Clean osmium-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation of olefins in ionic liquids and supercritical CO₂ product recovery

Luís C. Branco,^a Ana Serbanovic,^b Manuel Nunes da Ponte^{a*} and Carlos A. M. Afonso^{a,c*}

^a REQUIMTE, Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal. Fax:00 351 21 2948550; Tel: 00 351 21 2948300 Ext: 10934; Email: <u>mnp@dq.fct.unl.pt</u>

^b ITQB, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Apartado 127, 2780-901 Oeiras, Portugal

^c CQFM, Departamento de Engenharia Química, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. Fax:351 218417122; Tel: 351 218417627; E-mail: carlosafonso@ist.utl.pt

General: The general experimental information has been published elsewhere.¹ For the determination of osmium content by ICP was followed reported procedure. However, in order to achieve a lower detection limit, were prepared different standard solutions (0.10; 0.25; 0.50; 1.00; 5.00; 10.00 and 20.00 ppm) which were used to obtain the calibration curve. After each recycling experiment, the organic phases (after extraction with Et₂O or *sc*CO₂) were removed and diluted in 10 mL of distilled water.

Structures of the ionic liquids (ILs) tested:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{R'} N_{-R} \quad X^{-} = PF_{6}^{-}, BF_{4}^{-}, NTf_{2}^{-} \\ \hline \\ \left[C_{4}\text{mim}\right] \quad R = n\text{-butyl}, R' = H \\ \left[C_{8}\text{mim}\right] \quad R = n\text{-octyl}, R' = H \\ \hline \\ \left[C_{10}\text{mim}\right] \quad R = n\text{-decyl}, R' = H \\ \hline \\ \left[C_{5}O_{2}\text{mim}\right] \quad R = (CH_{2})_{2}O(CH_{2})_{2}OMe, R' = H \\ \hline \\ \\ \left[C_{2}OH\text{mim}\right] \quad R = (CH_{2})_{2}OH R' = H \\ \hline \\ \left[bdmim\right] \quad R = n\text{-butyl}, R' = Me \end{array}$$



All ILs tested in the asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) reaction were prepared in this laboratory. The ILs based on the $[C_4mim]$, $[C_8mim]$, [bdmim] and $[C_{10}mim]$ cations were prepared according to reported procedures² and the ILs containing the $[C_5O_2mim]$ and $[C_2OHmim]$ and the tetra-alkyl-dimethylguanidinium [dmg] cations were reported previously from this laboratory.³

General procedure used for the AD reaction presented in Table SI.1 using $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ as cooxidant (Table SI.1): A 5 mL flask was charged with $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ (495 mg; 1.5 mmol); K_2CO_3 (210 mg; 1.5 mmol); ionic liquid (1.0 mL), and under stirring were added the ligand (DHQD)₂PHAL (1.0 mol%) and the catalyst $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (0.5 mol%). The olefin (1-hexene or styrene, 0.5 mmol) was then added to the heterogeneous mixture and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature during 24 h. The obtained mixture was dissolved in a small amount of dichloromethane (2 mL) and purified by flash chromatography to give (R)-1,2-hexanediol or (R)-1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol.¹

Table SI.1. Screening of different ionic liquids for the AD reaction using 1-hexene and styrene as substrates, $(DHQD)_2PHAL$, $K_2OSO_2(OH)_4$ and $K_3Fe(CN)_6$.

_	1-Hexene		Styrene	
Ionic Liquid	Yield [%]	e.e. [%]	Yield [%]	e.e. [%]
[C ₄ mim]PF ₆	59	60	69	86
[C4mim]BF4	41	63	20	68
[C ₄ mim]NTf ₂	99	92	77	94
[C ₈ mim]PF ₆	74	98	62	97
[C ₈ mim]BF ₄	81	87	55	82
[C ₁₀ mim]BF ₄	93	94	33	88
[C ₅ O ₂ mim]PF ₆	63	83	60	89
[C2OHmim]PF6	54	75	38	79
[bdmim]PF ₆	84	85	77	94
[bdmim]BF4	81	75	76	75
[bdmim]NTf ₂	86	74	74	91
[(be)(di-b)dmg]PF ₆	90	67	91	71
[(be)(di-b)dmg]NTf ₂	89	72	96	85

General procedure used for the AD reaction presented in Tables SI.2 and SI.3 using NMO as co-oxidant: A 5 mL flask was charged with NMO (100 mg; 0.5 mmol); ionic liquid (1.0 mL), and under stirring were added the ligand [(DHQD)₂PHAL; (DHQD)₂PYR; (DHQD)₂AQN or (DHQ)₂PHAL) (1.0 mol%)] and the catalyst K₂OsO₂(OH)₄ (0.5 mol%). Then, different olefins (0.5 mmol) were added to the mixture (formation of a second phase for liquid olefins for some ILs which disappears during the reaction), which was stirred at room temperature during 24 h. The obtained mixture was dissolved in a small amount of dichloromethane (2 mL) and purified by flash chromatography to give the corresponding diol.¹

Table SI.2. Screening of different ionic liquids for the AD reaction using 1-hexene and styrene as substrates, $(DHQD)_2PHAL$, $K_2OSO_2(OH)_4$ and NMO

 Table SI.3. Asymmetric dihydroxylation of olefins in ionic liquids using NMO as co-oxidant.

	1-Hexene		Styrene	
Ionic Liquid	Yield [%] ^a	e.e.[%] ^a	Yield [%]ª	e.e.[%] ^a
[C ₄ mim]PF ₆	82 (83)	67 (99)	87 (84)	60 (60)
[C4mim]BF4	77 (81)	66 (95)	98 (92)	91 (99)
[C ₄ mim]NTf ₂	96	97	92	93
[C ₈ mim]PF ₆	85 (89)	63 (97)	81 (83)	63 (95)
[C ₈ mim]BF ₄	87	95	92	93
[C ₁₀ mim]BF ₄	96	97	82	93
[C ₅ O ₂ mim]PF ₆	63(68)	83(85)	82(87)	67 (74)
[C2OHmim]PF6	37	86	88	93
[bdmim]PF ₆	98	90	90	99
[bdmim]BF ₄	91	90	89	95
[bdmim]NTf ₂	93	95	92	98
[(be)(di-b)dmg]PF ₆	96	74	96	90
[(be)(di-b)dmg]NTf ₂	91	77	88	96

^a In brackets are presented the experiments performed by slow addition of olefin (12 h).

olefin	Ionic Liquid	Ligand	Yield [%]	e.e. [%]
	[C ₄ mim]NTf ₂		97	94
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		67	92
1-hexene	[bdmim]PF ₆	(DHQD) ₂	91	90
	[bdmim]BF4	PYR	94	98
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		96	99
	[C4mim]NTf2		93	92
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		88	92
1-hexene	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	56	87
	[bdmim]BF4	AQN	93	99
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		97	99
	[C4mim]NTf2		99	94
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		81	98
1-hexene	[bdmim]PF ₆	(DHQ) ₂	55	78
	[bdmim]BF4	PHAL	82	93
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		96	99
	[C4mim]NTf2		93	97
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		40	90
styrene	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	63	74
	[bdmim]BF ₄	PYR	91	88
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		80	97
	[C4mim]NTf2		95	99
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		91	98
styrene	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	80	89
	[bdmim]BF4	AQN	87	99
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		96	99
	[C4mim]NTf2		92	98
	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		90	86
styrene	[bdmim]PF ₆	(DHQ) ₂	74	93
	[bdmim]BF4	PHAL	88	99
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		98	99
	[C4mim]NTf2		92	89
-methyl-	[C ₈ mim]BF ₄		60	74
	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	86	88
styrene	[bdmim]BF4	PHAL	91	78
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		94	89
	[C4mim]NTf2		97	67
tranc	[C8mim]BF4		81	66
stilbene	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	75	60
stilbelle	[bdmim]BF4	PHAL	96	82
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		94	85
	$[C_4 mim]NTf_2$		99	98
1-methyl-1- cyclohexen e	[C8mim]BF4		53	68
	[bdmim]PF ₆	$(DHQD)_2$	67	92
	[bdmim]BF4	PHAL	87	71
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		92	93
	$[C_4 mim]NTf_2$		94	99
trans-5-	$[C_8 mim]BF_4$		74	65
decene	[bdmim]PF ₆	(DHQD) ₂	75	75
accent	[bdmim]BF ₄	PHAL	93	83
	[bdmim]NTf ₂		89	98

General procedure for the reuse of the $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4/(DHQD)_2PHAL/IL system using NMO$ as co-oxidant and extraction with Et_2O (Table SI.4): A 10 mL flask was charged with NMO (100 mg; 0.5 mmol); 1-*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium (bistrifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide [C₄mim]NTf₂ or 1-*n*-butyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium (bistrifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide [bdmim]NTf₂ (3 mL), and under stirring were added the ligand

[(DHQD)₂PHAL] (4 mg; 1 mol%) and the catalyst $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (1.0 ± 0.1 mg; 0.5 mol%). 1-Hexene (63 µL) was then added to the resulting mixture that was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The ionic liquid was extracted with diethyl ether (1x10 mL) and the ethereal layer was dried (MgSO₄), evaporated in *vacuo* and purified by flash chromatography using diethyl ether. Then, more 1-hexene (63 µl) and NMO (100 mg; 0.5 mmol) were added to the recycled ionic liquid and the cycle repeated. In case of entries 15 a new portion of catalyst (0.5 mol%) and ligand (1.0 mol%) was added into the ionic reaction mixture. The other parallel experiment was performed as described before and used for the determination of the osmium content (in relation to initial amount) in the ethereal phase.

Table SI.4. Reuse of the catalyst for the AD reaction of 1-hexene in $[C_4 mim]NTf_2$ and $[bdmim]NTf_2$, using NMO as co-oxidant and extraction with Et₂O.

	[C ₄ mim]NTf ₂			[bdmim]NTf ₂		
	Yield [%]	e.e.[%]	Os ^a [%]	Yield [%.]	e.e.[%]	Os ^a [%]
1	98	96	1.57	94	93	1.15
2	97	98	1.32	92	96	1.05
3	99	96	1.17	95	95	1.56
4	95	97	1.84	93	95	1.98
5	96	94	1.62	93	92	1.32
6	95	97	1.37	94	90	1.43
7	96	96	1.22	91	93	1.48
8	94	93	1.56	92	93	1.80
9	97	95	1.70	90	90	1.57
10	93	96	1.28	90	91	1.31
11	94	94	1.68	92	89	1.25
12	92	92	1.45	90	90	1.60
13	95	93	1.40	93	92	1.12
14	92	92	1.31	88	87	1.28
15	99 ^b	98 ^b	1.05	95 ^b	96 ^b	1.76
16	97	97	1.28	94	94	1.78

^aOsmium content in the extracted ethereal phases determined by ICP.

 bNew addition of $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4\,(0.5\ mol\%)$ and $(DHQD)_2PHAL\ (1.0\ mol\%)$

AD reaction in [bdmim]BF₄, using $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4/(DHQD)_2PHAL$ system and NMO as a co-oxidant, followed by scCO₂ extraction at different pressures (Table SI.5): A 5 mL glass vial was charged with NMO (400 mg; 0.5 mmol); 1-n-butyl-2,3dimethylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [bdmim]BF₄ (2 mL), and under stirring were added the ligand [(DHQD)_2PHAL] (16 mg; 1 mol%) and the catalyst $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (4.0 ± 0.1 mg; 0.5 mol%). 1-Hexene (252 µL) was then added to the resulting mixture that was stirred for 24 h at room temperature.

When completed, 1 mL of the reaction mixture was transferred to the high-pressure cell, placed inside a constant temperature water bath (Figure SI.1.). Vigorous mixing was achieved with a magnetic plate.

 CO_2 was introduced to the cell by a screw injector pump, at a constant temperature of 40 °C, and four different pressures (100, 120, 140 and 200 bar). When the system equilibrated, *sc*CO₂ extraction was performed at constant pressure. The extraction was considered finished, when two volumes of screw injector pump (120 cm³; 1.72 mol of CO₂) were passed through the system. The product was collected in a cold trap, that was cooled by (liquid nitrogen + ethanol) mixture. After washing the cold trap with ethanol, the sample thus obtained was divided in two equal parts. One was used for the determination

of the osmium content by ICP¹, while the other one was used for the isolation of the 1,2-(R)-hexanediol and for the e.e. determination.¹

The results of these experiments are given in the Table SI.5.

Table Sl.5. Yield and osmium content for $scCO_2$ extractions performed at 40 °C and different pressures from ionic liquid [bdmim]BF₄.



Figure SI.1 Schematic diagram of the apparatus used in $s_c CO_2$ extraction experiments: 1-CO₂ screw injector pump; 2-constant temperature water bath; 3-high-pressure cell; 4-magnetic stirrer; 5-cold trap.

AD reaction in ionic liquids [bdmim][BF₄], [C₈mim]BF₄, [C₁₀mim]BF₄, [bdmim]NTf₂, and [C₄mim]NTf₂, using K₂OsO₂(OH)₄/(DHQD)₂PHAL system and NMO as a co-oxidant, followed by scCO₂ extraction at 100 bar (Table SI.6.): The AD reaction was carried out as described before in ionic liquids (2 mL) [bdmim]BF₄, [C₈mim]BF₄, [C₁₀mim]BF₄, [bdmim]NTf₂, and [C₄mim]NTf₂, at room temperature for 24 hours. When completed, 1 mL of a reaction mixture was transferred to the high-pressure cell, and scCO₂ extraction with 2 volumes of screw injector pump (120 cm³; 1.72 mol of CO₂) was performed at a constant pressure of 100 bar.

The results of these experiments are presented in Table SI.6.

Table SI.6. Yield, enantiomeric excess and osmium content for AD reaction performed in [bdmim]BF₄, [C_8 mim]BF₄, [C_{10} mim]BF₄, [bdmim]NTf₂, and [C_4 mim]NTf₂, followed by scCO₂ extraction.

Ionic liquid	Yield [%]	e.e.[%]	Os content [%]
[bdmim]BF ₄	86 ^a	88 ^a	0.38 ^a
[C ₈ mim]BF ₄	90 ^a	94ª	0.47ª
[C10mim]BF4	90	96	0.56
[bdmim]NTf ₂	33 ^a	88 ^a	0.24ª
[C ₄ mim]NTf ₂	91 ^b	96 ^b	0.51 ^b

^aAverage of two independent CO₂ extraction procedures

^bAverage of three independent CO₂ extraction procedures

General procedure for reuse of the $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4/(DHQD)_2PHAL/IL$ system using NMO as a co-oxidant, followed by $scCO_2$ extraction at 100 bar (Table SI.7. and Table 2): In a 5 mL glass vial, ionic liquids $[C_{10}mim]BF_4$ or $[C_4mim]NTf_2$ (2 mL), (DHQD)_2PHAL ligand (16 mg; 1 mol%), $K_2OsO_2(OH)_4$ (4.0 \pm 0.1 mg; 0.5 mol%), NMO (400 mg; 0.5 mmol) and substrate 1-hexene (280 µL) were added. The reaction was carried out for 24 hours at room temperature. When completed, the reaction

mixture was transferred to the high-pressure cell, and $scCO_2$ extraction was performed at a constant pressure of 100 bar. After 120 cm³ of CO₂ were passed through the system, the samples were collected. Fresh quantity of NMO co-oxidant (400 mg) and substrate (280 µL) were added to the ionic liquid phase that remains in the cell after the extraction. The cell was pressurized up to 100 bar, and a new $scCO_2$ extraction was carried out. The results of [C₁₀mim]BF₄ recycling experiments are given in Table SI.7.

In case of $[C_4 \text{mim}]NTf_2$, for some runs (runs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 in Table 2), the sample was not only collected at the end of extraction, but also at the point when the first 60 cm³ of CO₂ were passed through the system, in order to determine how much of the product is extracted with this quantity of *sc*CO₂. The results suggest that most of the product is extracted with the first 60 cm³ of CO₂ (CO₂/product molar ratio ~ 400).

This high CO_2 /product ratio is the consequence of low extraction pressure, that was chosen in order to obtain CO_2

higher selectivity towards the desired diol product. On lowering the pressure, CO_2 density and solvent power decrease, so it is necessary to use higher amount of CO_2 to fully recover the product.

Table	SI.7.	Yield,	enantiomeric	excess	and	osmium	content	for
recycli	ng ex	perime	nts of osmium	catalyst,	imm	obilized in	ionic lic	luid
[C ₁₀ mi	m]BF	4•						

Run	Yield [%]	e.e.[%]	Os content [%] ^a
1	90	96	0.56
2	86	94	0.48
3	94	97	0.32
4	85	91	0.30
5	75	88	0.32

^aThe osmium content in the extracted *sc*CO₂ phase for all runs are in the range of the detection limit of the method used (by ICP)

Comparison of Spectral Data (1H and 13C NMR) of the Ionic Liquid Between the Initial and Final Recycled Sample



Figure SI.2. ¹H NMR spectra (in CDCl₃) of initial [C₄mim]NTf₂.

Figure SI.3. ¹³C NMR spectra (in $CDCl_3$) of initial [C₄mim]NTf₂.







Figure SI.5. ¹³C NMR spectra (in CDCl₃) of $[C_4 \text{mim}]$ NTf₂ after run 9 of the experiment presented in Table 2 (extraction with *sc*CO₂). Other compounds present in the ionic liquid: (*R*)-1,2-hexanediol; 72.60, 66.71, 32.92, 27.83, 22.66, 13.87; NMO; 65.26, 61.34, 59.82; *N*-Methyl-morpholine; 67.34, 55.24, 46.24.



Figure SI.6. ¹H NMR spectra (in $CDCl_3$) of $[C_4mim]NTf_2$ after run 9 of the experiment presented in Table 2 (extraction with *sc*CO₂) and further purification by washing with water and diethyl ether.



Figure SI.7. ¹³C NMR spectra (in $CDCl_3$) of $[C_4mim]NTf_2$ after run 9 of the experiment presented in Table 2 (extraction with *sc*CO₂) and further purification by washing with water and diethyl ether.



References

¹ Branco, L.C.; Afonso, C.A.M. J. Org. Chem, Accepted.

² Kitazume, T.; Zulfiqar, F.; Tanaka, G. Green Chemistry, 2000, **2**, 133; Visser, A. E; Swatloski, R. P.; Rogers, R. D. Green Chemistry, 2000, **2**, 1; Dupont, J.; Consorti, C. S.; Suarez, P. A. Z.; de Souza, R. F.; Fulmer, S. L.; Richardson, D. P.; Smith, T. E.; Wolff, S. Org. Synthesis, 2002, **79**, 236.

³ Branco, L. C.; Rosa, J. N.; Ramos, J. J. M.; Afonso, C. A. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 3671; Mateus, N. M. M.; Branco, L. C.; Lourenço, N. M. T.; Afonso, C. A. M. *Green Chem.* **2003**, *5*, 347. These ILs are also available from *solchemar* company (http://www.solchemar.com)