Supplementary Information

Dual-Phase MoS₂ as a High-Performance Sodium-Ion Battery Anode

Junxiong Wu,^a Jiapeng Liu,^a Jiang Cui,^a Shanshan Yao,^a Muhammad Ihsan-Ul-Haq,^a Nauman

Mubarak,^a Emanuele Quattrocchi,^a Francesco Ciucci,^{*abc} and Jang-Kyo Kim^{*a}

^a Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China.

^b Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong, China

- ^cGuangzhou HKUST Fok Ying Tung Research Institute, China
- * Corresponding author: francesco.ciucci@ust.hk, mejkkim@ust.hk



Figure S1. (a) SEM, (b) low-, and (c) high-magnification TEM and (d) HRTEM images of 2H-MoS₂.



Figure S2. High resolution S 2p (a) and N 1s (b) XPS spectra of DP-MoS₂ and 2H-MoS₂.



Figure S3. (a) N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution curves of DP-MoS₂ and 2H-MoS₂.



Figure S4. Charge/discharge curves of (a) the DP-MoS₂ and (b) 2H-MoS₂ electrodes at current densities varying from 0.1 A g^{-1} to 2 A g^{-1} .



Figure S5. Cyclic performance of the DP-MoS₂ electrode in ether- and ester-based electrolytes.



Figure S6. Cyclic performance of the DP-MoS₂ and 2H-MoS₂ electrodes at 0.5 A g^{-1} in the voltage range from 0.01 to 3 V (vs. Na/Na⁺).



Figure S7. (a) *Ex-situ* XPS of DP-MoS₂ at different potentials; (b) HRTEM images of DP-MoS₂ taken after discharging to 0.4 V in the 100th cycle.



Figure S8. Equivalent circuit used for fitting the EIS data.



Figure S9. Nyquist plots with NL-DDT fit of Na//DP-MoS₂ and Na//2H-MoS₂ batteries.



Figure S10. (a) XRD pattern and (b) SEM image of NVP cathode.



Figure S11. Charge/discharge curves of the DP-MoS₂//NVP full cell at a current density of 0.5 A g^{-1} .

| Electrode | DP-MoS ₂ | 2H-MoS ₂ |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $R_{o}(\Omega)$ | 18.9 | 22.3 |
| $\mathrm{R_{f}}\left(\Omega ight)$ | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| $R_{ct}(\Omega)$ | 13.8 | 31.3 |

Table S1. Impedance parameters predicted from the Nyquist plots using the equivalent circuit shown in Figure S7.

| Material | Preparation method | Electrochemical performance | | Ref. |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------|
| | | Cyclic performance | Rate performance | |
| DP-MoS ₂ | Solvothermal | 220 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2A g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles | 255 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 220 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | Current work |
| 1T MoS ₂ /GF | Solvothermal | 313 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.05 A g ⁻¹ after 200 cycles | 208 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 175 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | S1 |
| 1T-MoS ₂ | Li ⁺ intercalation assisted exfoliation | 324 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ after 200 cycles | 301 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 253 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0A g ⁻¹ | S2 |
| MXene | HF etching | \sim 140 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.02 A g ⁻¹ after 100 cycles | 113 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 90 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | S3 |
| Expanded graphite | Two-step oxidation- reduction | ${\sim}180$ mAh g $^{-1}$ at 0.1 A g $^{-1}$ | 184 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.1 mA g ⁻¹ ; 91 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.2 mA g ⁻¹ | S4 |
| MoS ₂ –PEO composite | Exfoliation- restacking | 148 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.05 A g ⁻¹ after 70 cycles | 127 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.5 A g ⁻¹ ; 112 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0A g ⁻¹ | S5 |
| MoS ₂ /C | Hydrothermal and calcination | \sim 120 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ after 800 cycles | 125 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 100 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | S6 |
| MoS _{2x} Se _x /GF | Hydrothermal and calcination | \sim 165 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.2 A g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles | 180 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 175 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | S7 |
| VO-MoS ₂ /N- RGO | Solvothermal | 245 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ after 1300 cycles | 248 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.0 A g ⁻¹ ; 243 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.0 A g ⁻¹ | S8 |

Table S2. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of published SIB anode against the current work

References

- [S1] X. Geng, Y. Jiao, Y. Han, A. Mukhopadhyay, L. Yang, and H. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1702998.
- [S2] D. Sun, D. Huang, H. Wang, G.-L. Xu, X. Zhang, R. Zhang, Y. Tang, D. A. EI-Hady, W. Alshitari, and A. S. AL-Bogami, *Nano Energy*, 2019, **61**, 361-369.
- [S3] X. Wang, S. Kajiyama, H. Iinuma, E. Hosono, S. Oro, I. Moriguchi, M. Okubo, and A. Yamada, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 6544.
- [S4] Y. Wen, K. He, Y. Zhu, F. Han, Y. Xu, I. Matsuda, Y. Ishii, J. Cumings, and C. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 4033.
- [S5] Y. Li, Y. Liang, F. C. Robles Hernandez, H. Deog Yoo, Q. An, and Y. Yao, Nano Energy, 2015, 15, 453-461.
- [S6] R. Wang, S. Wang, X. Peng, Y. Zhang, D. Jin, P. K. Chu, and L. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2017, 9, 32745-32755
- [S7] G. Jia, D. Chao, N. H. Tiep, Z. Zhang, and H. J. Fan, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2018, 14, 136-142.
- [S8] P. Li, J. Y. Jeong, B. Jin, K. Zhang, and J. H. Park, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1703300.