Electronic Supplementary Information for

Unsymmetrical one-electron oxidized Ni(II)bis(salicylidene) complexes: A protonation-induced shift of the oxidation site.

Amélie Kochem, Maylis Orio, Olivier Jarjayes, Frank Neese, Fabrice Thomas



Fig S1. Titration of $2H^+$ (0.05 mM in CH₂Cl₂) by 0 to one molar equivalent of NEt₃ (2.5 mM). Arrows indicate spectral changes when NEt₃ is added. *T* = 298 K, *l* = 1.000 cm.



Fig. S2 Differential pulse voltammogram recorded for 1 mM CH₂Cl₂ (+ 0.1 M TBAP) solutions of $2H^+$ (blue) and 2 (red). The potential values are given versus the Fc/Fc⁺ couple. *T* = 298 K, pulse amp. 0.05 V.



Fig. S3 Cyclic voltammetry curves of a 1 mM CH_2Cl_2 solution (+ 0.1 M TBAP) of complex **2**. Scan rate: 0.1 V s⁻¹, T = 298 K. The potentials are referenced versus the Fc/Fc⁺ couple.



Fig S4. UV-Vis spectra of $(2^{\circ})^{+}$ (red) and $(2H^{\circ})^{2+}$ (black) in CH₂Cl₂ (+0.005 M TBAP). T = 243 K, l = 1.000 cm.



Fig S5. Decay pattern of $(2^{\circ})^+$ in CH₂Cl₂ at 298 K, l = 1.000 cm.



Fig S6. Isotropic X-Band EPR spectra of a 1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution (containing 0.1 M TBAP) of $(2^{\circ})^+$. Solid lines is experimental spectrum, dotted lines a simulation using the parameters given in the text. *T* = 223 K, microwave frequency 9.42 GHz, power 20 mW, modulation frequency 100 KHz, amplitude 0.1 mT.



Fig. S7 X-Band EPR spectra of 0.5 mM CH₂Cl₂ solutions (containing 0.1 M TBAP) of $(2^{\circ})^{+}$ (a) and $(2^{\circ})^{+}$ in the presence of three equivalents of HClO₄ (b, spectrum multiplied by a three factor).* T = 100 K, microwave frequency 9.42 GHz, power 20 mW, modulation frequency 100 KHz, amplitude 0.4 mT.

*: In the presence of three equivalents of $HClO_4$ protonation is not fully achieved, likely due to the enhanced acidity of the dimethylaminophenoxyl radical moiety with respect to the dimethylaminophenolate. Under these conditions the EPR signal is less intense, suggesting some decomposition promoted by the excess of acid.



Fig S8. Spin density plots for $(2^{\bullet})^+$ (a) and $(2H^{\bullet})^{2+}$ (b).

Table S1. Computed nitrogen and proton hyperfine coupling constants (MHz) for $(2^{\circ})^{+}$ *

		U		71		1 0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/
	N33	H42	H43	H76	H77	H78	H81	H82	H83
A_1	-0.42	-3.95	1.49	-1.29	30.84	34.57	-1.31	35.50	37.35
A_2	-0.55	-14.02	-2.07	-1.56	32.43	35.93	-1.67	36.43	38.27
A ₃	50.56	-17.34	4-3.62	5.61	37.86	41.46	5.53	42.41	44.21
A _{iso}	16.53	-11.77	-1.40	0.92	33.71	37.32	0.85	38.11	39.94

* Note that our calculations highlight the non-equivalence of the protons of the $N(CH_3)_2$ group . On one hand, we observe that H76 and H81 have an almost zero value due to their specific in-plane orientation with respect to the phenoxyl ring. On the other hand, {H77,H78} and {H82, H83} both feature an angle of 60 degrees with the phenoxyl ring, which is in line with larger hyperfine coupling constants. Since the experimental A values were obtained by simulation of an isotropic EPR spectrum (free rotation of the methyl groups of the N-dimethylamino substituent), a better comparison could be made by using the averaged value A_{iso} of the computed hyperfine couplings of {H76, H77, H78, H81, H82, H83}, which is 25.14 MHz.

The following labelling is used (where (a) denotes $(2^{\bullet})^+$ and (b) $(2H^{\bullet})^{2+}$):

