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## General Experimental

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-600 MHz spectrometer. Spectra were referenced to residual p-xylene peaks. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Andover, MA. Amines, electrophilic aromatics, and "proton sponge" were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO. Cavitand $\mathbf{1}$ was synthesized following established procedures. ${ }^{1}$ The synthesis of wall mimic 2 has been described previously. ${ }^{2}$

## Kinetic Measurements and Experimental Data

Samples were prepared for kinetic measurements by dissolving the amine of interest ( $\sim 20$ $\mathrm{mM}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) with (or without) cavitand $\mathbf{1}(\sim 10 \mathrm{mM}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and "proton sponge" $\mathbf{9}(20 \mathrm{mM})$ in $500 \mu \mathrm{l} d_{10}$-p-xylene in an NMR tube. The electrophilic aromatic substrate $(\sim 10 \mathrm{mM}, 1$ eq) was added from a concentrated stock solution to initiate the reactions.
The progress of reactions were monitored by integrating the peaks for bound and free $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ adduct as a function of time. Initial rates for the background and accelerated reactions were determined from the initial slopes of the [adduct] vs. time plots. The rate constants for reactions accelerated by $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained by fitting the experimental data with KinTekSim Software. The best fitting results were obtained with the model described below which accounts for product inhibition.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{N} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}{ } \mathrm{HN} \\
& \mathrm{HN}+\mathrm{AX} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{kacc}} \mathrm{HNA}+\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{P}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{AX} \xrightarrow{k_{c t r l}} \mathrm{NA}+\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{P}  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NA} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}_{2}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{HNA} \\
& \mathrm{HN}+\mathrm{P} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}_{3}}{ } \\
& \mathrm{HNP}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{H}=$ cavitand host; $\mathrm{N}=$ amine nucleophile; $\mathrm{HN}=$ host-nucleophile complex; $\mathrm{AX}=$ electrophilic aromatic; HNA = host-adduct complex; $\mathrm{X}=$ leaving group; $\mathrm{P}=$ proton; HNP = host-protonated amine complex.

The association constant for $\mathbf{1}$ binding to the amines was determined separately. The binding affinities of 1 for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ adduct were at least an order of magnitude greater than the amine starting materials. The association constants for the protonated amines was larger than what could be detected by NMR. The binding equilibria (1), (4), and (5) were treated as reversible reactions and were assumed to be fast relative to the reaction steps (2) and (3). $k_{\text {crrl }}$ was determined separately, so the data was fit by solving for $k_{\text {acc }}$. The kinetics simulation was simultaneously fit to the time-dependant concentrations of bound and free product. Tabulations of kinetic data and linear fits to determine initial rates are shown below.
I. $3+6$
$[3]=20.9 \mathrm{mM} ;[6]=10.4 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=10.4 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{9}]=20.9 \mathrm{mM} ; 500 \mu \mathrm{~d} d_{10}$-p-xylene
Table S1: control reaction

| Time/hrs | [adduct] <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.20 | 0.00 |
| 2.7 | 0.61 |
| 4.2 | 0.90 |
| 6.2 | 1.27 |
| 8.1 | 1.50 |
| 21.6 | 3.61 |
| 30.6 | 4.25 |

Table S2: accelerated reaction

| Time/hrs | [adduct $]_{\text {free, }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {bound }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {toata, }}$ <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 1.27 |
| 0.61 | 0.00 | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| 0.96 | 0.00 | 1.99 | 1.99 |
| 2.6 | 1.46 | 3.20 | 4.66 |
| 4.1 | 1.43 | 3.80 | 5.23 |
| 6.1 | 2.32 | 3.51 | 5.83 |
| 21.5 | 3.61 | 3.22 | 6.83 |
| 30.7 | 4.27 | 2.88 | 7.15 |



Figure S1. Acceleration of Reaction between $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ by $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S 2 . Linear Fits to Initial Rates $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ctrl}} \& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{acc}}\right)$
II. $3+7$
$[3]=20.77 \mathrm{mM} ;[7]=10.54 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=10.38 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{9}]=20.77 \mathrm{mM} ; 500 \mu \mathrm{l} d_{10}$-p-xylene
Table S3: control reaction

| Time, min | [adduct] <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3.22 | 0.14 |
| 6.17 | 0.38 |
| 8.92 | 0.53 |
| 12.5 | 0.88 |
| 17.5 | 1.4 |
| 53.1 | 2.6 |

Table S4: accelerated reaction

| Time, min | [adduct $]_{\text {total }}$ <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2.53 | 5.95 |
| 5.73 | 6.47 |
| 8.43 | 6.65 |
| 11.3 | 7.36 |



Figure S3. Acceleration of $S_{N} A r$ Reaction between $3 \& 7$ with 1.


Figure S4. Linear fit of control reaction for $\mathbf{3 + 7}$
III. $3+8$
$[3]=20.85 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{8}]=11.2 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=10.42 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{9}]=20.77 \mathrm{mM} ; 500 \mu \mathrm{l} d_{10}$-p-xylene no reaction in the absence of $\mathbf{1}$

Table S5: accelerated reaction

| Time, hrs | [adduct $]_{\text {total }}$, <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.69 | 0.00 |
| 3.64 | 1.94 |
| 6.83 | 1.99 |
| 8.89 | 2.35 |
| 23.2 | 3.79 |
| 29.2 | 4.00 |
| 47.3 | 4.69 |
| 51.8 | 4.95 |
| 97.9 | 5.60 |

IV. $4+6$
$[4]=20.77 \mathrm{mM} ;[6]=10.38 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=10.38 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{9}]=20.77 \mathrm{mM} ; 500 \mu \mathrm{~d} d_{10}$-p-xylene
Table S6: control reaction

| Time, hrs | [adduct] <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1.20 | 0.30 |
| 1.41 | 0.41 |
| 1.85 | 0.56 |
| 2.29 | 0.57 |
| 2.95 | 0.63 |
| 3.40 | 0.78 |
| 4.07 | 0.89 |
| 4.74 | 0.95 |
| 6.08 | 1.24 |
| 6.52 | 1.34 |

Table S7: accelerated reaction

| Time, hrs | [adduct $]_{\text {free }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {bound }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {total, }}$, <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.065 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| 0.103 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
| 0.166 | 0.00 | 2.15 | 2.15 |
| 0.210 | 0.00 | 2.20 | 2.20 |
| 0.293 | 0.00 | 2.94 | 2.94 |
| 0.438 | 0.16 | 2.28 | 2.44 |
| 0.630 | 0.48 | 3.22 | 3.70 |
| 0.965 | 0.56 | 3.32 | 3.88 |
| 1.13 | 0.62 | 3.41 | 4.03 |
| 1.30 | 0.93 | 3.56 | 4.49 |
| 1.63 | 1.15 | 3.6 | 4.75 |



Figure S5. Linear fits to Initial Rates
V. $5+6$
$[\mathbf{5}]=21.07 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{6}]=10.38 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=10.38 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{9}]=20.7 \mathrm{mM} ; 500 \mu \mathrm{l} d_{10}$-p-xylene
Table S8: control reaction

| Time, hrs | [adduct] <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.32 | 0.00 |
| 1.33 | 0.42 |
| 1.58 | 0.47 |
| 2.57 | 0.62 |
| 2.82 | 0.63 |
| 3.07 | 0.66 |
| 3.57 | 0.79 |
| 4.07 | 0.84 |
| 4.57 | 1.01 |
| 5.07 | 1.11 |
| 5.57 | 1.26 |
| 6.07 | 1.28 |
| 7.32 | 1.47 |
| 8.56 | 1.67 |

Table S9: accelerated reaction

| Time, hrs | [adduct $]_{\text {free }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {bound }}$, <br> mM | [adduct $]_{\text {total }}$, <br> mM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.465 | 0.465 |
| 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.860 | 0.860 |
| 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| 0.22 | 0.34 | 1.22 | 1.56 |
| 0.24 | 0.39 | 1.40 | 1.79 |
| 0.37 | 0.64 | 1.72 | 2.36 |
| 0.52 | 0.74 | 2.12 | 2.86 |
| 0.67 | 1.13 | 2.05 | 3.18 |
| 0.82 | 1.08 | 2.15 | 3.23 |
| 0.97 | 1.22 | 2.35 | 3.57 |
| 1.27 | 1.65 | 2.04 | 3.69 |
| 1.72 | 1.75 | 1.97 | 3.72 |
| 2.02 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 3.92 |
| 2.63 | 2.83 | 1.56 | 4.38 |



Figure S6. Acceleration of $S_{N} A r$ Reaction between 5 and 6 with 1.


Figure S7. Linear Fits to Initial Rates
VI. $3+6$ with wall mimic 2
$[3]=17.5 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{6}]=8.7 \mathrm{mM} ;[2]=37 \mathrm{mM} ;[\mathbf{1}]=8.7 \mathrm{mM} ; 600 \mu \mathrm{l} d_{10}-\mathrm{p}$-xylene.


Figure S 8 . Overlay of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction between $3 \& 6$ alone $(\diamond)$, in the presence of $\sim 4$ eq wall mimic $2(\downarrow)$, and in the presence of cavitand $\mathbf{1}(\bullet)$.
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