

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Synthesis and Structure of a Magnesium-Amidoborane Complex and its Role in Catalytic Formation of a New *bis*-Aminoborane Ligand

Jan Spielmann,^a Michael Bolte^b and Sjoerd Harder*

^aFachbereich Chemie, Universitätsstrasse 5, 45117 Essen, Germany.

E-mail: sjoerd.harder@uni-due.de; Fax: +49 201 1832621;

Tel: +49 201 1833684

^bInstitut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main, Max-von-Laue-Str. 7, D-60438 Frankfurt/Main

Contents:	Page:
1) Syntheses	2
2) Crystal structure determination	5
3) References	9

1) Syntheses

All experiments were carried out under argon using standard Schlenk-techniques and freshly dried solvents. The following starting materials have been prepared according to literature: (DIPP-nacnac)Mg[N(SiMe₃)₂],^[1] [(DIPP-nacnac)MgH]₂,^[2] NH₂(DIPP)BH₃,^[3] (DIPP-nacnac = CH{(CMe)(2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N)}₂). Di-*n*-butylmagnesium solution in heptane was purchased from Acros Organics and used as received.

(DIPP-nacnac)MgBH₄

Method a): 20 mg (0.03 mmol) (DIPP-nacnac)Mg[N(SiMe₃)₂] was dissolved in 0.5 mL of benzene-*d*₆. To this solution six equivalents of NH₂(DIPP)BH₃ were added stepwise over a period of three hours and the reaction was followed using ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was cooled slowly to 8 °C. This resulted in precipitation of colourless blocks of (DIPP-nacnac)Mg(BH₄) suitable for X-Ray analysis.

Method b): 360 mg (0.41 mmol) [(DIPP-nacnac)MgH]₂ was dissolved in 6 mL of benzene. To this solution 61 mg (0.81 mmol) BH₃(SMe₂) was added. Overnight colourless crystals of (DIPP-nacnac)Mg(BH₄) precipitated. Yield: 236 mg, 0.27 mmol, 64 %. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₅₈H₉₀B₂Mg₂N₄ (M_r = 913.60): C 76.24, H 9.93; found C 75.93, H 9.92. ¹H{¹B} NMR (500 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 20 °C): δ = 0.05 (s (br), 8H, BH₄), 0.99 (br, 24H, *i*Pr), 1.12 (d, ³J(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 24H, *i*Pr), 1.51 (s, 12H, Me backbone), 3.12 (sept, ³J(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 8H, *i*Pr), 4.76 (s, 2H, H backbone), 7.03-7.11 (m, 12H, aryl). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 20 °C): δ = -38.3 (br). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 20 °C): 24.3 (Me backbone), 24.8 (*i*Pr), 24.9 (*i*Pr), 28.5 (*i*Pr), 95.5 (backbone), 124.3 (aryl), 126.2 (aryl), 142.9 (aryl), 144.2 (aryl), 170.4 (backbone).

(DIPP-nacnac)MgNH(Dipp)BH₃

500 mg (0.56 mmol) [(DIPP-nacnac)MgH]₂ were dissolved in 10 mL of toluene. This solution was cooled to 0 °C and 216 mg (1.13 mmol) NH₂(DIPP)BH₃ in 2 mL of toluene was added slowly. The temperature was allowed to rise to 25 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred for one hour. Then the reaction mixture was concentrated to a volume of about 3 mL and slowly cooled to -27 °C to give colourless crystals of (DIPP-nacnac)MgNH(DIPP)BH₃. Yield: 422

mg, 0.67 mmol, 59 %. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for $C_{41}H_{62}BMgN_3$ ($M_r = 632.07$): C 77.91, H 9.89; found C 77.13, H 9.84. $^1H\{^{11}B\}$ NMR (500 MHz, [benzene- d_6], 20 °C): $\delta = 1.00$ (d, $^3J(H,H) = 6.6$ Hz, 12H, *iPr* amidoborane), 1.10 (d, $^3J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 12H, *iPr* nacnac), 1.24 (d, $^3J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 12H, *iPr* nacnac), 1.65 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 1.97 (sept, $^3J(H,H) = 6.6$ Hz, 4H, *iPr* amidoborane), 2.44 (d (br), 3H, BH_3), 2.86 (q, $^3J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, 1H, NH), 3.29 (sept, $^3J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 4H, *iPr*), 4.95 (s, 1H, H backbone), 6.88-6.56 (m, 3H, aryl), 7.06-7.14 (m, 6H, aryl). ^{11}B NMR (160 MHz, [benzene- d_6], 20 °C): $\delta = -17.7$ (br). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (75 MHz, [benzene- d_6], 20 °C): 24.2 (*iPr* amidoborane), 24.5 (*iPr*), 24.6 (*iPr*), 25.1 (Me backbone), 28.7 (*iPr* amidoborane), 29.2 (*iPr*), 95.4 (backbone), 122.8 (aryl amidoborane), 123.8 (aryl), 124.7 (aryl), 126.3 (aryl amidoborane), 139.1 (aryl amidoborane), 142.8 (aryl), 170.6 (backbone).

HB[NH(DIPP)]₂

Method a): to a solution of 1.50 g (7.85 mmol) $NH_2(DIPP)BH_3$ in 20 mL toluene was added 240 mg (0.40 mmol) (DIPP-nacnac) $Mg[N(SiMe_3)_2]$ (5 mol%, calculated on the active rest). The solution was heated to 60 °C for 14 hours and afterwards the solvent was evaporated. To the residue was added 5 mL of hexane and the insoluble precipitate, presumably (DIPP-nacnac) $MgBH_4$, was filtered off. Hexane was evaporated to give $HB[NH(DIPP)]_2$ quantitatively as a colourless powder. This reaction can equally well be performed with the commercially available nBu_2Mg (solution in heptane) as a catalyst. In this case 2.5 mol% (or 5 mol% calculated on the active butyl rest) of the catalyst gave $HB[NH(DIPP)]_2$ in nearly quantitative yield (1.40 g, 3.8 mmol, 98%).

Method b): Two equivalents of DIPP-aniline (6.23 g, 35.14 mmol) and one equivalent of $BH_3(SMe_2)$ (1.37 g, 17.60 mmol) were mixed with 18 mL of toluene in a 50 mL steel reactor. To this solution 0.88 mL of a 0.5 M solution of nBu_2Mg in heptane (2.5 mol%) was added. The reactor was closed and heated to 60 °C for 14h. After the reaction was completed the evolved gas was released slowly and the solvent was evaporated. 30 mL of hexane were added to the residue and the insoluble Mg salts were filtered off. The mother liquor was isolated and the hexane was removed under vacuum to give $HB[NH(DIPP)]_2$ nearly quantitatively as a colourless powder (6.37 g, 17.50 mmol, 99%).

It should be mentioned that the reactions outlined above in principal also can be performed without a catalyst. However, the conversions are extremely slow and, even after prolonged

reaction times, never complete (< 50%). In these cases the product HB[NH(DIPP)]₂ cannot be separated from the starting compound DIPP-aniline. Purification by multiple recrystallization from hexane failed due to the high solubility of the product and cocrystallization of the unreacted DIPP-aniline. Therefore Mg-catalyzed reactions should be the method of choice.

Crystals suitable for X-Ray analysis could be obtained by slowly cooling a concentrated hexane solution of HB[NH(DIPP)]₂ to 8 °C. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₂₄H₃₇BN₂ (M_r = 364.37): C 79.11, H 10.24 found C 78.72, H 10.31. ¹H NMR spectra in benzene show two sets of signals which likely arise from two different conformations. The B-N bonds have double bond character and free rotation around this bond is hindered. This could explain the two different conformations with DIPP-substituents in either *endo*- or *exo*- positions. Due to steric hindrance an (*endo*, *endo*) conformation is unlikely and only (*endo*, *exo*) and (*exo*, *exo*) conformations are possible. ¹H{¹¹B} NMR (500 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 20 °C): conformer 1: δ = 1.16-1.23 (br, 12H, *i*Pr), 3.40 (br, 4H, *i*Pr), 3.89 (br, 2H, NH), 4.40 (br, 1H, BH), 7.08-7.14 (m, 6H, aryl); conformer 2: δ = 1.16-1.23 (br, 12H, *i*Pr), 3.58 (br, 4H, *i*Pr), 3.98 (br, 2H, NH), 4.40 (br, 1H, BH), 7.08-7.14 (m, 6H, aryl). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 70 °C): δ = 1.20 (d, ³J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 12H, *i*Pr), 3.50 (sept., ³J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 4H, *i*Pr), 3.97 (br, 2H, NH), 4.50 (br, 1H, BH), 7.09-7.12 (m, 6H, aryl). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 20 °C): δ = 27.6 (br). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, [benzene-*d*₆], 70 °C): δ = 23.7 (*i*Pr), 28.6 (*i*Pr), 123.6 (aryl) 126.1 (aryl), 128.3 (aryl), 145.5 (aryl).

2) Crystal structure determination

Single crystals have been measured on a Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer or a STOE IPDS II two-circle-diffractometer. Structures have been solved and refined using the programs SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97, respectively.^[4] All geometry calculations and graphics have been performed with PLATON.^[5]

Crystal structure determination for HB(NHDIPP)₂.

The crystal was twinned but the reflections in the two domains could be well separated from each other. The structure was refined by merging the data from the two domains. The Flack parameter for this non-centrosymmetric structure refined to 1.9(19). The large error is likely due to the two domain refinement. All hydrogen atoms have been observed and could be refined isotropically.

Crystal structure determination for [(DIPP-nacnac)MgBH₄]₂.

Most hydrogen atoms have been placed on idealized calculated positions, however, the hydrogen atoms for the BH₄ fragment have been observed and were refined isotropically.

Crystal structure determination for (DIPP-nacnac)MgNH(DIPP)BH₃.

Although the crystal was small and showed poor diffraction a structure could be determined. All hydrogen atoms have been placed on idealized calculated positions, except for that on N3 which was located and could be refined. The hydrogen atoms on the BH₃ could not be located and its positions have been calculated.

Data for HB(NHDIPP)₂

Formula	C ₂₄ H ₃₇ B N ₂		
Formula Weight	364.37		
Crystal System	Monoclinic		
Space group	P21	(No. 4)	
a, b, c [Angstrom]	10.2052(9)	10.3128(9)	11.5048(11)
alpha, beta, gamma [deg]	90	108.727(5)	90
V [Ang**3]	1146.71(18)		
Z	2		
D(calc) [g/cm**3]	1.055		
Mu(MoKa) [/mm]	0.060		
F(000)	400		
Crystal Size [mm]	0.20 x 0.20 x 0.50		

Data Collection

Temperature (K)	123		
Radiation [Angstrom]	MoKa	0.71073	
Theta Min-Max [Deg]	1.9, 30.1		
Dataset	-14: 14 ; -14: 14 ; -16: 15		
Tot., Uniq. Data, R(int)	14398,	6317,	0.054
Observed data [I > 2.0 sigma(I)]	5329		

Refinement

Nref, Npar	6317, 391		
R, wR2, S	0.0474, 0.1133, 1.05		
w = 1/[\s^2^(Fo^2^)+(0.0487P)^2^+0.0160P] where P=(Fo^2^+2Fc^2^)/3			
Max. and Av. Shift/Error	0.00, 0.00		
Flack x	1.9(19)		
Min. and Max. Resd. Dens. [e/Ang^3]	-0.21, 0.17		

Data for [(DIPP-nacnac)MgBH₄]₂

Formula	C58 H90 B2 Mg2 N4		
Formula Weight	913.58		
Crystal System	Monoclinic		
Space group	P21/n	(No. 14)	
a, b, c [Angstrom]	14.3266(11)	13.9025(8)	15.1584(13)
alpha, beta, gamma [deg]	90	106.287(6)	90
V [Ang**3]	2898.0(4)		
Z	2		
D(calc) [g/cm**3]	1.047		
Mu(MoKa) [/mm]	0.079		
F(000)	1000		
Crystal Size [mm]	0.43 x	0.44 x	0.47

Data Collection

Temperature (K)	173		
Radiation [Angstrom]	MoKa	0.71073	
Theta Min-Max [Deg]	3.5, 25.6		
Dataset	-17: 17 ; -16: 16 ; -14: 18		
Tot., Uniq. Data, R(int)	18615,	5409,	0.049
Observed data [I > 2.0 sigma(I)]	4369		

Refinement

Nref, Npar	5409, 317		
R, wR2, S	0.0460,	0.1298,	1.05
w = 1/[\s^2^(Fo^2^)+(0.0760P)^2^+0.4462P] where P=(Fo^2^+2Fc^2^)/3			
Max. and Av. Shift/Error	0.00, 0.00		
Min. and Max. Resd. Dens. [e/Ang^3]	-0.20, 0.46		

Data for (DIPP-nacnac)MgNH(DIPP)BH₃

Formula	C41 H62 B Mg N3		
Formula Weight	632.06		
Crystal System	Monoclinic		
Space group	P21/c	(No. 14)	
a, b, c [Angstrom]	18.295(4)	11.8119(14)	18.846(3)
alpha, beta, gamma [deg]	90	103.004(15)	90
V [Ang**3]	3968.2(12)		
Z	4		
D(calc) [g/cm**3]	1.058		
Mu(MoKa) [/mm]	0.075		
F(000)	1384		
Crystal Size [mm]	0.16 x	0.17 x	0.19

Data Collection

Temperature (K)	173		
Radiation [Angstrom]	MoKa	0.71073	
Theta Min-Max [Deg]	3.5, 25.0		
Dataset	-21: 17 ; -12: 14 ; -22: 22		
Tot., Uniq. Data, R(int)	17455,	6903,	0.109
Observed data [I > 2.0 sigma(I)]	2340		

Refinement

Nref, Npar	6903, 421		
R, wR2, S	0.0924,	0.2375,	0.87
w = 1/[\S^2^(FO^2^)+(0.0769P)^2^] WHERE P=(FO^2^+2FC^2^)/3'			
Max. and Av. Shift/Error	0.00, 0.00		
Min. and Max. Resd. Dens. [e/Ang^3]	-0.30, 0.34		

3) References

- [1] D. J. Williams, A. J. P. White, J. A. Segal, E. L. Marshall, H. Pimpa, V. C. Gibson, A. P. Dove, *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, *15*, 3088.
- [2] C. Jones, A. Stasch, S. P. Green, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* **2008**, *47*, 9079.
- [3] J. Spielmann, S. Harder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5064.
- [4] (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXS-97, Program for Crystal Structure Solution*, **1997**, Universität Göttingen, Germany. (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement*, **1997**, Universität Göttingen, Germany.
- [5] A. L. Spek, *PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool* **2000**, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.