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Computational Details. Calculations were performed within the Gaussian 98 Program suite. ${ }^{1}$ DFT computations employed the exchange functional of Becke ${ }^{2}$ and the correlation functional of Perdew. ${ }^{3}$ The default "extrafine" grid was used throughout. Self-consistent field convergence was achieved with direct methods. Equilibrium geometries were optimized or partially optimized in redundant internal coordinates. ${ }^{4}$ Relativistic effective core potentials were used for rhodium along with the standard Hay-Wadt double- $\zeta$ basis set, ${ }^{5-7}$ augmented by the optimized Rh 6 p-function of Couty and Hall. ${ }^{8}$ The $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis of Pople and co-workers ${ }^{9,10}$ was applied to all other atoms. Tight self-consistent field convergence criteria were maintained throughout. Reported energies and relative energies are electronic energies, and are not corrected for zero-point vibrational energies, since we deliberately consider structures that are not minima on the relevant potential energy hypersurface. Stability tests found all converged self-consistent fields to be stable to internal and external perturbations. Canonical Kohn-Sham orbitals were imaged with the program Molekel; default isodensity values were applied. ${ }^{11}$ Extended Hückel calculations were carried out with the program Cacao, using default parameters. ${ }^{12}$

Model complex 4 was optimized within $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~d}}$-Symmetry. Being Jahn-Teller unstable, $\mathbf{4}$ is not expected to be a potential-energy minimum, and a harmonic frequency calculation indicates it is not, with seven imaginary frequencies corresponding to $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ librations or rotations.

The geometry of the singlet, square-planar complex $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ was first optimized with spin-unrestricted methods, and a harmonic frequency calculation indicated the converged structure to be a minimum. The structure was then re-optimized in a spin-unrestricted calculation; the optimizer converged on the first cycle. A spin-unrestricted frequency calculation was not attempted. The energy difference $\left(260 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ reported for $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ is the difference in calculated electronic energies; this difference more directly reflects the Jahn-Teller destabilization of 4. As such, it has not been "corrected" for entropy or zero-point energy, and we therefore report this relative energy to two significant figures.

The geometry of model compound $\mathbf{5}$ was optimized in a spin-restricted fashion, with singlet multiplicity, and then re-optimized with a spin-unrestricted calculation. The Rh-Rh
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distance was constrained to be $3.12 \AA$; consequently, neither optimized structure is an energyminimum. Orbital eigenvalues depicted in Figure 3 are those of the spin-unrestricted calculation, to enable comparison to the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{4}$. Because 5 is a spin-singlet, spin- $\alpha$ and $\operatorname{spin}-\beta$ eigenvalues are equal (the density does not break symmetry), and no distinction between spins is made in Figure 3.
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Table S1. Optimized Cartesian coordinates for triplet 4

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh | 0 | 0 | 1.2736521716 |
| P | 0 | 2.308275851 | 1.6859798662 |
| F | 0 | 2.8406714162 | 3.1804150726 |
| F | -1.2206762546 | 3.1958324392 | 1.1603733671 |
| F | 1.2206762546 | 3.1958324392 | 1.1603733671 |
| P | -1.9990255259 | -1.1541379255 | 1.6859798662 |
| F | -3.3780102059 | -0.5407795733 | 1.1603733671 |
| F | -2.1573339513 | -2.6550528659 | 1.1603733671 |
| F | -2.4600936102 | -1.4203357081 | 3.1804150726 |
| P | 1.9990255259 | -1.1541379255 | 1.6859798662 |
| F | 3.3780102059 | -0.5407795733 | 1.1603733671 |
| F | 2.4600936102 | -1.4203357081 | 3.1804150726 |
| F | 2.1573339513 | -2.6550528659 | 1.1603733671 |
| Cl | 0 | 0 | 3.819471592 |
| Rh | 0 | 0 | -1.2736521716 |
| P | 0 | -2.308275851 | -1.6859798662 |
| F | 0 | -2.8406714162 | -3.1804150726 |
| F | 1.2206762546 | -3.1958324392 | -1.1603733671 |
| F | -1.2206762546 | -3.1958324392 | -1.1603733671 |
| P | -1.9990255259 | 1.1541379255 | -1.6859798662 |
| F | -2.4600936102 | 1.4203357081 | -3.1804150726 |
| F | -3.3780102059 | 0.5407795733 | -1.1603733671 |
| F | -2.1573339513 | 2.6550528659 | -1.1603733671 |
| P | 1.9990255259 | 1.1541379255 | -1.6859798662 |
| F | 2.1573339513 | 2.6550528659 | -1.1603733671 |
| F | 3.3780102059 | 0.5407795733 | -1.1603733671 |
| F | 2.4600936102 | 1.4203357081 | -3.1804150726 |
| Cl | 0 | 0 | -3.819471592 |
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Table S2. Optimized Cartesian coordinates for singlet $\mathbf{5}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rh | -0.475460963 | 0. | 1.4790299493 |
| Cl | 1.8715238841 | 0. | 1.9559552266 |
| P | -0.3233308807 | 2.192446212 | 1.9799795325 |
| P | -2.6601376565 | 0. | 1.2667405208 |
| P | -0.3233308807 | -2.192446212 | 1.9799795325 |
| Rh | 0.475460963 | 0. | -1.4790299493 |
| Cl | -1.8715238841 | 0. | -1.9559552266 |
| P | 0.3233308807 | 2.192446212 | -1.9799795325 |
| P | 2.6601376565 | 0. | -1.2667405208 |
| P | 0.3233308807 | -2.192446212 | -1.9799795325 |
| F | 0.0574363905 | 2.4788656883 | 3.4968552537 |
| F | -1.5778804427 | 3.1709255529 | 1.8528644729 |
| F | 0.7830382977 | 3.1006636991 | 1.2755267906 |
| F | -3.4579682186 | 0. | 2.6550121885 |
| F | -3.412904211 | -1.2249401342 | 0.5913786882 |
| F | -3.412904211 | 1.2249401342 | 0.5913786882 |
| F | 0.0574363905 | -2.4788656883 | 3.4968552537 |
| F | 0.7830382977 | -3.1006636991 | 1.2755267906 |
| F | -1.5778804427 | -3.1709255529 | 1.8528644729 |
| F | -0.0574363905 | 2.4788656883 | -3.4968552537 |
| F | 1.5778804427 | 3.1709255529 | -1.8528644729 |
| F | -0.7830382977 | 3.1006636991 | -1.2755267906 |
| F | 3.4579682186 | 0. | -2.6550121885 |
| F | 3.412904211 | -1.2249401342 | -0.5913786882 |
| F | 3.412904211 | 1.2249401342 | -0.5913786882 |
| F | -0.0574363905 | -2.4788656883 | -3.4968552537 |
| F | -0.7830382977 | -3.1006636991 | -1.2755267906 |
| F | 1.5778804427 | -3.1709255529 | -1.8528644729 |
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Table S3. Optimized Cartesian coordinates for square-planar, singlet $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rh | 0.2369880467 | 0. | 0.0672618637 |
| Cl | 2.4850540903 | 0. | 0.8523070267 |
| P | 0.4325951238 | 2.2466006649 | 0.2194843461 |
| P | -1.7722741247 | 0. | -0.747225381 |
| P | 0.4325951238 | -2.2466006649 | 0.2194843461 |
| F | 0.8291363717 | 2.820044808 | 1.6443771589 |
| F | -0.8113009492 | 3.1964662279 | -0.0916215665 |
| F | 1.5255624608 | 2.9602843929 | -0.6841336282 |
| F | -3.0482637005 | 0. | 0.2154801521 |
| F | -2.2028284486 | -1.2128105824 | -1.6925927618 |
| F | -2.2028284486 | 1.2128105824 | -1.6925927618 |
| F | 0.8291363717 | -2.820044808 | 1.6443771589 |
| F | 1.5255624608 | -2.9602843929 | -0.6841336282 |
| F | -0.8113009492 | -3.1964662279 | -0.0916215665 |
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Figure S1. Kohn-Sham orbital energies of 4. Images of selected orbitals are inset. Blue indicates spin- $\alpha$; red, spin- $\beta$.

