Catalyst-free large-scale synthesis of composite SiC@SiO₂ /carbon nanofiber mats by blow-spinning

Chen Yang¹, Wang Ning^{*1}, Martin Jensen², Han Shan¹, Li Xianfeng¹, Li

Wei¹, Zhang Xingxiang¹

1.State Key Laboratory of Separation Membranes and Membrane Processes, Tianjin Municipal Key Lab of Advanced Energy Storage Material and Devices, School of Material Science and Engineering, Tiangong University, Tianjin 300387, China

2. Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark

* Corresponding author. Tel: +86 22 83955816, E-mail: wangntjpu@hotmail.com



Figure S1. Diameter distribution curves of as-spun nanofibers (a) and pre-oxidized nanofibers (b). Each data point is averaged over 50 measurements (measured by FiberMetric, Fiber statistical analysis measurement system).

The as spun nanofibers have a relatively broad size distribution with an average diameter of 368 nm. After pre-oxidation, the average diameter shrinks to 283 nm due to a series of reactions such as, cyclization, cross-linking, dehydrogenation and oxidation in the heated PAN molecular chain, [1-5].



Figure S2. (a) XRD patterns and (b) FTIR spectra of HF-treated (SiC-CNFMs-5) and Heat-treated (SiC/SiO₂), respectively.

As shown in **Figure S2a**, five strong peaks, attributed to the (111), (200), (220), (311) and (222) planes of cubic β -SiC (unit cell parameter = 0.43589) (JCPDS Card No. 291129) are identified [6]. Both HF-treatment and heat-treatment have no effect on the crystal structure of SiC nanofibers. Further, in the XRD pattern of SiC-CNFMs-5, the diffraction peak of SiO₂ is not observed, indicating that the HF acid successfully dissolved the SiO₂. Similarly, the XRD spectrum of the SiC/SiO₂ obtained after the heat treatment shows no carbon diffraction peak. As shown in **Figure S2b**, it is confirmed that only the stretching vibration peak of Si-C is present in the FTIR spectrum of SiC-CNFMs-5, i.e., the Si-O signal is absent as a result of the HF treatment. The 485, 1115 and 801 cm⁻¹ peaks in the FTIR spectrum of Si/SiO₂ are attributed to Si-O and Si-C stretching [7,8], respectively.



Figure S3. SEM images of SiC-CNFMs-5.a and b are low magnification and high magnification SEM of SiC-CNFMs-5, respectively; c. and d. are low magnification and high magnification SEM of SiC/SiO₂, respectively. The inset is a macroscopic photo of SiC-CNFMs-5 and SiC/SiO₂, respectively.)

As shown in **Figure S3**, SiC-CNFMs-5 maintains a complete fiber mat after HF treatment, the crystal nanofibers roughen (red mark in **Figure S3b**) and the heat treatment causes SiC@CNFMs-5 to be converted into powdered SiC/SiO₂ inset in **Figure S2c**) but the fiber structure is confidented (Fig S2c)

Figure S3c), but the fiber structure is unaffected (Fig S3d).



Figure S4. TGA curve measured in air of HF-treated (SiC-CNFMs-5) and Heat-treated (SiC/SiO₂)

As shown in **Figure S4**, for the thermogravimetric graphs of SiC-CNFMs-5 and SiC/SiO₂, the SiC content in SiC@CNFMs-5 is determined to 43.97%. By comparison with the thermogravimetric curve of SiC@CNFMs-5 shows a residual mass of 44.10 wt% and since the difference between the two samples is the removal of SiO₂ by the HF etching,, mass percentage of the SiO₂ shell on the SiC nanofibers is about 0.13%. It can be seen from the thermogravimetric graphs of the heat treated SiC/SiO₂ that it has excellent oxidation resistance from room temperature to 1000°C.



Figure S5. Photocatalytic degradation of MO on the SiC@CNFMs-1, SiC@CNFMs-3, and SiC@CNFMs-5.

As shown in **Figure S5** there is no significant difference in the adsorption in darkness for the three samples. As expected, SiC@CNFMs-5 performs better for the photocatalytic degradation efficiency of MO that samples exerted to shorter durations at 1400 °C. This difference in catalytic degradation is explained by increased amount of effective photocatalyst (SiC/SiO₂ crystal nanofiber) with heat treatment duration (**Table S1**).

Table S1 The SiC/SiO₂ content in SiC@CNFMs exerted to different holding times.

Holding Time /h	M _{SiC@CNFMs} /mg	$M_{SiC/SiO2}$ /mg
SiC@CNFMs-1		9.51
SiC@CNFMs-3	30	11.46
SiC@CNFMs-5		13.22



Figure S6. SEM images of SiC@CNFMs after being immersed into (a. and b.) 2M NaOH and (c. and d.) 2M H_2SO_4 for 48 h; (The inset is the Digital photo of SiC@CNFMs before and after treated by NaOH and H_2SO_4 , respectively.)

After 48 h immersion in 2M H_2SO_4 and 2M NaOH followed by washing with water and drying, the SiC@CNFMs retain their macroscopic mat like structure (**Figure S6**). thus it is demonstrated that the SiC@CNFMs have excellent chemical stability, which is attributed to the inert hybrid carbon nanofibers and nanocrystalline fibers.

References

- [1] C. Kim, K.S. Yang, , Appl. Phys. Lett. 2003, 83, 1216-1218.
- [2] C. Kim, K.S. Yang, M. Kojima, K. Yoshida, Y.J. Kim, Y.A. Kim, et al., Adv. Funct. Mater. 2006, 16, 2393-2397.
- [3] L. Ji, X. Zhang, , Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 155705.
- [4] S. Dalton, F. Heatley, P.M. Budd, Polymer, 1999, 40, 5531-5543.
- [5] X.H. Qin, J Therm Anal Calorim. 2010, 99, 571-575.
- [6] K. Okada, H. Kata, K. Nakajima, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1994, 77, 1691-1693.
- [7] X. Luo, W.H. Ma, Y. Zhou, D. Liu, B. Yang, Y. Dai, Nanoscale. Res. Lett. 2010, 5, 252-256.
- [8] X.T. Li, X.H. Chen, H.H. Song, J. Mater. Sci., 2009, 44, 4661-4667.