Supporting Information

Two spin-competing manganese coordination polymers exhibiting unusual multi-step magnetization jumps

Wei-Xiong Zhang, Wei Xue, Yan-Zhen Zheng and Xiao-Ming Chen*

MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

Fax: +86-20-84112245; Tel: +86-20-84112074

e-mail: cxm@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Preparation

1,2,3-Triazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (H₃tzdc) was synthesized according to the patent method.¹ MnCO₃ was synthesised by MnCl₂·6H₂O and Na₂CO₃. All other reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The C, H and N elemental analyses were carried out with a Vario EL elemental analyzer.

Preparation of Mn₃(tzdc)₂(H₂O)₂(NH₃)₂ (1): A mixture of MnCl₂·6H₂O (0.119 g, 0.6 mmol), H₃tzdc·2H₂O (0.077 g, 0.4 mmol), H₂O (1 mL), ethanol (1 mL) and aqueous ammonia (1.0 mL) was sealed in a 6-mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and heated at 140 °C for 3 days, followed by slow cooling (0.1 °C/min) to room temperature. The precipitate was washed by ultrasonic cleaning and then the colourless crystals of 1 were collected in a yield of 20% based on H₃tzdc·2H₂O. Elemental analysis calc. for C₈H₁₀Mn₃N₈O₁₀: C, 17.69; H, 1.86; N, 20.64%; found: C, 17.73; H, 1.92; N, 20.78%.

Preparation of Mn₃(tzdc)₂(H₂O)₄ (2): A mixture of freshly prepared MnCO₃ (0.115 g, 1.0 mmol), H₃tzdc·2H₂O (0.192 g, 1.0 mmol) and H₂O (10 mL) was sealed in a 23-mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and heated at 160 °C for 3 days, followed by slow cooling (0.1 °C/min) to room temperature. The colourless block crystals of **2** were collected in a yield of 70% based on MnCO₃. Elemental analysis calc. for C₈H₈Mn₃N₆O₁₂: C, 17.63; H, 1.48; N, 15.42%; found: C, 17.64; H, 1.53; N, 15.21%.

The single-crystal X-ray crystallography: The diffraction intensities were collected at 298(2) K on a Bruker Apex CCD area-detector diffractometer (Mo K_{α} , $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). All

intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and empirical absorption corrections based on equivalent reflections were applied (SADABS). The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on F^2 with SHELXTL program package.² All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The H atoms were generated geometrically. Selected bond distances and bond angles are listed in Table S1.

In the structure of **2**, there is a pseudo symmetry element (Checkcif report, Alert B), it should be mainly caused by the μ_5 -tzdc³⁻-bridged chain running along the b axis (Fig. 2b), which has a local inversion centre between Mn1 and Mn2 atoms.

Crystal data for 1: C₈H₁₀Mn₃N₈O₁₀, M = 543.06, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, T = 298(2)K, a = 12.293(1), b = 9.453(1), c = 7.296(1) Å, $\beta = 99.465(2)^{\circ}$, V = 836.3(2) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 2.157$ g·cm⁻³, $\mu = 2.305$ mm⁻¹, a total of 4014 reflections were collected, 1623 of which were unique ($R_{int} = 0.02$), final $R_1 = 0.0364$ for $I > 2\sigma(I)$, $wR_2 = 0.0912$ for all data, GOF = 1.047, CCDC 724458;

Crystal data For 2: C₈H₈Mn₃N₆O₁₂, M = 545.02, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, T = 298(2)K, a = 7.560(1), b = 16.358(1), c = 13.073(1) Å, $\beta = 92.652(1)^{\circ}$, V = 1615.0(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 2.242$ g·cm⁻³, $\mu = 2.394$ mm⁻¹, a total of 6982 reflections were collected, 3087 of which were unique ($R_{int} = 0.0172$), final $R_1 = 0.03$ for $I > 2\sigma(I)$, $wR_2 = 0.0784$ for all data, GOF = 1.071, CCDC 724459.

[1] G. H. Suverkropp, P. L. Alsters, C. S. Snijder and J. G. De Vries, US Pat., 5 917 049, 1999;

[2] SHELXTL 6.10, Bruker Analytical Instrumentation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2000.

Table S1 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for 1 and 2

1 ^{<i>a</i>}					
Mn1-O1	2.195(2)	O1-Mn1-N4	91.1(1)	O1W-Mn2-O4C	87.90(9)
Mn1-N1	2.262(2)	N1-Mn1-N4	94.6(1)	O2-Mn2-O3	84.94(8)
Mn1-N4	2.263(3)	O1-Mn1-N1A	106.02(8)	O3B-Mn2-O3	74.28(8)
Mn2-O2	2.107(2)	O1-Mn1-N4A	88.9(1)	O1W-Mn2-O3	164.95(9)
Mn2-O3B	2.168(2)	N1A-Mn1-N4	85.4(1)	O4C-Mn2-O3	83.85(8)
Mn2-O1W	2.185(3)	O2-Mn2-O3B	98.04(9)	O2-Mn2-N3C	91.87(9)
Mn2-O4C	2.225(2)	O2-Mn2-O1W	106.17(9)	O3B-Mn2-N3C	162.57(8)
Mn2-O3	2.243(2)	O3B-Mn2-O1W	93.80(9)	O1W-Mn2-N3C	97.2(1)
Mn2-N3C	2.258(2)	O2-Mn2-O4C	160.91(8)	O4C-Mn2-N3C	73.27(8)
O1-Mn1-N1	73.98(8)	O3B-Mn2-O4C	93.73(8)	O3-Mn2-N3C	92.47(8)
2^{b}					
Mn1-N1	2.169(2)	O1W-Mn1-N1	101.41(7)	N3A-Mn2-O6	89.54(7)
Mn1-O1	2.314(2)	O2W-Mn1-N1	92.82(7)	O7-Mn2-O4A	163.94(6)
Mn1-O4A	2.167(2)	O4A-Mn1-N1	148.71(6)	O1-Mn2-O4A	77.52(6)
Mn1-O1W	2.098(2)	O1W-Mn1-O3W	93.31(8)	O4W-Mn2-O4A	88.83(6)
Mn1-O2W	2.147(2)	O2W-Mn1-O3W	168.94(7)	N3A-Mn2-O4A	71.86(6)
Mn1-O3W	2.308(2)	O4A-Mn1-O3W	86.60(6)	O6-Mn2-O4A	84.33(6)
Mn2-O1	2.146(2)	N1-Mn1-O3W	80.66(7)	O2B-Mn3-O5C	165.59(6)
Mn2-O6	2.180(2)	O1W-Mn1-O1	171.49(7)	O2B-Mn3-N6	97.38(7)
Mn2-O7	2.135(2)	O2W-Mn1-O1	88.88(7)	O5C-Mn3-N6	94.88(7)
Mn2-O4A	2.353(2)	O4A-Mn1-O1	77.96(6)	O2B-Mn3-O3B	85.75(7)
Mn2-N3A	2.177(2)	N1-Mn1-O1	71.85(6)	O5C-Mn3-O3B	84.62(7)
Mn2-O4W	2.156(2)	O3W-Mn1-O1	80.62(6)	N6-Mn3-O3B	100.39(6)
Mn3-O2B	2.168(2)	O7-Mn2-O1	117.11(6)	O2B-Mn3-O8	86.42(6)
Mn3-O5C	2.187(2)	O7-Mn2-O4W	98.93(7)	O5C-Mn3-O8	104.23(7)
Mn3-N6	2.193(2)	O1-Mn2-O4W	83.89(6)	N6-Mn3-O8	74.24(6)
Mn3-O3B	2.203(2)	O7-Mn2-N3A	94.60(7)	O3B-Mn3-O8	169.85(6)
Mn3-O8	2.209(2)	O1-Mn2-N3A	147.75(6)	O2B-Mn3-N4C	94.88(6)
Mn3-N4C	2.224(2)	O4W-Mn2-N3A	85.59(7)	O5C-Mn3-N4C	74.52(6)
O1W-Mn1-O2W	96.75(8)	O7-Mn2-O6	87.03(6)	N6-Mn3-N4C	164.15(8)
O1W-Mn1-O4A	107.79(7)	O1-Mn2-O6	97.39(6)	O3B-Mn3-N4C	90.46(7)
O2W-Mn1-O4A	94.65(7)	O4W-Mn2-O6	172.58(6)	O8-Mn3-N4C	96.63(7)

^{*a*}Symmetry codes for **1**: A) -x+2,-y+1,-z; B) -x+3,-y+1,-z+1; C) x,-y+3/2,z+1/2;

^bSymmetry codes for **2**: A) -x,y+1/2,-z+3/2; B) -x+1,-y+1,-z+1; C) x,-y+3/2,z-1/2.



Fig. S1 The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of 1.



Fig. S2 The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of 2.

The fitting of temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibilities of 1 and 2

Obviously, an exact theoretical treatment of magnetic properties for such complicated 3D systems cannot be carried out because there are too many kinds of magnetic exchange paths. However, the magnetic coupling interactions passed by the double μ_2 -O bridges should be much stronger than the other interactions passed by the single carboxylate or triazolate bridges. So we could estimate the magnetic coupling interactions, by assuming that the total magnetic susceptibility χ_{tol} is given by the sum of the single Mn²⁺ ions and Mn²⁺ dimers with intra-dimer (*J*₁) and inter-dimer coupling (*zJ*^{*}).

$$\chi_{\rm tol} = \chi_{\rm Mn1} + \chi_{\rm dimer} \tag{1}$$

$$\chi_{\rm Mn1} = \frac{N\beta^2 g^2}{3kT} S(S+1) \tag{2}$$

$$\chi_{\text{dimer}} = \chi'_{\text{dimer}} / [1 - 2zJ'\chi'_{\text{dimer}} / N\beta^2 g^2]$$
(3)

$$\chi'_{\text{dimer}} = \frac{2N\beta^2 g^2}{kT} \cdot \frac{e^{2x} + 5e^{6x} + 14e^{12x} + 30e^{20x} + 55e^{30x}}{1 + 3e^{2x} + 5e^{6x} + 7e^{12x} + 9e^{20x} + 11e^{30x}}$$
(4)

Where $x (= J_1/kT)$ and S (= 5/2) represent the spin momentum quantum number of Mn²⁺, N is Avogadro number, β is the Bohr magneton, g is Zeeman factor, and k is Boltzmann constant. To avoid deviation of eq. (1) at low temperature because of the interaction between single ions and dimers, the data only above 20 K were fitted by least-squares method, leading to the following parameters for 1: g = 2.08(1), $J_1 = -1.69(4)$ cm⁻¹, zJ' = -0.11(4) cm⁻¹, $R = 3.3 \times 10^{-6}$; and for 2: g =2.023(1), $J_1 = -1.63(2)$ cm⁻¹, zJ' = -0.57(3) cm⁻¹; $R = 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ ($R = [\Sigma(\chi_{obs}T - \chi_{calc}T)^2 / \Sigma(\chi_{obs}T)^2]$).

Both of their *g* factors are slightly larger then 2.0, which should be ascribed to certain distortions of the coordination environment of Mn^{2+} ions (see table S1). Their J_1 parameters are very similar, which is consistent with the very similar bond parameters of dimers in both compounds (see Table S2). The interactions between the dimers and single ions were omitted in this model, but actually these interactions have similar amplitudes with the inter-dimer couplings. Thus, the *zJ*' would be over-estimated in fitting due to the mixing of all these interactions. Since there are more carboxylate bridges linking the dimers and single ions in **2** than that in **1**, and some of them may passed stronger magnetic exchange interaction due to the shorter Mn···Mn distances (Table S2), which are responsible to the larger amplitude of *zJ*' in **2**, as well as the higher citical temperature of **2**.

Compound		1	2	
	$d_{ m Mn-O}$ (Å)	2.168(2), 2.243(2)	2.146(2), 2.167(2), 2.314(2), 2.353(2)	
Intra-dimer	∠Mn-O-Mn (°)	105.73(1)	101.30(6), 103.22(6)	
	$d_{\mathrm{Mn}\cdots\mathrm{Mn}}(\mathrm{\AA})$	3.517(1)	3.497(1)	
	J_1 /cm ⁻¹	-1.60(4)	-1.63(2)	
	The Mn…Mn distance	5.705(1), 5.949(1),	5.387(1), 5.537(1), 5.885(1),	
The other	bridged by carboxylate (Å)	5.977(1)	6.015(1), 6.053(1), 6.068(1)	
bridges The Mn…Mn distance		C (A1(1)	6 400(1) 6 527(1)	
	bridged by triazolate (Å)	0.041(1)	0.400(1), 0.337(1)	
zJ^{\prime}/cm^{-1}		-0.24(4)	-0.57(3)	
Weiss constant θ / K		-11.9(2)	-15.02(5)	

Table S2 Selected bond parameters related to the magnetic exchange interactions for 1 and 2

The magnetic properties measurement

The purity of powder sample was verified by powder XRD pattern collected on Bruker D8-Adavance (Figure S1, S2); The magnetic measurements were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL7 SQUID magnetometer. All experimental magnetic data were corrected for the contribution of sample holder and the diamagnetic contribution calculated from Pascal constants.

The single-crystals of *ca*. 30 μ g (1) and 40 μ g (2) were used to perform the magnetzation measurements at 1.8 K. The relation between the crystallographic axes and single-crystal's shape was estabished after determining the orientation matrix on an Oxford CCD area-detector diffractometer. Then the single-crystal was carefully stuck on a copper wire with certain orientation by GE7031 varnish and settled on the sample holder. The magnetic field is parallel with the copper wire. The orientation accuracy of axes was estimated to be several degrees during the magnetic measurements. The magnetization data of single-crystals were corrected by comparing with the data of the powder sample, and their derivatives were smoothed.



Fig. S3 The orientated-single-crystal sample of 1 used in the magnetization measurement: a) the photo on the diffractometer; b, c) the c axis is parallel and perpendicular to the copper wire, respectively.



Fig. S4 The orientated-single-crystal sample of 2 used in the magnetization measurement: a, b) the photos on the diffractmeter; c, d) the c axis is parallel and perpendicular to the copper wire, respectively.



Fig. S5 The powder magnetization (M) vs. field (H) hysteresis loops and their derivatives of 1 (a) and 2 (b).



Fig. S6 The transformation from the regular Shastry-Sutherland lattice (a) to the distorted Shastry-Sutherland lattice (c) of the planar layer in 1 (d).