Supplementary Information for

Hydrogen/functionalized benzoquinone for a high-performance regenerative fuel cell as a potential large-scale energy storage platform

Javier Rubio-Garcia,^a Anthony Kucernak,*a Rutao Liu a and Barun Chakrabarti b,c

 a) Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK.
b) RFC Power, 52 Princes Gate, Exhibition Road, London, SW7 2PG, United Kingdom
c) Current address: Warwick Manufacturing Group, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom.

(*corresponding author e-mail: anthony@imperial.ac.uk)

Discussion on NMR

As seen in Figure S1 (inset), the first battery charge, involves 2-3 times higher charge than expected via a 2-electron process. This might be due to the hydroxylation of the BQDS to 2,4,5-trihydroxybenzene-1,3-disulfonic acid (THBQDS). Hence, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was conducted on the BQDS prior to and after cycling at 100 mA cm⁻².

To analyse the chemical transformations of the BQDS electrolyte, proton NMR is conducted. The chemical shifts are given relative to D_2O at δ H=4.79 ppm. The two aromatic protons of 4,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,3-disulfonic acid produce two singlets at 7.20 ppm and 7.50 ppm with a mostly equal ratio as Figure S1 shows. After the cycling test, the two proton peaks of dihydroxy compound **a** are absent, and at the same time, a new aromatic proton peak with a quarter ratio of the other two peaks occurs at 7.20 ppm, which might be generated by the trihydroxy compound **b** (Figure S1). From the charge and discharge curve analysis, it is possible to conclude that a Michael addition of **a** and **b** produces the tetrahydroxy compound **c**, which is a fully-substituted molecule and has no aromatic protons ¹⁻³. In the NMR spectra, we cannot prove that there is compound **c** in the electrolyte directly. However, the functionalisation of BQ with hydroxyl groups would lead to a lower redox potential ¹⁻³. As a result we hypothesise that the formation of **c** would correspond to the redox peak found at 0.65 V in Figure 3B (in the main text) whereas the trihydroxy compound **b** would offer similar redox potential to the dihydroxy compound **a** but with lower Δ E which means better reversibility.



References

- 1 B. Yang, L. Hoober-Burkhardt, F. Wang, G. K. Surya Prakash and S. R. Narayanan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, A1371–A1380.
- 2 B. Yang, L. Hoober-Burkhardt, S. Krishnamoorthy, A. Murali, G. K. S. Prakash and S. R. Narayanan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, , DOI:10.1149/2.1371607jes.
- L. Hoober-Burkhardt, S. Krishnamoorthy, B. Yang, A. Murali, A. Nirmalchandar, G. K. S. Prakash and S. R. Narayanan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A600–A607.