# Significant enhancement on selectivity in silica supported sulfonic acids catalyzed reactions

Ayman Karam, Yanlong Gu, François Jérôme\*, Jean-Paul Douliez and Joël Barrault

# **Supporting Information**

**Chemicals** : all functionnalized carboxylic acids, glycerol and dicyclopentadiene were purchased to Sigma-Aldrich and used as received without any purification. Silica precursors, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (MPTMS), were provided by Acros.

**Apparatus** : <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 DPX 300. Chemical shift are expressed in ppm relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si. IR spectra were recorded on a FT-IR Perkin Elmer (spectrum one) using ATR technology. Elemental analyses were measured on a NA 2100 Instrument. Specific area were determined on a TRISTAR 3000. Pore diameters were determined from the adsorption branch of the N<sub>2</sub> isotherm using the BJH method.

#### Chromatographic analyses

The reaction progress was monitored on a Varian 3300 GPC equipped with a BPX5 column (12m x 0.22 mm; phase thickness: 0.25  $\mu$ m) supplied by SGE, a Flame Detector Ionization and an injector on-column. Prior analysis, products were silvlated according to the Sahasrabuhde method (*J. Am. Oil. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **44**, 376). Yields and reaction progress were determined by internal calibration with dodecane. Table 1 summarizes the different response coefficients.

Derivative	Response coefficient
НО ()14 ОН	0.749
HO OH OH	0.826
	0.661
но он ОН ОН ОН ОН	1.031
	0.816
	0.805
	0.669
	0.748
	1.864

Table 1 : response coefficients of studied derivatives relative to dodecane

Preparation of HMS materials was inspired from the procedure described by Shanks et *al*. (see ref 11 in the manuscript) except than *n*-dodecylamine was replaced by *n*-hexadecylamine as structure directing agent.

#### Synthesis of HMS<sub>1</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub>H:

Typically, 3.2g (0.013mol) of *n*-hexadecylamine was dissolved at room temperature in aqueous ethanol (ethanol/water: 21/27). Then 8.3 g of tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, 0.039mol) and 1.97g of 3mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (MPTMS, 0.01 mol) was simultaneously but separately added to the template mixture. The resulting solution was stirred for 20 h at room temperature and the white solid was recovered by filtration. Removal of the *n*-hexadecylamine was carried out by soxhlet extraction over boiling ethanol for 18h affording the hybrid organic-inorganic HMS<sub>1</sub>-SH. Thiol groups were oxidized with 35% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (2g/g of solid) in a methanol/water mixture. The suspension was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature and then washed with ethanol and water. Finally, the resulting solid was suspended in 0.1M  $H_2SO_4$  (1g of solid per 100mL of solution) and stirred for an additional 4h before filtration and extensive washing with  $H_2O$ . The recovered HMS<sub>1</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub>H was dried in an oven at 50°C (10<sup>-1</sup> mmHg) for 18h.

#### Synthesis of HMS<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub>H:

 $HMS_2$ - $SO_3H$  was synthesized as described above except that the initial molar ratio tetraethoxysilane/3-mercaptopropylsilane was increase from 4 to 10 (TEOS : 0.05 mol and MPTMS : 0.005)

#### Synthesis of SBA-15-SO<sub>3</sub>H

Pluronic (4g) was dissolved in 125g of aqueous HCl (1.9M) and stirred at room temperature. The solution was then heated at 40°C before addition of 7.7 g (0.0369 mol) of TEOS. After stirring for 45mn, MPTMS (0.8 g, 0.0041 mol) and 0.0369 mol of 35% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added. The solution was then stirred for 24h at 40°C and aged into a teflon autoclave for an additional 24h at 100°C. The resulting solid was finally collected by filtration and thoroughly washed with water. The recovered SBA-15-SO<sub>3</sub>H was dried in an oven at 50°C ( $10^{-1}$  mmHg) for 18h.

#### **Titration of solid**

0.2 g of acid solid was suspended in 20mL of aqueous solution of KCl (0.1M) and stirred for 30 mn. Titration of the resulting solution was then carried out with a solution of KOH 0.02M and the pH evolution was monitored by a Metrohm pH meter.

#### General procedure for the esterification of functional carboxylic acids with glycerol

Functional carboxylic acids (1mmol), glycerol (6mmol) and 2.5 mol% of supported sulfonic groups were mixed in an opening conic tube and stirred at the desired temperature (see table 1 in the manuscript) under air. At the end of the reaction, reaction products were directly extracted from the crude with ethyl acetate (2 x 3mL). The organic phase was evaporated and the ester derivatives were finally purified by flash silica gel chromatography (Silica Gel 60 $\square$ m) using a mixture ethyl acetate-heptane (7/3) as eluent for esters from juniperic, aleuritic and thapsic acids and 1/1 from ester produced from 12-hydroxystearic acid. All the different targeted esters were obtained as a white solid.

#### 2,3-dihydroxypropyl 16-hydroxyhexadecanoate (from juniperic acid)



 $\frac{^{1}\text{H RMN}}{^{1}\text{H RMN}} (300 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_{5}\text{D}_{5}\text{N}) \delta (\text{ppm}) 1.26 \text{ (m, 20H, CH}_{2}), 1.52 \text{ (m, 2H, CH}_{2}), 1.65 \text{ (m, 2H, CH}_{2}), 1.76 \text{ (m, 2H, CH}_{2}), 2.36 \text{ (t, 2H, CH}_{2}, {^{3}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 7.4 \text{ Hz}), 3.89 \text{ (t, 2H, CH}_{2}\text{OH}, {^{3}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}), 4.13 \text{ (d, 2H, CH}_{2}\text{OH}, {^{3}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 5.4 \text{Hz}), 4.46 \text{ (m, 1H, CHOH}), 4.67 \text{ (dd, 1H, CHa}, {^{2}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 11.1 \text{ Hz}, {^{3}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 6.3 \text{ Hz}), 4.74 \text{ (dd, 1H, CHb}, {^{2}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 11.1 \text{ Hz}, {^{3}\text{J}_{\text{HH}}} = 4.8 \text{ Hz}), 5.88 \text{ (s, 1H, OH)}, 6.51 \text{ (s, 1H, OH)}, 6.86 \text{ (s, 1H, OH)}.$ 

<sup>13</sup>C RMN (75 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N) δ (ppm) 26.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.2-30.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 35.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 62.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 65,2 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 67.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 71.8 (CHOH), 174.6 (C=O).

IR (neat) v 1172, 1192, 1391, 1462, 1733 (C=O), 2848 (C-H), 2915 (C-H), 3310 (O-H) cm<sup>-1</sup>

<u>Elemental analysis:</u> Calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: %C 65.86, %H 11.05. Measured: %C 65.79; %H 11.03

mp= 74-75°C

### 2,3-dihydroxypropyl-9,10,16-trihydroxyhexadecanoate



<sup>1</sup><u>H RMN</u> (300 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N) δ (ppm) 2.72 (m, 8H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.06 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.27 (m, 8H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.79 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.5$  Hz), 5.30 (m, 2H, 2 x CHOH), 5.34 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>OH,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.4$  Hz ), 5.6 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>OH,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5.4$  Hz), 5.94 (m, 1H, CHOH), 6.14 (dd, 1H, CHa,  ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11.1$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.3$  Hz), 6.20 (dd, 1H, CHb,  ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11.1$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.5$  Hz), 6.5 (broad peak, 2H, 2 x OH), 7.25 (broad peak, 2H, 2 x OH), 7.41 (broad peak, 1H, OH)

<sup>13</sup>C RMN (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 23.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.8-28.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 62.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 62.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 64.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 69.4 (CHOH), 73.6 (CHOH), 76.4 (CHOH), 173.5 (C=O).

<u>IR (neat)</u> v 1047, 1172, 1413, 14642, 1736 (C=O), 2849 (C-H), 2927 (C-H), 3338 (O-H) cm<sup>-1</sup>

Elemental analysis: Calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: %C 60.29; %H 10.12. Measured: %C 60.49; %H 9.80.

<u>mp</u>=54-55°C

# Bis(2,3-dihydroxypropyl) 16-hydroxy hexadecanoate (from thapsic acid)



 $\frac{1_{\rm H} \text{ RMN}}{^3 \text{J}_{\text{HH}}} (300 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_5\text{D}_5\text{N}) \delta (\text{ppm}) 1.21 \text{ (m, 20H, CH}_2\text{), } 1.67 \text{ (m, 4H, CH}_2\text{), } 2.37 \text{ (t, 4H, CH}_2\text{, } 33 \text{ J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}\text{), } 4.13 \text{ (d, 2H, CH}_2\text{OH, } 33 \text{ J}_{\text{HH}} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}\text{), } 4.46 \text{ (m, 2H, CHOH), } 4.66 \text{ (dd, 1H, CH}_3\text{, } 4.13 \text{ (d, 2H, CH}_2\text{OH, } 33 \text{ J}_{\text{HH}} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}\text{), } 4.46 \text{ (m, 2H, CHOH), } 4.66 \text{ (dd, 1H, CH}_3\text{, } 1.23 \text{ (d, 2H, CH}_2\text{OH, } 33 \text{ J}_{\text{HH}} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}\text{), } 4.46 \text{ (m, 2H, CHOH), } 4.66 \text{ (dd, 1H, CH}_3\text{, } 1.23 \text{ (m, 2H, CH}_2\text{, } 1.23 \text{ (m, 2H, CH}_3\text{, } 1.23 \text$ 

 ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11.1$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.3$  Hz), 4.74 (dd, 1H, CHb,  ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11.1$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.8$  Hz), 5.03 (br s, 2H, OH), 6.79 (br s, 2H, OH).

<sup>13</sup>C RMN (75 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N) δ (ppm) 24.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.5-29.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 63.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 65.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 70.1 (CHOH), 172.9 (C=O).

<u>IR (neat)</u> v 1167, 1195, 1242, 1286, 1387, 17323 (C=O), 2848 (C-H), 2915 (C-H), 3239 (O-H) cm<sup>-1</sup>

<u>Elemental analysis:</u> Calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: %C 60.81; %H 9.74. Measured: %C 60.95; %H 9.71

mp=85-86°C

#### 2,3-dihydroxypropyl-12-hydroxyoctadecanoate (from 12-hydroxystearic)



 $\frac{^{1}\text{H RMN}}{^{1}\text{H RMN}} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_{3}) \delta (\text{ppm}) 0.82 (\text{t}, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_{3}, {^{3}J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}), 1.20 (\text{m}, 24\text{H}, \text{CH}_{2}), 1.36 (\text{m}, 2\text{H}, 2 \text{ CH}_{2}), 1.56 (\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{CH}_{2}, {^{3}J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}), 2.28 (\text{t}, 2\text{H}, \text{CH}_{2}, {^{3}J}_{\text{HH}} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}), 3.53 (\text{dd}, 2\text{H}, \text{CHc}, {^{2}J}_{\text{HH}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, {^{3}J}_{\text{HH}} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}), 3.53 (\text{dd}, 2\text{H}, \text{CHd}, {^{2}J}_{\text{HH}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, {^{3}J}_{\text{HH}} = 4.0 \text{ Hz}), 3.86 (\text{m}, 1\text{H}, \text{CHOH}), 4.08 (\text{m}, 3\text{H}, \text{CHaHb}, \text{CHOH}), \text{ one OH group was not seen.}$ 

<sup>13</sup>C RMN (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 14.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.4-30.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 37.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 63.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 65.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 70.6 (CHOH), 72.4 (CHOH), 174,7 (C=O).

<u>IR (neat)</u> v 1059, 1175, 1464, 1729 (C=O), 2850 (C-H), 2926 (C-H), 3338 (O-H) cm<sup>-1</sup>

<u>Elemental analysis:</u> Calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O %C 64.25, %H 11.30. Measured: %C 64.66, %H 11.48.

mp=72-73°C

#### Selective esterification of maleic with dicyclopentadiene.

Maleic acid (1mmol), dicyclopentadiene (3mmol) and 2.5 mol% of supported sulfonic groups were mixed in an opening conic tube and stirred at 105°C. Ater total consumption of the maleic acid, the crude was diluted in 3mL of ethyl acetate and purified by flash silica gel chromatography (Silica Gel  $60\mu$ m) using ethyl acetate/heptane (3/7) as eluent affording the monester derivative as a yellow pale viscous oil.

# (2Z)-4-(3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-4,7-methanoinden-5-yloxy)-4-oxobut-2-enoic acid (from maleic acid and dicyclopentadiene)



<sup>1</sup><u>H RMN</u> (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 1.27 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.45 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1,80 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 1,82 (m, 1H, CH); 2.01 (m, 1H, CH); 2.08 (m, 1H, CH); 2.53 (m, 1H, CH); 4.76 (m, 1H, CHO); 5.36 (m, 1H, CH); 5.64 (m, 1H, CH) ; 6.24 (d, 1H, CH, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 12.6 Hz); 6.34 (d, 1H, CH, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 12.6 Hz), CO<sub>2</sub>H not seen.

<sup>13</sup>C RMN (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 29.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 39.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 39.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.8 (CH), 43.8 (CH), 46.8 (CH), 52.0 (CH), 81.1 (CH), 130.8 (CH), 131.4 (CH), 134.2 (CH), 137.2 (CH), 165.7 (C=O), 168.5 (C=O).

<u>IR (neat)</u> v 990, 1053, 1167, 1412, 1634 (COOH), 1720 (C=O), 2845 (C-H), 2957 (C-H), 3343 (O-H) cm<sup>-1</sup>

Elemental analysis: Calculated for C14H16O4: %C 67.73, %H 6.50. Measured: %C 67.85 %H 6.82