Supporting Information

Concurrent photothermal therapy and photodynamic therapy for cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma by gold nanoclusters under a single NIR laser irradiation

Pei Liu^a, Weitao Yang^{ab}, Lei Shi^a, Haiyan Zhang^a, Yan Xu^{ab}, Peiru Wang^a, Guolong Zhang^a, Wei R. Chen^c, Bingbo Zhang^{ab*} and Xiuli Wang^{a*}

^aInstitute of Photomedicine, Shanghai Skin Disease Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, 200443, P. R. China

^bTongji University Cancer Center, The Institute for Biomedical Engineering & Nano Science, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, 200092, P. R. China.

^cBiophotonics Research Laboratory, Center for Interdisciplinary Biomedical Education and Research, University of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, OK 73034, USA

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: bingbozhang@tongji.edu.cn.

*E-mail: wangxiuli_1400023@tongji.edu.cn.



Fig. S1 Changes in cell morphology after diverse treatments: control, Au₂₅(Capt)₁₈, laser, Au₂₅(Capt)₁₈+laser (Au: 80 μg/mL, laser: 0.6 W/cm², 5 min).



Fig. S2 Stability analysis. (A-C) The UV-vis absorption spectra of $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ in different solution over 2, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h (A: in water, B: in PBS, C: in DMEM). (D-F) The variation of absorption value at 808 nm of $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ in different solution over 2, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h (D: in water, E: in PBS, F: in DMEM).



Fig. S3 Photothermal conversion efficiency of $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$. (A) The temperature change of $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ aqueous solution under the irradiation of 808 nm laser (2 W/cm²) for 15 min and the laser was removed immediately. (B) The time versus the negative natural logarithm of the temperature from the cooling period (after 15 min) in (A) and τ_s was calculated to be 623.2 s by applying

linear relationship.

| The photothermal conversion efficiency (η) was calculated according t | o following equations: |
|---|---|
| θ = (T - T _{surr}) ÷ (T _{max} - T _{surr}) | (1) |
| $t = \tau_s \times (-ln\theta)$ | (2) |
| $\tau_{s} = 623.2 \text{ s}$ | |
| $hS = (\sum m_i C_{p,i}) \div \tau_s$ | (3) |
| $=[m(H_2O)\times c(H_2O)+m(quartz)\times c(quartz)]\div\tau_s$ | |
| =(1×4.2+5.76×0.839)÷623.2 | |
| =14.49 mW°C ⁻¹ | |
| $Q_{Dis} = hS \times (T(H_2O)_{max} - T_{surr})$ | (4) |
| =14.49×(35.3-28.8) | |
| =94.19 mW | |
| $\eta = [hS \times (T_{max} - T_{surr}) - Q_{Dis}] \div [I \times (1 - 10^{-A808})] \times 100\%$ | (5) |
| =[14.49×(55.3-28.8)- 94.19]÷[2000×(1-10 ^{-0.1889})] ×100% | |
| = 41.1% | |
| h (mW m ⁻² °C ⁻¹): The heat transfer coefficient. | |
| T_{surr} (°C): The ambient temperature of the surrounding environment (2 | .8.8 °C). |
| T_{max} (°C): The maximum equilibrium temperature (55.3 °C). | |
| ${oldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}}_{\textit{Dis}}$ (mW): The heat absorbed by the solvent DI water and the quartz of | ell. |
| <i>I</i> : The 808 nm laser power density (2.0 W/cm ²). | |
| A₈₀₈: The absorbance of $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ at 808 nm (0.1889). | |
| τ_s : The time constant for heat transfer of the $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ aqueous s | olution which was calculated by linear relationship of time |
| versus -ln(θ) from Fig. S3B (623.2 s). | |
| m(H ₂ O) and c(H ₂ O) : The mass (1.0 g) and heat capacity (4.2 J/g) of the | solvent DI water respectively. |
| m(quartz) and c(quartz) :The mass (5.76 g) and heat capacity (0.839 J/ | g) of the container quartz cell respectively. |



Fig. S4 •OH generation detection: Generation of •OH by $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ (0.1 mg/mL) measured by the oxidation of TMB via recording fluorescence emission spectra at 654 nm subjected to laser irradiation at different time points (1 W/cm², 0 min~5 min), DI water with TMB irradiated by 808 nm laser (H₂O - 1 min) or not (H₂O - 0 min), and pure $Au_{25}(Capt)_{18}$ solution (Au) were set as controls.