TaS₂ nanosheets-based ultrafast response and flexible humidity sensor for multifunctional applications

Yu Feng,^a Shijing Gong,^a Erwei Du,^a Ke Yu,^{a,b,*}Jie Ren,^a Zhenguo Wang ^a and Ziqiang Zhu^a

^a Key Laboratory of Polar Materials and Devices (Ministry of Education of China),
Department of Optoelectronics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241,
China.

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: yk5188@263.net. Tel: +86-21-54345198;



Figure S1. Comparison of the exfoliation efficiency between various solvents.



Figure S2. (a) AFM image of TaS_2 nanosheets. (b) The height profile along the marked line in part (a).



Figure S3. The change process of the contact angle of PET interdigital electrode.



Figure S4. The change process of the contact angle of ceramic interdigital electrode.



Figure S5. The change process of the contact angle of TaS₂ nanosheets humidity sensor based on PET with 50% sample adhesion rate.



Figure S6. N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms of R-TaS₂ (rectangular TaS₂), H-TaS₂ (hexagonal TaS₂), O-TaS₂ (octagonal stars TaS₂), M-TaS₂ (TaS₂ microspheres), and N-TaS₂ (TaS₂ nanosheets).



Figure S7. The response of TaS2 nanosheets sensor monitored at different
humidityconditionsfor10days.

| Material | RH range (%) | Order of impedance change | Sensitivity (%) | Hysteresis (%) | Response Time (s) | Recovery Time (s) | Referenc e |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Black Phosphorus | 11-97 | 3-4 | 507825 (ΔC/C) | - | 4.7 | 3 | S1 |
| MoS ₂ | 0-35 | 2 | 104 | - | 10 | 60 | S2 |
| VS_2 | 0-100 | 1 | $30 \left(R_{RH} / R_{dry} \right)$ | - | 40 | 50 | S 3 |
| WS ₂ | 11-97 | 1 | 469 | - | 12 | 13 | S4 |
| Graphene | 50.0-70.6, 79.5-85.0 | - | -0.224, -4.118 (dB/%RH) | - | 4 | 23.7 | S5 |
| Graphene oxide | 10-90 | - | - | - | 0.03 | 0.03 | S6 |
| TaS ₂ nanosheets | 11-95 | 2 | 201.9 (ΔR/R) | 0.02 (75% RH) | 0.6 | 2 | This work |

 $\label{eq:sensor} \underline{ Table \ S1. \ Comparison \ of \ TaS_2 \ based \ humidity \ sensor \ with \ other \ humidity \ sensors \ reported.}$

References

S1. P. He, J. R. Brent, H. Ding, J. Yang, D. J. Lewis, P. O'Brien and B. Derby, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 5599-5606.

S2. J. Zhao, N. Li, H. Yu, Z. Wei, M. Liao, P. Chen, S. Wang, D. Shi, Q. Sun and G. Zhang, *Adv Mater*, 2017, **29**.

S3. J. Feng, L. Peng, C. Wu, X. Sun, S. Hu, C. Lin, J. Dai, J. Yang and Y. Xie, *Adv Mater*, 2012, **24**, 1969-1974.

S4. A. S. Pawbake, R. G. Waykar, D. J. Late and S. R. Jadkar, *Acs Appl Mater Inter*, 2016, **8**, 3359-3365.

S5. Z. Xing, Y. Zheng, Z. Yan, Y. Feng, Y. Xiao, J. Yu, H. Guan, Y. Luo, Z. Wang,

Y. Zhong and Z. Chen, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2019, 281, 953-959.

S6. S. Borini, R. White, D. Wei, M. Astley, S. Haque, E. Spigone, N. Harris, J.

Kivioja and T. Ryhanen, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 11166-11173.