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## Materials and general methods:

All solvents used were of analytical grade. Analyte solutions were prepared from KCl , $\mathrm{NaCl}, \quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \quad \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{BaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}, \mathrm{LaCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by separately dissolved in distilled water, 2.5 mM for $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, 25.0 \mathrm{mM}$ for other cations. A 10.0 mM solution of RPd1 $(26.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in DMSO ( 5 mL ) and stored in a refrigerator for use. A 100 mM solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(262.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in DMSO $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 5.0 mM stock solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in $75: 25 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Further dilution of the 5.0 mM stock solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ with MeOH was done to prepare the 1.0 mM and $100.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solutions. A $50.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(3.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0125$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution, directly used for analysis. A 5.0 mM solution of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 1.0 mM solution of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, freshly synthesized from $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, with colour of light yellow) was prepared in $95: 5 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DMF}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Measurements were done after addition of different cations to RPd1 solutions overnight.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectras were recorded on a VARIAN INOVA- 400 spectrometer chemical shifts reported as ppm (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, TMS as internal standard). Mass spectrometry data were obtained with a HP1100LC/MSD mass spectrometer and a LC/Q-TOF MS spectrometer. Fluorescence measurements were performed on a VAEIAN CARY Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Serial No. FL0812-M018) and the slit width was 5 nm for excitation and 2.5 nm for emission. All pH measurements were made with a Model PHS-3C meter.

The quantum yield of RPd1, RPd1 $-\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ and $\mathbf{R P d} 1-\mathrm{Pd}^{0} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ were determined according to the method bellow.

$$
\varphi_{u}=\frac{\left(\varphi_{s}\right)\left(F A_{u}\right)\left(A_{s}\right)\left(\lambda_{e x s}\right)\left(\eta_{u}^{2}\right)}{\left(F A_{s}\right)\left(A_{u}\right)\left(\lambda_{e x u}\right)\left(\eta_{s}^{2}\right)}
$$

Where $\varphi$ is fluorescence quantum yield; FA is integrated area under the corrected emission spectra; A is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength; $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is the excitation wavelength; $\eta$ is the refractive index of the solution; the subscripts $u$ and $s$ refer to the unknown and the standard, respectively. We chose rhodamine B as standard, which has the fluorescence quantum yield of 0.49 in ethanol. ${ }^{1}$

## Reactors contamination experimental procedure.

To four 10 mL round-bottom flasks were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ and THF ( 3 mL ). To three of the four flasks were then added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(10 \mathrm{mg}$ respectively). The mixtures in the three flasks were stirred at room temperature for 1 h , and then all chemicals were removed. The four flasks were brushing with detergent, washing with water and acetone three times.

To the four washed flasks were added 5 mL of RPd1 aqueous solution ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The solutions were stirred at room temperature overnight, then fluorescence measurements were performed (Slit width was 5 nm both for excitation and emission).

## Synthetic procedures:



Scheme S1. Synthesis of RPd1.

## Synthesis of 2

Rhodamine hydrazide (2) was synthesized from rhodamine B by the procedure published in literature. ${ }^{2}$

## Synthesis of RPd1

2 ( $300.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(96.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 20 mL acetic ether in a $50-\mathrm{mL}$ flask. 1.0 mL (excess) 3-bromopropene was then added dropwises with vigorous stirring. The mixture was refluxed overnight. After removal of acetic ether under vacuum, the residue was purified by flash chromatography with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ acetic ether as eluent to give the white powder RPd1 ( 159.3 mg , yield: $45.0 \%$ ) . TLC analysis: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.6$ in $5.0 \%$ acetic ether in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$
$\left.=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Xanthene- H$), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Xanthene-H), $6.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Xanthene-H), $5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{ppm}): 165.97,155.01,149.30,149.15,135.89,133.85,132.80,129.31$, $128.35,124.44,122.63,116.67,107.75,107.18,98.50,66.15,58.58,45.05,12.36$; Q-TOF MS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 537.3230$; found 537.3223 .

## Experimental procedures



Fig. S1. Time dependent fluorescence intensity (at 580 nm ) changes of RPd1 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ in ethanol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \lambda_{\text {ex }}=530 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Fig. S2. Absorption (a, at 560 nm ) and fluorescence (b, at 580 nm ) intensities of RPd1 $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}(0-20 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Condition: ethanol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution, $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=530 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Fig. S3. a, fluorescence intensity at 580 nm of RPd1 $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ ( $0-106.4 \mathrm{ppb}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ in the form of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ is equal to 106.4 ppb of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ ). b , normalized response of the fluorescence signal to changing $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ concentrations. A linear regression curve was then fitted to these normalized fluorescence intensity data, and the point at which this line crossed the ordinate axis was considered as the detection limit $\left(1.85 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{3} \mathrm{Y}=7.41509+1.1014 * \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{R}=0.9912$.


Fig. S4. Absorption (a, at 560 nm ) and fluorescence (b, 580 nm ) responses of RPd1 (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ to miscellaneous cations. White bars represent RPd1 only and RPd1 + cations; Black bas represent RPd1 $+\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ in the presence of other cations $\left(10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ for $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ and 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for others). Condition: ethanol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution, $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=530 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Fig. S5. Influence of pH on fluorescence at 580 nm for $\mathbf{R P d 1}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in ethanol
aqueous solution, $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=530 \mathrm{~nm}$. The pH of solution was adjusted by aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ or $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$.


Fig. S6. Time dependent fluorescence intensity (at 580 nm ) changes of RPd1 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ in ethanol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right.$, contained $\left.100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PPh} h_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=530$ nm .


Fig. S7. Absorption (a) and fluorescence (b) spectral changes of RPd1 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) upon addition of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}(0-20 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Inset: a, absorption intensity at $560 \mathrm{~nm}(0-20 \mu \mathrm{M})$; b, fluorescence intensity at $580 \mathrm{~nm}(0-6 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Condition: ethanol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution, $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=530 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## LHL

0904011417 (0.424) AM (Cen,6, 80.00, Ar,5000.0,475.27,0.70,LS 10); Sm (SG, 2x3.00); Sb (1,40.00 ); Cm (5:37)


Fig. S8. TOF-MS of RPd1.

LHL-1


Fig. S9. TOF-MS of RPd1 $+\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ : $\left[\mathbf{R P d 1}+\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$.

## LHL-1



Fig. S10. TOF-MS of RPd1 $+\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}:\left[\mathbf{R P d} 1+\mathrm{Pd}^{0}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2+},\left[\mathbf{R P d} 1+\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+},\left[\mathbf{R P d} 1+\mathrm{Pd}^{0}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$.


Fig. S11. TOF-MS of RPd1 $+\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}:\left[\mathbf{R P d} 1+\mathrm{Pd}^{0}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$.


Fig. S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of RPd1.


Fig. S13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of RPd1.


Fig. S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY of RPd1.
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