319.
- C. Ye, L. Zhang, C. Guo, D. Li, A. Vasileff, H. Wang and S.-Z. Qiao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1702524.
- 4. Z. Cheng, Z. Xiao, H. Pan, S. Wang and R. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1702337.
- 5. Z. Li, R. Xu, S. Deng, X. Su, W. Wu, S. Liu and M. Wu, *Appl. Surface Sci.*, 2018, **433**, 10-15.
- 6. X. Li, L. Chu, Y. Wang and L. Pan, Mater. Sci. Eng.: B, 2016, 205, 46-54.
- J. Pu, Z. Shen, J. Zheng, W. Wu, C. Zhu, Q. Zhou, H. Zhang and F. Pan, *Nano Energy*, 2017, 37, 7-14.
- 8. Z. Xiao, Z. Yang, L. Zhang, H. Pan and R. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 8488-8498.

9. T. Lei, W. Chen, J. Huang, C. Yan, H. Sun, C. Wang, W. Zhang, Y. Li and J. Xiong, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, **7**, 1601843.