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## Experimental Section

Materials. All chemicals are commercially available unless mentioned elsewhere. All reactions and manipulations were carried out under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with the use of standard inert-atmosphere and Schlenk techniques. Solvents used for synthesis were dried by standard procedures and stored under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Solvents used in luminescent and electrochemical studies were spectroscopic and anhydrous grade, respectively. The compounds 2,4,6-tris(3-pyridyl)triazine $(\mathrm{L})^{1}$ and (dmbpy) $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{2}$ were prepared according to published procedures. Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) used as supporting electrolyte was rigorously dried (in vacuo at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h ) prior to use.

Synthesis of complex 1. A $100-\mathrm{mL}$ flask was charged with (dmbpy) $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)(0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bridging ligand $\mathrm{L}(0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$. To this mixture was added $30-\mathrm{mL}$ THF and the resulting suspension was refluxed for 16 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Subsequently, $20-\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added to redissolve the solid and filtered to remove any undissolved solid. Slow diffusion of diethyl ether into the filtrate $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ afforded the analytical pure product in $76 \%$ yield. IR $\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : 2035, 1934. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $9.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $9.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 8.77(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ), $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 195.6, 192.1, 168.4, 155.9, 154.9, 153.9, 153.3, 151.1, 139.4, 132.9, 129.5, 127.8, 125.5, 21.0. ESI-MS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})=1964.96\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}\right.$, calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1965.16$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~F}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Re}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 35.85$; H, 2.29; N, 7.96. Found: C, 35.61; H, 2.44; N, 7.91.

Equipment and Procedures. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were obtained using a Brücker AC 300 or a Brücker AMX 400 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were measured on a Nicolet 20SXC Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. UV-Vis spectra were obtained using a Varian Cary 300 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were recorded in deoxygenated $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution at 293 K with a Fluorolog III fluorescence spectrophotometer. Luminescence quantum yields were calculated relative to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in air-equilibrated water $(\Phi=0.028)$. Luminescence quantum yields were taken as the average of three separate determinations and were reproducible to within $10 \%$. Luminescence lifetimes were determined on an Edinburgh FL920 time-correlated pulsed single-photon-counting instrument. Samples were degassed via freeze-thaw-pump cycle at least 5 times prior to measurements. Samples were excited at 337 nm from a nitrogen pulsed flashlamp with 1 ns FWHM
pulse duration transmitted through a Czerny-Turner design monochromator. Emission was detected at $90^{\circ}$ via a second Czerny-Turner design monochromator onto a thermoelectrically cooled red-sensitive photomultiplier tube. The resulting photon counts were stored on a microprocessor-based multichannel analyzer. The instrument response function was profiled using a scatter solution and subsequently deconvoluted from the emission data to yield an undisturbed decay. Nonlinear least squares fitting of the decay curves were performed with the Levenburg-Marquardt algorithm and implemented by the Edinburgh Instruments F900 software.

Electrochemical measurements were recorded on a CHI potentiostat/galvanostat. The electrochemical cell consisted of a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\left(0.01 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution) reference electrode. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained in deoxygenated $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with the electroactive material $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and 0.1 M TBAH as supporting electrolyte. Ferrocene ( Fc ) was used as an internal standard for both potential calibration and reversibility criteria. All potentials for the complexes in the study are reported relative to $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$. The scan rate was $200 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. All experiments were run under a nitrogen atmosphere.


Fig. S1 X-ray powder pattern for complex 1: the calculated powder pattern for the hkl reflections based on the single-crystal model (a) and that of experimentally observed powder pattern (b).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction: X-Ray diffraction data for 1 was collected on a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer having graphite monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) radiation. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz polarisation effects ${ }^{1}$ and a multiscan absorption correction ${ }^{2}$. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS ${ }^{3}$ or SIR $-97^{4}$ ) and refined on $F^{2}$ using all data by full-matrix least squares procedures (SHELXL $97^{5}$ ). The hydrogen atoms were included in the ideal positions with fixed isotropic U values and were riding with their respective non-hydrogen atoms and refined. All calculations were performed using the WinGX interface. ${ }^{6}$ Detailed analyses of the extended structure were carried out using PLATON ${ }^{7}$ and MERCURY ${ }^{8}$ (Version 1.4.1). One solvent molecule (acetone) and one $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion are disordered that lead to deviation in bond length (C67-C69, C68-C69 and P2-F9, P2-F11) and bond angle. This may be a probable reason that the second WGHT parameter could not be reduced further.

## Description of Crystal Structure $\left[\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{Re}_{3}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3} \bullet \mathbf{2 ( a c e t o n e )}$ (1): (dmbpy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)



Fig. S2 Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$ showing encapsulation of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion inside cavity of metallacavitand formed by isohedrally arranged Re- metal ion.


Fig. S3 Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$ showing strong anion- $\pi$ interaction between fluorine atom of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion and centroid of triazine of ligand (a) and (b) the shortest distance between fluorine atom of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion and C -atom of triazine center is $3.022 \AA$.


Fig. S4 Crystal packing showing $\pi-\pi$ interaction between two dmbpy moieties of two neighboring Re-complexes. All H -atoms has been removed for clarity.


Fig. S5 Crystal packing showing $\pi-\pi$ interaction between one arm (3-pyridyl) each of two neighboring Re-complexes. All H -atoms has been removed for clarity.


Fig. S6 Helical arrangement of complex 1 via two different types of $\pi-\pi$ stacking along $a$-axis (one, $\pi-\pi$ interaction between two dmbpy moieties and second, $\pi-\pi$ interaction between one arm (3-pyridyl) each of two neighboring Re-complexes). All H -atoms has been removed for clarity.


Fig. S7 Crystal packing showing helical arrangement of complex 1 via $\pi-\pi$ stacking (a) ball-stick model (left) and (b) space-fill model (right). Each conical cavity occupying one $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion and rest two $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions (per molecule) are involved in helical arrangement of complex $\mathbf{1}$. Solvent molecules have been removed for clarity.


Fig. S8 The coordination environment around each octahedrally arranged Re-metal ion in complex $\mathbf{1}$, showing dmbpy moiety binds at equatorial position.


Fig. S9 Crystal packing diagram showing various interactions between two 1D-chain in $a b$-plane. Each discrete structure having three $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions to balance the charge of three Re-metal ion, one $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion ( P 1 ) is encapsulated within cavity (has been shown by light color) and rest two $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions ( $\mathrm{P} 2 \& \mathrm{P} 3$ ) connecting two 1D-chain together (their interactions have been shown by gray colored dotted lines) to form two-dimensional sheet. All H -atoms (except those, playing role in intermolecular interactions) have been removed for clarity.

Table S1. Crystal Lattices and Refinement Data for the crystal of complex 1

|  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~F}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Re}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 2226.83 |
| Temperature (K) | 150.0(1) |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| $\mathrm{a}, \AA$ | 22.8100(5) |
| $\mathrm{b}, \AA$ | 25.0562(5) |
| c, $\AA$ A | 14.1649(3) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 99.4580(10) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 |
| V, $\AA^{3}$ | 7985.6(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | 1.852 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.702 |
| F (000) | 4312 |
| T (min.) | 0.125 |
| T (max.) | 0.205 |
| Data/parameter | 13979/1045 |
| Reflection(Unique) | 52621 |
| GOOF | 1.041 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Final }} \quad \mathrm{R} 1=$ | 0.0424 |
| $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ wR2 $=$ | 0.1109 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }} \mathrm{R} 1=$ | 0.0590 |
| (all data) wR2 = | 0.1230 |

Table S2. Selected bond distances $(\AA \AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in crystal structure of complex 1

| Re1 --- C56 | $1.927(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Re1 --- C57 | $1.928(9)$ |
| Re1 -- C55 | $1.931(9)$ |
| Re1 --- N7 | $2.170(6)$ |
| Re1 -- N8 | $2.186(6)$ |
| Re1 -- N4 | $2.206(6)$ |
| Re2 -- C60 | $1.906(8)$ |
| Re2 --- C59 | $1.927(9)$ |
| Re2 -- C58 | $1.943(10)$ |
| Re2 --- N10 | $2.173(7)$ |
| Re2 --- N9 | $2.176(6)$ |
| Re2 -- N5 | $2.222(6)$ |
| Re3 -- C61 | $1.912(9)$ |
| Re3 -- C62 | $1.925(8)$ |
| Re3 -- C63 | $1.928(8)$ |
| Re3 --- N11 | $2.171(6)$ |
| Re3 --- N6 | $2.172(7)$ |
| Re3 -- N6 | $2.236(6)$ |


| C55 ---Re1 ---N8 | 170.5(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C56 ---Re1 ---C57 | 90.4(3) |
| C56 ---Re1 ---C55 | 89.0(4) |
| C57 ---Re1 ---C55 | 87.3(4) |
| C56 ---Re1 ---N7 | 92.9(3) |
| C57 ---Re1 ---N7 | 172.4(3) |
| C55 ---Re1 ---N7 | 99.6 (3) |
| C56---Re1 ---N8 | 98.6(3) |
| C57 ---Re1 ---N8 | 98.4(3) |
| N7 ----Rel ---N8 | 74.4(2) |
| C56 ---Re1 ---N4 | 177.5(3) |
| C57 ---Re1 ---N4 | 91.9(3) |
| C55 ---Re1 ---N4 | $92.2(3)$ |
| N7 ----Re1 ----N4 | 84.7(2) |
| N8 ----Re1 ----N4 | 80.0(2) |
| C60 ---Re2 ---C59 | 87.9(4) |
| C60 ---Re2 ---C58 | 89.1(4) |
| C59 ---Re2 ---C58 | 91.0(4) |
| C60---Re2 ---N10 | 97.5(3) |
| C59---Re2 ---N10 | 99.0 (3) |
| C58 ---Re2 ---N10 | 168.2(3) |
| C60 ---Re2 ---N9 | 171.9(3) |
| C59 ---Re2 ---N9 | $90.9(3)$ |
| C58 ---Re2 ---N9 | 99.0 (3) |
| N10 ---Re2 ---N9 | 74.8 (3) |
| C60 ---Re2 ---N5 | $93.5(3)$ |
| C59 ---Re2 ---N5 | 178.3(3) |
| C58 ---Re2 ---N5 | 90.0(3) |
| N10 ---Re2 ---N5 | 79.9 (3) |
| N9 ----Re2 ---N5 | 87.5(2) |
| C61---Re3---C62 | 87.3(4) |
| C61---Re3---C63 | 87.1(3) |
| C62---Re3---C63 | 85.3(3) |
| C61 ---Re3---N11 | 97.9(3) |
| C62 ---Re3---N11 | 96.5(3) |
| C63 ---Re3---N11 | 174.8(3) |
| C61 ---Re3---N12 | 171.3(3) |
| C62 ---Re3---N12 | 97.5(3) |
| C63 ---Re3---N12 | 100.5(3) |
| N11 ---Re3---N12 | 74.4(3) |
| C61 ---Re3---N6 | $95.7(3)$ |
| C62 ---Re3---N6 | 176.6(3) |
| C63 ---Re3---N6 | 93.3(3) |
| N11 ---Re3---N6 | 84.6(2) |
| N12 ---Re3---N6 | 79.7(2) |



Fig. S10 Left: fitted spectra of complex 1 (blue curve) and $1 \cdot \mathrm{~F}^{-}$adduct (red curve) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution. Right: \% formation vs. $\mathrm{F}^{-}$concentration; blue curve, complex 1 and red curve, $1 \cdot \mathrm{~F}^{-}$adduct.
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$\qquad$


Fig. S11 Plots of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra of complex 1 on addition of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The doublet appeared at -72.1 ppm is the signal from $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$, whereas the peak appeared at -117 ppm belongs to free TBAF.


Fig. S12 Plots of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of complex 1 on addition of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
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