### Photoswitchable triple hydrogen-bonding motif

Martin Herder, Michael Pätzel, Lutz Grubert, and Stefan Hecht\*

Department of Chemistry, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Brook-Taylor-Str. 2, 12489 Berlin (Germany). Fax: (+49) 30 2093-6940; E-mail: sh@chemie.hu-berlin.de

## **Table of contents**

General methods	S2
Photochemistry	S2
NMR-titration studies	S4
Cyclic voltammetry	S6
Synthesis	S7

Appendix (copies of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra and UPLC-traces of **1a/b**, **2a/b**, and **3**) S14

**General methods.** Solvents and commercial starting materials were used as received. 1-Bromo-4-*n*-hexyloxybenzene **4**,<sup>1</sup> 3,4-dibromo-1*H*-pyrrole-2,5-dione **9**,<sup>2</sup> and 4,6-diamino-2chloro-1,3,5-triazine **13**<sup>3</sup> were prepared following literature procedures. All reactions requiring inert gas atmosphere were performed under argon atmosphere. Toluene and THF were distilled under argon atmosphere over sodium and triethylamine over KOH prior to use. Column chromatography was carried out with 130 – 400 mesh silica gel using eluents as specified. NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz (75.6 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C) Bruker DPX 300 spectrometer at 25 °C using residual protonated solvent signals as internal standards (<sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$ (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) = 7.26 ppm and <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$ (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) = 77.16 ppm). UPLC/MS was performed with a Waters UPLC Acquity equipped with a Waters LCT Premier XE Mass detector for UPLC-HR-MS, with Waters Alliance systems (consisting of a Waters Separations Module 2695, a Waters Diode Array detector 996 and a Waters Mass Detector ZQ 2000). TLC was performed on Merck Silica Gel 60 F254 TLC plates with a fluorescent indicator with a 254 nm excitation wavelength. Compounds were visualized under UV light at 254 nm.

**Photochemistry.** UV vis spectroscopy was performed on a Cary 50 spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier thermostated cell holder at  $25 \pm 0.05$  °C using spectrophotometric grade solvents. Irradiation experiments were carried out in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (see MS-Figure 2, MS-Table 1) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (see SI-Figure S1, SI-Table S1) in a quartz cuvette using a 1000 W high pressure xenon-lamp. Wavelength selection was achieved by using an interference filter ( $\lambda_{T,max} = 313$  nm, 12 % T) or a cut-off filter (100 % T for  $\lambda > 500$  nm). For determination of quantum yields an Oriel 68810 500 W mercury-lamp was used in combination with an Oriel 77200 monochromator. The reaction yields for the cyclisation and the cycloreversion of the diarylethenes were compared against azobenzene in methanol<sup>4</sup> and the commercial furyl fulgide Aberchrome 670 in toluene,<sup>5</sup> respectively. Irradiation of compounds **1a** and **2a** on a preparative scale was performed on a Rayonet RPR 100 photochemical reactor equipped with 300 nm lamps.

<sup>[1]</sup> G. W. Gray, M. Hird, D. Lacey, K. J. Toyne, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans II, 1989, 2041.

<sup>[2]</sup> M. Dubernet, V. Caubert, J. Guillard, M. C. Viaud-Massuard, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, 61, 4585.

<sup>[3]</sup> J. T. Thurston, J. R. Dudley, D. W. Kaiser, I. Hechenbleikner, F. C. Schaefer, D. Holm-Hansen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1951, 73, 2981.

<sup>[4]</sup> G. Gauglitz, S. Hubig, J. Photochem., **1985**, 30, 121.

<sup>[5]</sup> A. P. Glaze, H. G. Heller, J. Whittall, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, 1992, 591.



**Figure S1.** UV/vis absorption spectra during the course of irradiation ( $\lambda_{irr} = 313$  nm) until reaching the PSS of a) **1a** (time intervals: t = 0, 1, 10, 35, and 55 min) and b) **2a** (time intervals: t = 0, 10, 30, 60, and 120 s) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (c = 2.5·10<sup>-5</sup> M in each case, 25 °C).

pairs in acetonitrile.					
	photochromism <sup>a</sup>				
	$\lambda_{max} \left[ nm  ight]$	$\Phi^{313\text{nm}}_{a \rightarrow b}$	$\Phi^{546\text{nm}}_{\mathbf{b}  ightarrow \mathbf{a}}$	conv. <sup>b</sup>	
1a	311, 396	0.01	0.02	110/	
1b	353, 534	0.01	0.02	4470	
2a	320, 371	0.15	0.01	78%	

**Table S1** Photochromic properties of both switch pairs in acetonitrile.

<sup>*a*</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 25 °C; <sup>*b*</sup> composition of the PSS upon irradiation of the open form (**1a** or **2a**) with UV-light ( $\lambda_{irr} = 313$  nm) determined by UPLC.

2b

313, 542

**Table S2** Quantum yields for both switch pairs in methylene chloride in presence of melamine  $3^{a}$ .

	$\Phi^{313\text{nm}}_{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}}$	$\Phi_{\mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{a}}^{546 \text{ nm}}$
1a/b	0.08	0.02
2a/b	0.23	0.01

<sup>*a*</sup> c(melamine) =  $1 \cdot 10^{-2}$  M; under these conditions approximately 60 % of the open and 75 % of the closed switch are complexed by melamine **3**. Note that **3** shows negligible absorption at the irradiation wavelengths.

**NMR-titration studies.** All binding studies were carried out in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. The solvent was treated with anhydrous K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and dried over molecular sieves (4 Å) prior to use.

For determination of association constants <sup>1</sup>H-NMR-titrations were performed varying the concentration of the guest molecule [G]<sub>0</sub> while the concentration of the host [H]<sub>0</sub> was held constant during the course of the experiment.<sup>6</sup> [H]<sub>0</sub> was chosen in such a way that at a 1:1 stoichiometry approximately 50 % of the host are bound.<sup>7</sup> A host-solution ( $c = 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M), containing compounds 1 or 2 in their open or closed form, respectively, and a guest-solution, containing both the melamine receptor 3 ( $c = 7 \cdot 10^{-2}$  M) and the host ( $c = 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M) were prepared in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. An NMR-tube was charged with an initial volume of 600 µL of the host-solution and after each addition of small portions of the guest-solution an <sup>1</sup>H-NMR-spectrum was recorded. For obtaining binding isotherms (see MS-Figure 3) the observed difference in the chemical shift of the imide proton ( $\Delta \delta_{obs}$ ) was plotted against the total guest concentration [G]<sub>0</sub> of the sample obtained by comparing the integrated proton-signals of host and guest in each spectrum. Nonlinear least-squares regression analysis of these data was performed using equation (1) allowing to extract K<sub>a</sub> (association constant) and  $\Delta \delta_{max}$  (maximum difference in chemical shift) as free parameters.

$$\Delta \delta_{obs} = \frac{\Delta \delta_{max}}{2[H]_0} \left[ [H]_0 + [G]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a} - \sqrt{\left[ [H]_0 + [G]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a} \right]^2 - 4[H]_0 [G]_0} \right]$$
(1)

For determination of the binding stoichiometry the method of continuous variation (Job plot)<sup>6</sup> was used (see SI-Figure S2). A host-solution containing **1a** or **2a** and a guest-solution containing melamine receptor **3** in the same concentration  $(3.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ M for } 1a \cdot 3, 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ M for } 2a \cdot 3)$  were prepared in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. By adding equivalents of the guest-solution to the host-solution and vice versa the molar fraction of the guest  $x_G = [G]_0/([H]_0 + [G]_0)$  was varied while the overall concentration was held constant. Thus the concentration of the formed complex, expressed by its molar fraction  $\Delta \delta_{max} \cdot x_{complex} = (1-x_G) \cdot \Delta \delta_{obs}$ , is highest when the exact stoichiometry is reached, i.e. for the formation of a 1:1 complex this occurs at  $x_G = 0.5$ .

<sup>[6]</sup> K. A. Connors, *Binding Constants*, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.

 <sup>[7]</sup> C. S. Wilcox, in *Frontiers of Supramolecular Chemistry and Photochemistry*, ed. H. J. Schneider and H. Dürr, VCH, Weinheim, 1991, pp. 123-143.



**Figure S2.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Job plots for complexes *a*) **1a·3** ( $c_{total} = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  M) and *b*) **2a·3** ( $c_{total} = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$  M) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C.

**Cyclic voltammetry.** Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a PG310 USB (HEKA Elektronik) potentiostat, a platinum-disc (1 mm diameter) working electrode and a standard calomel electrode (SCE) as reference. Ferrocene was used as external standard. Measurements were carried out in acetonitrile or DMF containing 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> using a scan rate  $dE/dt = 1 \text{ V s}^{-1}$ . For data evaluation PotMaster v2x43 software (HEKA Elektronik) was used. Irradiation was performed directly on the CV-cell using a standard laboratory UV-lamp (365 nm).



**Figure S3.** Cyclic voltammetry of a) isolated compounds **1a** (blue) and **1b** (red) in DMF ( $1\cdot10^{-3}$  M) and b) during the course of irradiation of a solution of **2a** in acetonitrile ( $2.5\cdot10^{-3}$  M) with UV-light (365 nm).

	$\mathrm{E_{p}^{ox}}\left[\mathrm{V}\right]^{a}$	$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{red}}\left[\mathrm{V}\right]^{a}$
<b>1</b> a	1.003 <sup><i>b</i></sup>	-1.738 <sup>c</sup>
1b	$0.703^{b}$	-1.664 <sup>c</sup>
2a	1.365 <sup>b</sup>	$-1.497^{b}$
2b	0.981 <sup>b</sup>	-1.253 <sup>b</sup>
maleimide		$-1.719^{b}$

Table S3	Oxidation	and	reduction	potentials	of
<b>1a/b</b> and <b>2a/b</b> .					

<sup>*a*</sup> vs. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>,  $E_{SCE vs. Fc/Fc^+} = 0.425 V$  (acetonitrile), 0.522 V (DMF); <sup>*b*</sup> in acetonitrile; <sup>*c*</sup> in DMF.

### Synthesis.



Scheme S1. Synthesis of photochromic switch 1a.

**4-***n***-Hexyloxyphenylboronic acid (5).** 1-Bromo-4-*n*-hexyloxybenzene **4** (14.60 g, 56.80 mmol) was dissolved in 250 mL of dry THF and cooled down to -78 °C. *n*-Butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane, 42.0 mL, 68.2 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe and the mixture was stirred for further 45 min. Then, triisopropyl borate (15.7 mL, 68.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over a period of 1 h. After the addition of water a white solid precipitated, which was then collected, washed with hexane, and dried *in vacuo* to afford **5** (9.79 g, 44.1 mmol, 78 %). Boronic acid **5** was used without further purification.

**2-Bromo-5-methylthiazole (6).** In analogy to a literature procedure<sup>8</sup> 2-amino-5methylthiazole (10.00 g, 87.58 mmol) was dissolved in 40 mL of aqueous HBr (48 %) and cooled to 0 °C. After adding slowly NaNO<sub>2</sub> (7.252 g, 105.10 mmol), dissolved in 15 mL of water, the mixture was stirred for further 3 h at that temperature. Then, the mixture was extracted with 3 x 30 mL of  $Et_2O$  and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. For purification the crude product was dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through a pad of silica. Evaporation of the solvent afforded **6** (9.448 g, 53.06 mmol, 61 %) as a colourless liquid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:**  $\delta$ (ppm) = 7.22 (q, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 2.40 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:**  $\delta$ (ppm) = 140.4, 137.9, 133.5, 12.1.

<sup>[8]</sup> H.-J. Kim, S. Liu, Y.-S. Keum, Q. X. Li, J. Agric. Food. Chem., 2003, 51, 1823.

**2-(4-***n***-Hexyloxyphenyl)-5-methylthiazole (7).** 2-Bromo-5-methylthiazole **6** (4.27 g, 24.00 mmol) and boronic acid **5** were dissolved in 144 mL of dioxane and the solution was degassed by bubbling Ar through the mixture for 10 min. After the addition of a solution of  $K_2CO_3$  (9.95 g, 72.00 mmol) in 36 mL of water and Pd(PPh\_3)<sub>4</sub> (1.30 g, 1.20 mmol) the mixture was refluxed at 100 °C overnight. Then, it was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered through a pad of Celite. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1) afforded **7** (3.70 g, 13.50 mmol, 56 %) as a white solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 7.81 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 7.43 (q, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 6.92 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 3.99 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.48 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.85 – 1.72 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.55 – 1.28 (m, 6 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: δ(ppm) = 167.0, 160.7, 140.9, 132.9, 127.8, 126.5, 114.9, 68.3, 31.7, 29.3, 25.8, 22.7, 14.1, 12.2. HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): m/z = 276.131 (calcd. 276.142 for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NOS<sup>+</sup>).

# 2-(4-*n*-Hexyloxyphenyl)-5-methylthiazol-4-yl-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (8).

Thiazole 7 (2.96 g, 10.8 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mL of dry THF and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. *tert*-Butyllithium (1.6 M in pentane, 8.06 mL, 12.9 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Then, 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (2.86 mL, 14.04 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over a period of 1 h. After the addition of 10 mL of methanol the mixture was extracted with 4 x 50 mL of ethyl acetate, the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation of the solvents gave crude **8** (4.30 g, 8.0 mmol, 63 %), which was used without further purification.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:**  $\delta$ (ppm) = 7.86 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 6.88 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 3.97 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.71 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.84 – 1.74 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.51 – 1.20 (m, 6 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.37 (s, 12 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.94 (br, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>).

# **3,4-Bis(2-(4-***n***-hexyloxyphenyl)-5-methylthiazol-4-yl)-1***H***-pyrrole-2,5-dione (1a). Borolane <b>8** (4.30 g, 8.00 mmol) and 3,4-dibromo-1*H*-pyrrole-2,5-dione **9** (678 mg, 2.66 mmol) were dissolved in 75 mL of DMF and the mixture was degassed by bubbling argon through the solution for 10 min. After the addition of an aqueous solution of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 M, 6.65 mL, 13.30 mmol) and PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf) (217 mg, 0.27 mmol) the mixture was stirred

for 5 h at 80°C. After cooling to room temperature it was poured into 200 mL of water and extracted with 4 x 50 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $4:1 \rightarrow 1:1$ ) afforded **1a** (916 mg, 1.42 mmol, 53 %) as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 7.72 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4 H, *CH*<sub>ar</sub>), 7.70 (s, 1 H, *NH*), 6.87 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4 H, *CH*<sub>ar</sub>), 3.97 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 4 H, OC*H*<sub>2</sub>), 2.21 (s, 6 H, *CH*<sub>3</sub>), 1.83 – 1.73 (m, 4 H, *CH*<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 – 1.25 (m, 12 H, *CH*<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 6 H, *CH*<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 169.3, 165.6, 161.0, 141.0, 136.4, 135.5, 128.1, 126.0, 114.9, 68.3, 31.7, 29.3, 25.8, 22.7, 14.2, 12.7. **HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):** m/z = 644.223 (calcd. 644.262 for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>). **UPLC:** See appendix.

**Isolation of closed form 1b.** A solution of **1a** (120 mg, 0.19 mmol) in 300 mL of dichloromethane was irradiated over a period of 35 min. After evaporating the solvent the mixture of open and closed form was separated by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 1:2) affording **1b** (82 mg, 0.13 mmol, 68 %) as a dark solid. In addition, 23 % of the starting material could be recovered.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 8.03 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 9.0 Hz, 4 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 8.01 (s, 1 H, NH), 6.96 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 9.0 Hz, 4 H, CH<sub>ar</sub>), 4.05 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.3 Hz, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.95 (s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.86 – 1.77 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 – 1.25 (m, 12 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: δ(ppm) = 181.6, 164.3, 161.9, 132.0, 125.1, 114.9, 112.3, 70.4, 68.7, 31.7, 29.1, 26.6, 25.8, 22.7, 14.2. HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): m/z = 644.218 (calcd. 644.262 for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>). UPLC: See appendix.



Scheme S2. Synthesis of photochromic switch 2a.

### 1-Bromo-4-*n*-hexyloxycarbonylbenzene (10).

In analogy to a literature procedure<sup>9</sup> a mixture of 4-bromobenzoic acid (7.50 g, 37.31 mmol), *n*-hexanol (4.69 mL, 37.31 mmol), and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (456 mg, 3.73 mmol) in 150 mL of dichloromethane was treated with 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (9.24 g, 44.77 mmol) in two portions over 15 min. The mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature. After the addition of water (3.79 g, 210.22 mmol) and further stirring for 1 h the mixture was filtered, the precipitate was washed with petroleum ether and the combined filtrate was evaporated. Column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 13:1) of the crude product afforded **10** (9.51 g, 33.3 mmol, 89 %) as a colourless oil that solidifies in the refridgerator.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 7.90 (m, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 7.57 (m, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 4.31 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.80 – 1.71 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.46 – 1.32 (m, 6 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 166.1, 131.8, 131.2, 129.6, 128.0, 65.6, 31.6, 28.8, 25.8, 22.7, 14.1. HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): m/z = 285.043 (calcd. 285.049 for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>28</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>).

**2-(4-***n***-Hexyloxycarbonylphenyl)-5-methylthiazole (11).** Following a literature procedure<sup>10</sup> zinc (4.943 g, 75.6 mmol) was suspended in 15 mL of dry THF. 1,2-Dibromoethane (0.58 mL, 6.80 mmol) was added and the mixture was heated twice to reflux until gas evolution ceased. After the addition of TMSCl (0.39 mL, 3.00 mmol) and stirring for 5 min a solution of 2-bromo-5-methylthiazole **6** (4.49 g, 25.20 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added to

<sup>[9]</sup> C. G. Caldwell, K. M. Rupprecht, S. S. Bondy, A. A. Davis, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 2355.

<sup>[10]</sup> J. Jensen, N. Skærbæk, P. Vedsø, Synthesis, 2001, 128.

the reaction mixture via a syringe and it was heated for ca. 1 h to 70 °C until TLC indicated consumption of the starting material. Then, **10** (9.35 g, 32.80 mmol), dissolved in 10 mL THF, and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (866 mg, 0.75 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed at 80 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature it was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered through a pad of Celite. After washing with water and brine the organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9:1  $\rightarrow$  4:1) afforded **11** (4.31 g, 14.2 mmol, 56 %) as a white solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 8.08 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 7.95 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 7.55 (q, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 1 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 4.32 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 2 H,  $OCH_2$ ), 2.52 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(H,H) = 1.2 Hz, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.81 – 1.72 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.49 – 1.30 (m, 6 H,  $CH_2$ ), 0.90 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: δ(ppm) = 166.3, 165.4, 142.1, 137.7, 135.3, 131.3, 130.3, 126.1, 65.5, 31.6, 28.8, 25.8, 22.7, 14.1, 12.3. HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): m/z = 304.128 (calcd. 304.137 for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup>).

**4-Bromo-2-(4-***n***-hexyloxycarbonylphenyl)-5-methylthiazole (7).** Thiazole **6** (4.31 g, 14.2 mmol) was added to a solution of sodium acetate (28 g) in 200 mL of acetic acid. After bromine (2.19 mL, 42.6 mmol) was added slowly to this mixture it was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Then a saturated aqueous solution of NaHSO<sub>3</sub> was added until excess bromine was reduced and the mixture was extracted with 3 x 100 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9:1) to afford 7 (2.95 g, 7.70 mmol, 54 %) as a white solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 8.08 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 7.93 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 2 H,  $CH_{ar}$ ), 4.33 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 2 H,  $OCH_2$ ), 2.46 (s, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.82 – 1.73 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.49 – 1.29 (m, 6 H,  $CH_2$ ), 0.90 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 166.1, 164.1, 136.6, 131.9, 130.3, 130.2, 126.2, 125.9, 65.6, 31.6, 28.8, 25.8, 22.7, 14.1, 13.2. **HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):** m/z = 382.036 (calcd. 382.048 for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup>).

**3,4-Bis(2-(4-***n***-hexyloxycarbonylphenyl)-5-methylthiazol-4-yl)-1***H***-pyrrole-2,5-dione (2a). Triethylamine (3.00 mL, 21.72 mmol) and 7 (2.77 g, 7.24 mmol) were dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene and the mixture was degassed by bubbling argon through the solution for 10 min. After the addition of PdCl\_2(PPh\_3)\_2 (253 mg, 0.36 mmol) and pinacolborane (3.16 mL, 21.72 mmol) the mixture was refluxed for 3 h at 120 °C until TLC indicated consumption of the starting material. After cooling down to 100 °C an aqueous solution of Na\_2CO\_3 (2 M,** 

4.8 mL, 9.62 mmol) was added very slowly due to sincere gas evolution. Then, 3,4-dibromo-1*H*-pyrrole-2,5-dione **9** (615 mg, 2.41 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2 h. After cooling down to room temperature the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined organic layers where washed with brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9:1  $\rightarrow$  2:1) yielded **2a** (149 mg, 0.21 mmol, 9 %) as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 8.40 (s, 1 H, N*H*), 8.02 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4 H, C*H*<sub>ar</sub>), 7.82 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4 H, C*H*<sub>ar</sub>), 4.31 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 4 H, OC*H*<sub>2</sub>), 2.33 (s, 6 H, C*H*<sub>3</sub>), 1.80 – 1.70 (m, 4 H, C*H*<sub>2</sub>), 1.47 – 1.29 (m, 12 H, C*H*<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, C*H*<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:** δ(ppm) = 169.3, 166.1, 164.2, 141.8, 138.5, 136.8, 135.7, 131.7, 130.3, 126.3, 65.5, 31.5, 28.7, 25.8, 22.6, 14.1, 12.9. **HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):** m/z = 700.238 (calcd. 700.252 for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>). **UPLC:** See appendix.

**Isolation of closed form 2b.** A solution of **2a** (149 mg, 0.21 mmol) in 300 mL of dichloromethane was irradiated over a period of 25 min. After evaporating the solvent the mixture of open and closed form was separated by column chromatography (dichloromethane/ ethyl acetate 6:1) yielding **2b** (55 mg, 0.08 mmol, 37 %) as a dark solid. In addition, 61 % of mixed fractions of **2a** and **2b** were obtained.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:**  $\delta(\text{ppm}) = 8.15 \text{ (m, 8 H, } CH_{ar}), 8.07 \text{ (s, 1 H, } NH), 4.36 \text{ (t, }^{3}J(\text{H},\text{H}) = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 4 \text{ H}, OCH_2), 2.03 \text{ (s, 6 H, } CH_3), 1.84 - 1.75 \text{ (m, 4 H, } CH_2), 1.48 - 1.35 \text{ (m, 12 H, } CH_2), 0.91 \text{ (t, }^{3}J(\text{H},\text{H}) = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}, CH_3).$  <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:**  $\delta(\text{ppm}) = 181.8, 165.7, 163.3, 161.5, 135.8, 135.1, 130.1, 129.6, 114.7, 70.6, 66.0, 31.6, 28.7, 26.6, 25.8, 22.7, 14.2.$ **HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):** $<math>m/z = 700.235 \text{ (calcd. } 700.252 \text{ for } C_{38}H_{42}N_3O_6S_2^{+}).$  **UPLC:** See appendix.



Scheme S3. Synthesis of *N*,*N*-dihexylmelamine receptor 3.

**2,4-Diamino-6**-*N*,*N*-**di**-*n*-hexylamino-1,3,5-triazine (3). Following a procedure by Würthner *et al.*<sup>11</sup> 4,5-diamino-2-chloro-1,3,5-triazine 13 (8.09 g, 50.0 mmol) was suspended together with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (4.65 g, 55.0 mmol) and di-*n*-hexylamine (12.74 mL, 55 mmol) in 200 mL of DMF. The mixture was refluxed at 140 °C for 7 h. After cooling it was poured into 500 mL of water and the resulting suspension was extracted with 6 x 100 mL dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from acetonitrile and subsequent washing with cold diethylether to afford 3 (10.57 g, 36 mmol, 72 %) as colourless crystals.

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR:**  $\delta(\text{ppm}) = 4.64$  (br, 4 H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.43 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 4 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.59 – 1.48 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.36 – 1.24 (m, 12 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR:  $\delta(\text{ppm}) = 167.2$ , 165.7, 46.7, 31.8, 27.9, 26.8, 22.8, 14.2. **HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):** m/z = 295.252 (calcd. 295.261 for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>6</sub><sup>+</sup>). **UPLC:** See appendix.

<sup>[9]</sup> F. Würthner, C. Thalacker, A. Sautter, W. Schärtl, W. Ibach, O. Hollricher, Chem. Eur. J., 2000, 6, 3871.



#### S14 of S21













Supplementation Matagini (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010

