## **Supporting Information**

# Hyaluronic acid modified doxorubicin loaded Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles effectively inhibit breast cancer metastasis

Ting Gong, Zhanglu Dong, Yao Fu, Tao Gong, Li Deng\*, Zhirong Zhang

Key Laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry, Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Plant-Sourced Drug and Sichuan Research Center for Drug Precision Industrial Technology, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610064, P. R. China.

### \*Corresponding Author:

#### Li Deng, PhD

West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University

No.17, Block 3, Southern Renmin Road, Chengdu, 610041, China

Email: denglisunny@163.com

Figures



Fig. S1. The results of CD44 receptor expression in 4T1 cells and IL-4 activated macrophages we used in this study tested via flow cytometry with HK-2 cells as a negative control. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5), \*\*\*, p < 0.001.



Fig. S2. In vitro cell viability of free DOX against TAMs at pH 7.4 (a) and pH 6.5 (b).



**Fig. S3.** *In vivo* pharmacokinetic profiles after intravenous injection of free DOX, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-DOX-HA and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-DOX in rats. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5).



Fig. S4. The fluorescence intensity of DOX in tumors and vital organs in all the three groups at 1 and 4 h after administration. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5), \*, p < 0.05.



**Fig. S5.** Body weight in tumor-bearing female BALB/c mice were recorded in *in vivo* antitumor (a) and anti-metastasis study (b).



Fig. S6. Safety evaluation of free DOX,  $Fe_3O_4$ -DOX and  $Fe_3O_4$ -DOX-HA, magnification: 200×.

#### Tables

**Table S1.** Pharmacokinetic parameters of free DOX,  $Fe_3O_4$ -DOX and  $Fe_3O_4$ -DOX-HA (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 5).

Sample	Free DOX	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -DOX	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -DOX+HA
Dose (mg/kg)	2.0	2.0	2.0
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	$1476.5 \pm 325.6$	$2974.6 \pm 218.5$	$7197.3\pm 293.1^{a,b}$
T <sub>max</sub> (min)	5	5	5
$AUC_{(0-t)}(\min \cdot ng/ml)$	36,982	80,655	193,867 <sup>a, b</sup>
t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	27.58	85.32	184.96 <sup>a, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>, p < 0.01 vs. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-DOX group.

<sup>b</sup>, p < 0.001 vs. free DOX group.

**Table. S2.** Median survival and ILS of mice bearing 4T1 tumors treated with saline and various DOX formulations (n = 10).

Groups	Median (days)	ILS (%)
		Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -DOX+HA
N.S.	36	38.9***
DOX	36	38.9***
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -DOX	40	25*
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -DOX-HA	50	/

Median: the median survival.

ILS (increase in life span) =  $(T/C - 1) \times 100\%$ , where T and C represent the mean survival time (days) of the treated and control animals, respectively. p values: were calculated by using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test, \*, p < 0.05, \*\*\*, p < 0.01.