# **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

# Selective and very Efficient Dye Scavenging by a pH-

Responsive Molecular Hydrogelator

Francisco Rodríguez-Llansola,<sup>a</sup> Beatriu Escuder,<sup>\*,a</sup> Juan F. Miravet<sup>\*,a</sup>, Daniel Hermida-Merino,<sup>b</sup> Ian. W. Hamley,<sup>,b</sup> Christine J. Cardi,<sup>b</sup> and Wayne Hayes<sup>\*,b</sup>

 a) Departament de Química Inorgànica i Orgànica, Universitat Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat s/n, 12071 Castelló, Spain.

b) Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AD UK

#### CONTENTS:

(A) Synthesis and characterization of compound 1	
(B) Hydrogel formation procedures	<u></u> S5
(C) Thermal stability studies	S6
(D) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of deprotonated gelator and hydrogel	S7
(E) Thermochromatic and solvatochromatic properties of the hydrogels	S8
(F) X-Ray Powder diffraction	S10
(G) Dye adsorption studies	S11
(H) Crystal structure data	S16





A solution of 4-nitrophenyl isocyanate (0.64 g, 3.9 mmol) in 50 mL of dry THF was added dropwise over a solution of 5-aminoisophthalic acid (0.78 g, 4.3 mmol) in 50 mL of dry THF in presence of 8.62 mmol of triethylamine. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The resulting yellow solid was treated with 50 mL of 1 M aqueous NaOH and the insoluble material removed by filtration. The red solution was treated with aqueous HCl to obtain a yellow gel (pH=3). The gel phase was filtered, washed with distilled water and finally the solvent was evaporated under vacuum to yield compound **1** as a yellow solid.

Yield = 1.25 g, 92 %; m.p. = 296-297 °C; IR (KBr) v = 3493, 3377, 1714, 1616, 1601, 1569, 1506 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6):  $\delta$  = 7.72 (2 H, d, J = 9.2 Hz), 8.12 (1 H, s), 8.19 (2 H, d, J = 9.2 Hz), 8.30 (2 H, s), 9.46 (1 H, s), 9.62 (1 H, s), 13.26 (2 H, br,s) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6):  $\delta$  = 117.810, 123.075, 123.845, 125.138, 131.849, 139.903, 141.266, 146.149, 152.095, 166.543 ppm; ESI-MS (*m*/*z*) = 346.0677 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>,

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **1** (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 0.2 M)



<sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of 1 (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 0.2 M)





HMBC-NMR spectra of 1 (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 0.2 M)

HSQC-NMR spectra of 1 (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, 0.2 M)



#### (B) Hydrogel formation procedures

#### *1. In water-methanol mixtures*

In a typical procedure 5 mg of compound 1 (14.5 10-2 mmol) were heated in a screwcapped vial containing 1 mL of a 9:1 water:methanol mixture until complete dissolution. Upon to cooling to room temperature a gel was formed after *ca*. 5 minutes.

#### 2. pH tuning with HCl

In a typical procedure 5 mg of compound 1 (14.5 10-2 mmol) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of 0.1 M aqueous NaOH. pH was carefully adjusted to *ca*. 3 with a 0.1 M aqueous solution of HCl to yield instantaneously a hydrogel. In order to improve gel homogeneity the system can be heated in a screw capped vial at 90 °C for several minutes and then sonicated at room temperature for 5 minutes.

#### *3. pH* tuning with glucono- $\delta$ -lactone

In a typical procedure 5 mg of compound **1** ( $14.5 \times 10^{-2}$  mmol) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of 0.1 M aqueous NaOH. The solution was acidified by slow hydrolysis of glucono- $\delta$ -lactone (0.5 mL of 0.2 M aqueous solution).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adams, D. J.; Butler, M. F.; Frith, W. J.; Kirkland, M.; Mullen, L.; Sanderson, *Soft Matter* **2009**, *5*, 1856-1862

#### (C) Thermal stability studies

The vials containing the gels were immersed in an oil bath with controlled temperature and the gel stability upon vial inversion was tested.



**Figure S1.** Dependence of thermostability of hydrogels formed by compound **1** on the concentration of gelator. The gels were obtained by acidification with aqueous HCl of a solution of **1** in basic water and sonication.

## (D) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of deprotonated gelator and hydrogel.



**Figure S2.** a) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of compound **1** in  $D_2O$  (pH=12, DMSO is used as internal standard). b) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum after hydrogel formation at pH= 3. (G = signals corresponding to gelator **1**)

### (E) Thermochromatic and solvatochromatic properties of the hydrogels.



Figure S3. Colour changes observed upon addition of water to a solution in methanol dissolution.



Figure S4. UV-vis spectra of compound 1 (1.5 mM) in methanol and in methanol:water 10:90.



Figure S5. UV-vis spectra of compound 1 (1.5 mM in  $80:20 \text{ H}_2\text{O:MeOH}$ ) at different temperatures.



**Figure S6.** Powder XRD profile of the xerogel of compound **1**. (Obtained from a gel formed in a 9:1 water:methanol mixture).

### (G) Dye adsorption studies



**Figure S7.** Structure of the dyes used in the experiments reported in Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of a solution of methylene blue (7.3 mM, 1 mL) deposited on a hydrogel formed by 1 (1 mL, 14.5 mM) recorded at different times (4 = 1h, 3 = 6 h, 2 = 24 h, 1 = 48 h).



Figure S8. Time dependence of the variation of dye concentration for methylene blue solutions (7.3 mM, 1 mL) deposited on 1 mL of the hydrogels formed by 1 and  $2^2$  (14.5 mM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rodríguez-Llansola, F.; Miravet, J.F.; Escuder, B.; Chem Commun, 2009, 7303-7305.



**Figure S9**. Effect of vial shape on the kinetics of the absorption of methylene blue in the hydrogels formed by **1**. A solution of methylene blue (3.5 mM, 1.5 mL) was deposited on 1 mL of the hydrogel (14.5 mM) formed in vials of different diameter of compound **1**. After 6 hours the solution of dye was analysed by UV-vis spectroscopy.

**Table S1.** Efficiency of dye removal by the pH-tuning gel formation approach. ([Dye] = 2 mM, [gelator] = 3  $mM^{a}$ 

	(absorbed dye/gelator) molar ratio	(absorbed dye/gelator) mass ratio
Methylene blue 604 nm	0.73	0.79
Methyl violet 2B 539 nm	0.58	0.79
Indigo carmine 611 nm	0.09	0.1

a) A solution of dye (4 mL, 1mg/mL) was added to a solution of gelator at basic pH. Addition of 0.5 mL of 0.1 M aqueous HCl provoked the desired gelation. After filtration, the solutions were analysed by UV-vis spectroscopy.



Figure S10. Variation of thermal stability of hydrogel formed by 1 (8.7 mM) with the addition of methylene blue (gels were formed by pH-tuning in the presence of the dye by addition of glucono- $\delta$ -lactone).



**Figure S11.** Methylene blue removal process by pH-triggered hydrogel formation. The final pictures on the right side show the result of filtering through a sintered glass half of the gel sample, remaining the other half as a gel in the vial.



Figure S12. Reversible hydrogel formation by 1 in the presence of methyl violet 2B

#### (H) Crystal structure data

Crystal data for 5-(3-(4-nitrophenyl)ureido)isophthalic acid (compound 1):  $C_{15}H_{11}N_3O_7 M$ = 345.27, monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 15.9755(5), b = 18.8482(4), c = 26.1414(11) Å,  $\beta = 106.689(4)^\circ$ ;  $V = 7539.9(5) Å^3$ , Z = 4,  $D = 1.217 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , F(000) = 2848.0. T = 150 °K;  $\mu$  (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ) = 0.099 mm <sup>-1</sup>. 16647 Independent reflections were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Gemini-S-Ultra diffractometer . The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F<sup>2</sup> using SHELXL97. Final conventional R factor 0.0654, wR2 0.1875. All the solvent molecules were removed from the final cif file using SQUEEZE.



**Figure S13.** Space-filling and schematic representation of the ribbons formed by hydrogen bonding between urea and nitro groups in the crystal structure of compound **1**.



**Figure S14.** Wireframe representation of a layer found in the crystal structure of **1**. Successive ribbons formed by urea-nitro hydrogen bonding are colored in red and blue.