Supplementary information for

## Dynamic Evolution of Hydroxylated Layer in Ruthenium Phosphide Electrocatalysts for Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution Reaction

Jae-Chan Kim,<sup>a</sup> Chan Woo Lee \*<sup>b</sup> and Dong-Wan Kim \*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, Korea University, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Kookmin University, 77, Jeongneung-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 02707, Republic of Korea.



Figure S1. Low-magnification SEM images of (a) RuO<sub>2</sub>, (b) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, and (c)Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3 NFs.



Figure S2. TEM images of (a, b)  $Ru_xP-2$  NFs and (c, d)  $Ru_xP-3NFs$  obtained at different magnifications. Both of  $Ru_xP-2$  and  $Ru_xP-3$  NFs included void space as white arrow in Figure S2b and d.



**Figure S3.** STEM-EDS mapping result and EDS spectrum measured using a bundle of (a,b) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1, (c,d) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, and (e,f) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3 NFs for analyzing the bulk composition.



Figure S4. HRTEM images of (a,b) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1, (c,d) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, and (e,f) Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3.



**Figure S5.** XPS survey spectra of Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1, Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, and Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3 NFs.



**Figure S6.** The linear sweep voltammetry curves of  $Ru_xP-1$  in (a) 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and (b) 1.0 M KOH electrolytes. Polarization curves of  $Ru_xP-1$  were measured after the 1,000<sup>th</sup>, 5,000<sup>th</sup>, and 10,000<sup>th</sup> cyclic voltammetry between 0.05 V and -0.14 V.

**Table S1.** The bulk composition of  $Ru_xP-1$ ,  $Ru_xP-2$ , and  $Ru_xP-3$  NFs were measured by the EDS spectrum.

EDS	<b>Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1</b> [at.%]	<b>Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2</b> [at.%]	<b>Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3</b> [at.%]
Ru	47.2	45.2	34.2
Р	24.0	34.5	35.2
0	28.8	20.3	30.6
Ru/P	1.97	1.31	0.97

		0.5 M H	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		ЭН		
Catalysts	Morphology	$\eta_{10}^{[a]}$ [mV]	Tefel slope [mV dec <sup>-1</sup> ]	η <sub>10</sub> [mV]	Tefel slope [mV dec <sup>-1</sup> ]	Areal loading mass [mg cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	Reference
Ru <sub>x</sub> P-1	Nanofibers	35	47	9	38		
Ru <sub>x</sub> P-2	Nanofibers	27	45	13	43	2	This study
Ru <sub>x</sub> P-3	Nanofibers	42	69	41	79		-
Ru-Ru <sub>2</sub> P	Nanoparticles	66	41	64	36.7		
Ru	Nanoparticles	78	56	72	40.1	0.38	10
Ru <sub>2</sub> P	Nanoparticles	55	34.1	54	29.3		
Ru <sub>2</sub> P/RGO	Nanoparticles	22	29	13	40	1	10
Ru <sub>2</sub> P	Nanoparticles	117	30	32	62	- 1	12
RuP <sub>2</sub> @NPC	Nanoparticles	38	38	52	69	1	0
RuP <sub>2</sub> NPs	Nanoparticles	129	109	90	73	- 1	9
RuP-475	Nanoparticles	47	39	47	45	0.245	27
RuP <sub>2</sub> -550	Nanoparticles	122	83	76	74	- 0.345	
L-RuP/C	Nanoparticles (32 nm)	19	37	18	34	2	7
S-RuP/C	Nanoparticles (3 nm)	-	-	17	35	- 2	/
RuP/CC	Nanoparticles	13	22	-	-	2.2.4.2	
RuP <sub>2</sub> /CC	Nanoparticles	33	56	-	-	5.5~4.2	11
RuP <sub>x</sub> /NPC	Nanoparticles	55	59	74	70	0.12	8
Ru <sub>2</sub> P/Graphene	Nanoparticles	18	32	-	-		
RuP/Graphene	Nanoparticles	24	41	-	-	1	28
RuP <sub>2</sub> /Graphene	Nanoparticles	49	71	-	-		
Ru <sub>2</sub> P-BM-C	Nanoparticles	-	-	36	59	0.34	29
Ru <sub>2</sub> P	Nanocrystals	$\approx 120$	101	57	43	- 0.06	20
RuP	Nanocrystals	$\approx 100$	76	74	49	- 0.00 30	
Ru-Ru <sub>2</sub> P@NPC	Nanoparticles	42	39.75	46	39.75	0.357	31
Ru <sub>2</sub> P/PC-2	Nanoparticles	-	51	43.4	35.1	0.285	16
Pt/C	Nanoparticles	30	50	30	40	2	32

Table S2. Comparison of HER performance in acid and alkaline media for Ru<sub>x</sub>P NFs with

previously reported ruthenium phosphide-based electrocatalysts.

<sup>[a]</sup> Overpotential for hydrogen evolution reaction at the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>



**Figure S7.** Electrochemical double-layer capacitance measurements (C<sub>dl</sub>) of Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1, Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3, and RuO<sub>2</sub> NFs to compare electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) in (a) 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  and (b) 1.0 M KOH electrolyte. (c) The specific capacitance for flat carbon surface (C<sub>s</sub>) was measured in a potential range of 0.5 ~ 0.7 V vs. RHE. ECSA-normalized polarization curves of Ru<sub>x</sub>P-1, Ru<sub>x</sub>P-2, Ru<sub>x</sub>P-3, and RuO<sub>2</sub> NFs, which were measured in (d) 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  and (e) 1.0 M KOH electrolyte. The ECSA was calculated using the specific capacitance of flat carbon surface and the values were presented in Table.



**Figure S8.** Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy conducted for analyzing resistance for  $Ru_xP-1$ ,  $Ru_xP-2$ , and  $Ru_xP-3$  NFs electrocatalysts at 0.000 V and -0.075 V in 1.0M KOH electrolyte.



Figure S9. The normalized Ru 3p core level spectra of as-prepared  $Ru_xP-1$  NFs (black circle) and  $Ru_xP-1$  NFs after 1000 cycles of LSV in 0.5M  $H_2SO_4$  (red circle) and 1M KOH (blue circle).

	Ru <sub>x</sub> P-1 [at.%]		Ru <sub>x</sub> P-2 [at.%]		Ru <sub>x</sub> P-3 [at.%]		
	As-prepared	After HER	As-prepared	After HER	As-prepared	After HER	
Ru	30.8	13.1	24.1	25.1	15.7	19.1	
Р	17.8	1.8	20	4.7	21.1	4.9	
Ru/P	1.73	7.28	1.2	5.34	0.74	3.9	

**Table S3.** XPS analysis conducted using  $Ru_xP-1$ ,  $Ru_xP-2$ , and  $Ru_xP-3$  NFs electrocatalysts for analyzing XPS composition change before and after HER test.



**Figure S10.** (a) The OER polarization curves and (b) Tafel slopes of  $Ru_xP-1$ ,  $Ru_xP-2$ , and  $RuO_2$  NFs NFs as electrocatalysts. Polarization curves for OER measured in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte.