## **Supporting Information**

## PdPt bimetallic nanowires with efficient oxidase mimics activity for colorimetric detection of acid phosphatase in acidic medium

## LihuaJin\*, Yanan Sun, Lulu Shi, Cong Li and Yehua Shen

Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Natural Functional Molecule Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry & Materials Science, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, People's Republic of China



**Fig. S1** The fluorescence intensity of solution with 0.625 mM terephthalic acid and different concentration of Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs in HAc-NaAc buffer (0.2 M, pH 4.0) at 30 °C for 8 h.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +86-029-88302635. E-mail: jinlihua@nwu.edu.cn.



**Fig. S2** ESR spectra of (a) 100 mM DMPO in methanol, (b) Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs + 100 mM DMPO in methanol.



Fig. S3 Absorbance corresponding to different nanomaterial at the same mass concentration.



Fig. S4 (A) The absorption spectra of AA in the absent or present of Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs in control and saturated  $N_2$  solution. (B)The absorbance change of the Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs -mediated TMB oxidized chromogenic reaction after the addition of AA.



**Fig. S5** The catalytic activity of Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs after incubated in pH 1-12 solution for 2 h (A) and 0 - 80 °C solution for 2 h (B), and then their catalytic activities were measured under standard conditions;

**Table S1.** Comparison of Michaelis-Menten constants ( $K_m$ ) and maximum reaction rates ( $V_m$ ) of the oxidation reaction catalyzed by Pd/Pt1:3NWs and reported other nanomaterial-based oxidase mimics.

Catalyst	Substrate	$K_{\rm m}$ (mM)	$V_m (10^{-8} M \cdot s^{-1})$	Reference
Nanoceria		0.42	10.04	[1]
Cit-AgNPs		0.23	38	[2]
Lysozyme-Pt NCs		0.63	270	[3]
MSN-AuNPs <sup>a</sup>		0.22	11.87	[4]
MOF(Co/2Fe)		0.199	0.39	[5]
Fluorescein	TMB	0.158	6.72	[6]
CNFs/MnCo2O4.5		0.04	6.45	[7]
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> MS <sup>b</sup>		0.127	0.999	[8]
Pd/Pt-1:3 NWs		0.058	11.40	This work

<sup>a</sup>mesoporous silica; <sup>b</sup>mesoporous spheres

Materials	Methods	Linear range	Detection limit	Reference
AuNCs@GSH/MUA <sup>a</sup>	Fluorometry	1-30 nM	1 nM	[9]
MPA <sup>b</sup> -CuInS <sub>2</sub> QDs	Fluorometry	6.4-192 nU/mL	3.1 nU/mL	[10]
PPE4+/pNPP	Fluorometry	0-20 nM	0.17 nM	[11]
L-Cys-CuInS <sub>2</sub> QDs	Fluorometry	75-1500 nU/mL	9.02 nU/mL	[12]
$P1^{c}$ -Fe <sup>3+</sup>	Fluorometry	0-20 nM	0.18 nM	[13]
N-CDs-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Fluorometry	5-40 U/L	0.1 U/L	[14]
GQDs@GSH-MnO2	Fluorometry	0.1-9 U/L	0.027 U/L	[15]
SQ <sup>d</sup> -(NaPO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	Colorimetric and	0.552 mM	4.9 nM	[16]
	Fluorometry	0-553 nivi		
Cu(BCDS <sup>e</sup> ) <sub>2</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	Colorimetry	0-220 U/L	3.16 U/L	[17]
Ch-PtNPs	Colorimetry	0.25-2.5 U/L	0.016 U/L	[18]
Pd/Pt NWs	Colorimetry	0.17-2.67 U/L	0.06 U/L	This work

 Table S2 Comparison of different methods for the determination of ACP.

<sup>a</sup>11-mercaptoundecanoic acid; <sup>b</sup> mercaptopropionic acid; <sup>c</sup> Squaraine; <sup>d</sup> novel anionic water soluble polymer; <sup>e</sup>bathocuproinedisulfonate

## References

- H. J. Cheng, S. C. Lin, F. Muhammad, Y. W. Lin and H. Wei, ACS Sens., 2016, 1, 1336-1343.
- G. L. Wang, X. F. Xu, L. H. Cao, C. H. He, Z. J. Li and C. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 4, 5867-5872.
- 3. C. J. Yu, T. H. Chen, J. Y. Jiang and W. L. Tseng, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 9618-9624.
- 4. Y. Tao, E. G. Ju, J. S. Ren and X. G. Qu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 1097-1104.
- 5. H. G. Yang, R. T. Yang, P. Zhang, Y. M. Qin, T. Chen and F. G. Ye, *Microchimica Acta*, 2017, **184**, 4629-4635.
- L. Liu, C. Q. Sun, J. Yang, Y. Shi, Y. J. Long and H. Z. Zheng, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 6148-6154.
- M. Gao, X. F. Lu, G. D. Nie, M. Q. Chi and C. Wang, *Nanotechnology*, 2017, 28, 485708-485716.
- L. Su, W. P. Dong, C. K. Wu, Y. J. Gong, Y. Zhang, L. Li, G. J. Mao and S. L. Feng, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2017, **951**, 124-132.
- 9. J. Sun, F. Yang and X. R. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 16372-16380.

- 10. Z. H. Lin, Z. P. Liu, H. Zhang and X. G. Su, *Analyst*, 2015, 140, 1629-1636.
- 11. Y. H. Xie, Y. Tan, R. X. Liu, R. Zhao, C. Y. Tan and Y. Y. Jiang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 3784-3787.
- 12. Z. P. Liu, Z. H. Lin, L. L. Liu and X. G. Su, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2015, 876, 83-90.
- 13. A. K. Dwivedi and P. K. Iyer, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, **5**, 2374-2378.
- 14. Z. M. Zhu, X. Y. Lin, L. N. Wu, C. F. Zhao, Y. J. Zheng, A. L. Liu, L. Q. Lin and X. H. Lin, *Sens. Actuators, B: Chem.*, 2018, **274**, 609-615.
- 15. Z. Y. Qu, N. Li, W. D. Na and X. G. Su, *Talanta*, 2019, **192**, 61-68.
- 16. Y. Q. Xu, B. H. Li, L. L. Xiao, J. Ouyang, S. G. Sun and Y. Pang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8677-8680.
- 17. Q. Hu, B. J. Zhou, F. Li, J. M. Kong and X. J. Zhang, *Chem-Asian J.*, 2016, **11**, 3040-3045.
- H. H. Deng, X. L. Lin, Y. H. Liu, K. L. Li, Q. Q. Zhuang, H. P. Peng, A. L. Liu, X. H. Xia and W. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 10292-10300.