Supplementary Information

Rational design of Cu-Co thiospinel ternary sheet arrays for high

efficient electrocatalytic water splitting

Nan Zang^a, Zexing Wu^c, Jie Wang^{b,*}, Wei Jin^{a,*}

^a School of Chemical and Material Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, 214122, China.

^b College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao, 266109, China.

^c State Key Laboratory Base of Eco-chemical Engineering, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science & Technology, 53 Zhengzhou Road, Qingdao, 266042, China.

* Corresponding author.



Fig. S1 The XRD patterns of $FeS_2/CoFe_2O_4$ (a) and $CuS/CuFeS_2$ (b) samples.



Fig. S2 The XRD patterns of $CuCo_2S_4$ TSA obtained at 120 °C and 180 °C.



Fig. S3 The SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of $CuCo_2S_4$ TSA obtained at 120 °C; the SEM (c) and TEM (d) images of $CuCo_2S_4$ TSA obtained at 180 °C.



Fig. S4 SEM image of ternary $CuCo_2S_4$ TSA and the corresponding EDS mapping of Cu, Co, S elemental distribution.



Fig. S5 The full range XPS spectra of CuCo₂S₄ TSA, FeS₂/CoFe₂O₄ and CuS/CuFeS₂ samples.



Fig. S6 High-resolution XPS spectra of the Fe 2p for FeS₂/CoFe₂O₄ and CuS/CuFeS₂ samples.



Fig. S7 (a) OER polarization curves of Co-S, Cu-S and Fe-S and the corresponding Tafel plots (b).



Fig. S8 OER (a) and HER (b) polarization curves of CuCo₂S₄ TSA and CuCo₂S₄ without carbon felt.



Fig. S9 (a) HER polarization curves of Co-S, Cu-S and Fe-S and the corresponding Tafel plots (b).



Fig. S10 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) CuS/CuFeS₂ and (b) $FeS_2/CoFe_2O_4$ with a potential window

from 1.1 to 1.2 V at different scan rates in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S11 Electrical equivalent circuit models for fitting the EIS response on the binary electrodes,

where R_s is the solution resistance, R_{ct} represents the charge transfer resistance.



Fig. S12 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) CuS/CuFeS₂ and (b) FeS₂/CoFe₂O₄ with a potential window

from -0.1 to 0 V at different scan rates in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S13 LSV curves of $CuCo_2S_4$ nanoarrays electrode at the initial potential cycle and after 5000 and 10000 cycles.

Electrolyte	Overpotential (mV)	Tafel slope	Ref
	@ 10 mA cm ⁻²	(mV dec⁻¹)	
1.0 M KOH	210	43.8	This work
1.0 M KOH	330	75.9	This work
1.0 M KOH	310	86	1
1.0 M KOH	350	55	2
1.0 M KOH	367	40	3
1.0 M KOH	430	61	4
1.0 M KOH	324	43	5
1.0 M KOH	389	61.57	6
1.0 M KOH	260	40.1	7
1.0 M KOH	290	67	8
1.0 M KOH	340	49	9
1.0 M KOH	240	55	10
1.0 M KOH	273	66	11
0.1 M KOH	321	58	12
1.0 M KOH	306	72	13
1.0 M KOH	260	/	14
	Electrolyte 1.0 M KOH 1.0 M KOH	Electrolyte Overpotential (mV) @ 10 mA cm ⁻² 1.0 M KOH 210 1.0 M KOH 330 1.0 M KOH 310 1.0 M KOH 350 1.0 M KOH 367 1.0 M KOH 367 1.0 M KOH 430 1.0 M KOH 324 1.0 M KOH 389 1.0 M KOH 260 1.0 M KOH 240 1.0 M KOH 240 1.0 M KOH 273 0.1 M KOH 321 1.0 M KOH 326 1.0 M KOH 260	Electrolyte Overpotential (mV) Tafel slope @ 10 mA cm ⁻² (mV dec ⁻¹) 1.0 M KOH 210 43.8 1.0 M KOH 330 75.9 1.0 M KOH 310 86 1.0 M KOH 350 55 1.0 M KOH 367 40 1.0 M KOH 367 40 1.0 M KOH 324 43 1.0 M KOH 324 43 1.0 M KOH 260 40.1 1.0 M KOH 290 67 1.0 M KOH 340 49 1.0 M KOH 240 55 1.0 M KOH 240 55 1.0 M KOH 273 66 0.1 M KOH 321 58 1.0 M KOH 306 72 1.0 M KOH 306 72

Table S1. Comparative electrochemical OER performances of different electrocatalytic materials

 in alkaline medium.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	Overpotential	Tafel	Ref
		(mV)	slope	
		@ 10 mA cm ⁻²	(mV dec ⁻¹)	
CuCo ₂ S ₄	1.0 M KOH	69	55.4	This
				work
FeS₂@C	1.0 M KOH	195	127	15
Nb ₂ Se ₉ 3	0.5M H ₂ SO ₄	160	63.7	16
V-Ni ₂ P NSAs/CC	1.0 M KOH	85	95	17
Al-Ni ₂ P/TM	1.0 M KOH	129	98	18
3% CoS ₂ -7% CuS	1.0 M KOH	85	46	19
NiCo ₂ S ₄ /Ni ₃ S ₂ /Ni	1.0 M KOH	119	105.2	20
NiCo ₂ S ₄ /CC	1.0 M KOH	263	141	21
CoS ₂ HNSs	1.0 M KOH	290	100	22
Ni ₃ FeN/carbon cloth	1.0 M KOH	105	61	23
$MoS_2 - Ni_3S_2$	1.0 M KOH	98	61	24
Heteronanorod/NF				
CoP nanowire/carbon cloth	1.0 M KOH	110	129	25
Zn-Co-S/CFP	1.0 M KOH	234	109	26

Table S2. Comparative electrochemical HER performances of different electrocatalytic materials

 in alkaline medium.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	Overall voltage (V)	Ref
		@ 10 mA cm ⁻²	
CuCo ₂ S ₄	1.0 M KOH	1.48	This work
NiCo ₂ S ₄ @NiFe LDH/ NF	1.0 M KOH	1.6	27
EG/Co _{0.85} Se/NiFe LDH	1.0 M KOH	1.67	28
Ni ₂ P	1.0 M KOH	1.63	29
CoFe LDH-F	1.0 M KOH	1.63	30
Ni _{2.5} Co _{0.5} Fe/NF	1.0 M KOH	1.62	31
NiCoFe LTH/CC	1.0 M KOH	1.55	32
NiS/NF	1.0 M KOH	1.64	33
Ni ₃ Se ₂	1.0 M KOH	1.65	34
CoMnO@CN	1.0 M KOH	1.8	35
NiFe LDH/NiO/Ni-CNT	1.0 M KOH	1.5	36
NiFeOx	1.0 M KOH	1.7	37

Table S3. Comparative electrochemical overall water splitting performances of different

 electrocatalytic materials in alkaline medium.

Calculations:

Exchange current density $(i_{ex}) = RT/nFA\Theta$

Where, R is the universal gas constant (8.314J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), T reaction temperature (298 K), n is the number of electrons, F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), Θ is resistance (calculated from EIS), and A is area (1 cm⁻²).

Catalysts	OER	HER	
	mA cm ⁻²	mA cm ⁻²	
CuCo ₂ S ₄	18.3	13.7	
FeS ₂ /CoFe ₂ O ₄	16.1	11.01	
CuS/CuFeS ₂	13.7	6.7	

Table S4 *I_{ex}* values for different electrocatalytic materials.

References

- 1. M. Chauhan, K. P. Reddy, C. S. Gopinath and S. Deka, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 5871-5879.
- 2. Q. Li and S. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **29**, 178-197.
- 3. D. Voiry, J. Yang and M. Chhowalla, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 6197-6206.
- 4. T. Wang, H. Xie, M. Chen, A. D'Aloia, J. Cho, G. Wu and Q. Li, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **42**, 69-89.
- 5. Q. Lu, Y. Yu, Q. Ma, B. Chen and H. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1917-1933.
- 6. Y. P. Zhu, C. Guo, Y. Zheng and S. Z. Qiao, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 915-923.
- 7. A. Sivanantham, P. Ganesan and S. Shanmugam, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 4661-4672.

8. P. Cai, J. Huang, J. Chen and Z. Wen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 4858-4861.

9. J. Bai, T. Meng, D. Guo, S. Wang, B. Mao and M. Cao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 1678-1689.

10. S. Deng, Y. Zhong, Y. Zeng, Y. Wang, X. Wang, X. Lu, X. Xia and J. Tu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1700772.

11. H. Wang, J. Tang, Y. Li, H. Chu, Y. Ge, R. Baines, P. Dong, P. M. Ajayan, J. Shen and M. Ye, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 19417-19424.

12. H. Li, Z. Guo and X. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 21353-21361.

13. J. Wang, H. X. Zhong, Z. L. Wang, F. L. Meng and X. B. Zhang, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 2342-2348.

L. L. Feng, G. Yu, Y. Wu, G. D. Li, H. Li, Y. Sun, T. Asefa, W. Chen and X. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
 2015, **137**, 14023-14026.

15. M. Fan, L. Zhang, K. Li, J. Liu, Y. Zheng, L. Zhang, S. Song and Z.-A. Qiao, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 3889-3896.

16. F. O.-T. Agyapong-Fordjour, S. Oh, J. Lee, S. Chae, K. H. Choi, S. H. Choi, S. Boandoh, W. Yang, J. Huh, K. K. Kim and J.-Y. Choi, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 5785-5792.

17. L. Wen, J. Yu, C. Xing, D. Liu, X. Lyu, W. Cai and X. Li, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 4198-4203.

18. H. Du, L. Xia, S. Zhu, F. Qu and F. Qu, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 2894-2897.

19. M. Li, Y. Qian, J. Du, H. Wu, L. Zhang, G. Li, K. Li, W. Wang and D. J. Kang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, **7**, 14016-14022.

20. H. Liu, X. Ma, Y. Rao, Y. Liu, J. Liu, L. Wang and M. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 10890-10897.

21. D. Liu, Q. Lu, Y. Luo, X. Sun and A. M. Asiri, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 15122-15126.

22. X. Ma, W. Zhang, Y. Deng, C. Zhong, W. Hu and X. Han, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 4816-4824.

23. Z. Liu, H. Tan, J. Xin, J. Duan, X. Su, P. Hao, J. Xie, J. Zhan, J. Zhang, J. J. Wang and H. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 3699-3706.

24. Y. Yang, K. Zhang, H. Lin, X. Li, H. C. Chan, L. Yang and Q. Gao, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 2357-2366.

25. J. Tian, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 7587-7590.

26. X. Wu, X. Han, X. Ma, W. Zhang, Y. Deng, C. Zhong and W. Hu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 12574-12583.

27. J. Liu, J. Wang, B. Zhang, Y. Ruan, L. Lv, X. Ji, K. Xu, L. Miao and J. Jiang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, **9**, 15364-15372.

28. Y. Hou, M. R. Lohe, J. Zhang, S. Liu, X. Zhuang and X. Feng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 478-483.

29. L.-A. Stern, L. Feng, F. Song and X. Hu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 2347-2351.

30. P. F. Liu, S. Yang, B. Zhang and H. G. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 34474-34481.

31. X. Zhu, C. Tang, H.-F. Wang, B.-Q. Li, Q. Zhang, C. Li, C. Yang and F. Wei, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 7245-7250.

32. A.-L. Wang, H. Xu and G.-R. Li, ACS Energy Lett., 2016, 1, 445-453.

33. W. Zhu, X. Yue, W. Zhang, S. Yu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang and J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1486-1489.

34. J. Shi, J. Hu, Y. Luo, X. Sun and A. M. Asiri, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 4954-4958.

35. J. Li, Y. Wang, T. Zhou, H. Zhang, X. Sun, J. Tang, L. Zhang, A. M. Al-Enizi, Z. Yang and G. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14305-14312.

36. M. Gong, W. Zhou, M. C. Tsai, J. Zhou, M. Guan, M. C. Lin, B. Zhang, Y. Hu, D. Y. Wang, J. Yang, S. J. Pennycook, B. J. Hwang and H. Dai, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4695.

37. H. Wang, H. W. Lee, Y. Deng, Z. Lu, P. C. Hsu, Y. Liu, D. Lin and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7261.