Supporting Information

Peptidomimetic Inhibitors Targeting the CCR5-Binding Site on the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type-1 gp120 Glycoprotein Complexed to CD4

Markus Seitz, Peter Rusert, Kerstin Moehle, Alexandra Trkola and John A. Robinson

Contribution from the Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, and Institute of Medical Virology, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

Peptide synthesis
¹H NMR solution conformation of peptide 1
List of ROE connectivities observed for peptide 1
BIAcore sensorgrams
HIV-1 inhibition assay
References
Spectra for peptides 1 and 2

1. Peptide synthesis

Peptide 1

The tyrosine sulfated peptide 1 was synthesized by solid phase methods using standard Fmoc chemistry and an Applied Biosystems 433A peptide synthesizer. Fmoc-Pro-OH (0.25 mmol) was coupled to 2-chlorotritylchloride resin (1000 mg, loading = 0.39 mmol/g) in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 4 eq.) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The unreacted sites on the resin were capped by washing with a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/DIPEA (17:2:1) followed by MeOH. After removal of the Fmoc-group using 20% piperidine in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP), chain elongation was performed with Fmoc-D-Pro-OH, Fmoc-Ile-OH, Fmoc-Glu(tBu)-OH, Fmoc-Phe-OH, Fmoc-Thr(tBu)-OH, Fmoc-Gly-OH, Fmoc-Tys-OH, Glu(tBu)-OH and Fmoc-Tys-OH (each 1 mmol, except Fmoc-Tys-OH 0.5 mmol), using 20% piperidine/NMP for deprotection. 2-(1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium Fmoc hexafluorophosphate/1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HBTU/HOBt) for activation, DIPEA as base and NMP as solvent. After assembly of the linear peptide chain, the peptide was transferred with CH_2CI_2 into a sintered glass funnel. The peptide was cleaved from the resin by adding 5 times ice cold 0.8 % CF₃COOH in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) for one minute. The eluate was neutralized immediately with DIPEA (1 mL). The resin was washed sequentially with CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂. Subsequently the solvent was removed under high vacuum. For cyclization, the resulting crude product was dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF, 200 mL) 2-(7-aza-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate and (HATU, 0.75 mmol, 285.2 mg, 3 eq.), 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAt, 0.75 mmol, 102 mg, 3 eq.) and DIPEA (1.5 mmol, 130 µl, 6 eq.) were added. The reaction was stirred under argon for 18 hours then the DMF was removed under high vacuum. To remove excess of coupling agents the crude product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) and extracted with 10 % MeCN in water. After evaporation, the crude peptide was cooled on ice before adding pre-cooled ice cold 90 % CF₃COOH, 10 % H₂O mixture (10 mL). The peptide was stirred for 5.5 h in an ice-water bath and then precipitated with ice cold diisopropyl ether (100 mL). After washing the precipitate twice with diisopropyl ether, the peptide was dried and purified by preparative HPLC on a Waters XBridge™ (C18, 50 x 19 mm, 5 µm, 135 Å) column with a gradient of 15-60 % MeCN in H₂O (0.1 M NH₄OAc) in 10 column volumes. Retention time on analytical RP-HPLC (*GraceVydac 218TP54*, C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m, 300 Å) with a linear gradient from 5-100 % MeCN in H₂O (0.1 M NH₄OAc) in 25 min at a flow rate of 1 ml/min was 10.1 minutes. ESI MS (*neg.*): *m/z*: 1355.5 [M-H]⁻, calc. 1356.5 (monoisotopic).

Peptide 2

The peptide 2 was synthesized by solid phase methods using standard Fmoc chemistry and an Applied Biosystems 433A peptide synthesizer. Fmoc-Pro-OH (0.25 mmol) was coupled to 2-chlorotritylchloride resin (1000 mg, loading = 0.39 mmol/g) in the presence of DIPEA (4 eq) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The unreacted sites on the resin were capped by washing with a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/DIPEA (17:2:1) followed by MeOH. After removal of the Fmoc-group using 20% piperidine in NMP, chain elongation was performed with Fmoc-D-Pro-OH, Fmoc-IIe-OH, Fmoc-Glu(tBu)-OH, Fmoc-Phe-OH, Fmoc-Thr(tBu)-OH, Fmoc-Gly-OH, Fmoc-Tyr(tBu)-OH, Glu(tBu)-OH and Fmoc-Tyr(tBu)-OH (each 1 mmol), using 20% piperidine/NMP for Fmoc deprotection, HBTU/HOBt for activation, DIPEA as base and NMP as solvent. After assembly of the linear peptide chain, the peptide was transferred with CH₂Cl₂ into a sintered glass funnel. The peptide was cleaved from the resin by adding 5 times ice cold 0.8 % TFA in DCM (5 mL) for one minute. The eluate was neutralized immediately with DIEA (1 mL). The resin was washed sequentially with CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂. Subsequently the solvent was removed under high vacuum. For cyclization, the resulting crude product was dissolved in DMF (200 mL) and HATU (0.75 mmol, 285.2 mg, 3 eq.), HOAt (0.75 mmol, 102 mg, 3 eq.) and DIPEA (1.5 mmol, 130 µl, 6 eq.) were added. The reaction was stirred under argon for 18 h then the DMF was removed under high vacuum. Side chain deprotection was carried out by adding CF₃COOH/H₂O/triisopropysilane (95:2.5:2.5) (10 mL) and shaking for 3 h at room temperature. After evaporation under high vacuum and peptide precipitation with ice cold Et₂O the peptide was dried and purified by preparative HPLC on a Waters XBridge™ (C18, 50 x 19 mm, 5 µm, 135 Å) column with a gradient of 15-60 % MeCN in H₂O (0.1 M NH₄OAc) in 10 column volumes. Retention time on analytical RP-HPLC (GraceVydac 218TP54, C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm, 300 Å) with a linear gradient from 5-100 % MeCN in H₂O (0.1 M NH₄OAc) in 25 min at a flow rate of 1 ml/min was 14.1 min. ESI MS (neg.): m/z: 1195.6 [M-H], calc. 1196.5 (monoisotopic). For more analytical data see below.

2. ¹H NMR solution conformation of peptide 1

¹H NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker AV-600 spectrometer at a peptide concentration of 5 mg/ml in either 90% H₂O-10% D₂O, or 100% D₂O, with a pH of 4.9 at 300 K. Water suppression was achieved by presaturation. 2D DQF-COSY, TOCSY and ROESY spectra were recorded to determine assignments (given in Table-S1. Distance restraints were obtained from ROESY with mixing time of 250 ms. Spectra were typically collected with 2048 x 512 complex data points zero-filled prior to Fourier transformation to 2048 x 1024, and transformed with a cosine-bell weighting function. Information on the relative rates of slowly exchanging amide protons was obtained by acquisition of a series of 1D spectra upon dissolution of **1** in D₂O. ³J_{HN-Ha} coupling constants were determined from one-dimensional spectra. A series of 1D spectra were measured at different temperatures over the range of 278-330 K and temperature coefficients of the amide protons were calculated. Spectra were processed using TOPSPIN (*Bruker*) and further analysed using the program XEASY¹.

Residue	NH	С(α)-Н	С(β)-Н	Others
Tys ¹	7.98	4.54	3.04, 2.82	C(δ)H=7.26, C(ε)H=7.15
Glu ²	8.28	4.48	1.89, 1.80	C(γ)H=2.13
Tys ³	8.40	4.54	2.80, 2.18	C(δ)H=6.97, C(ε)H=7.05
Gly ⁴	8.70	4.11 <i>,</i> 3 43	-	-
Thr⁵	8.04	4.21	4.36	C(γ)H=1.07
Phe ⁶	7.67	4.16	3.12, 3.03	C(δ)H=7.09, C(ε)H=6.99, C(ξ)H=7.09
Glu ⁷	8.35	4.89	1.94, 1.87	C(γ)H=2.21
lle ⁸	8.56	4.53	1.94	C(γMe)H=0.82, C(γ)H=1.45, 1.05, C(δ)H=0.73
D-Pro ⁹	-	4.52	2.16, 1.74	C(γ)H=2.04, 1.91, C(δ)H=3.50, 3.75
L-Pro ¹⁰	-	4.30	1.83, 1.55	C(γ)H=1.60, 0.76, C(δ)H=3.51, 3.40

Table S1. ¹H NMR assignments for peptide 1 (in 90% H₂O:10% D₂O solution, pH 5.0, 300 K)

3

 ${}^{3}J(C\alpha,N)$ Coupling constants show predominantly values > 8 Hz within the ß-strands (Figure S1). Temperature coefficients with values lower than 5 ppb/K, and slower H-D exchange rates of the amide protons, indicating shielding of these protons from the bulk solvent, were observed at residues occupying hydrogen-bonding positions in the ß-hairpin structure, especially, those close to the D-Pro-L-Pro template (Figure S1).

The structure calculations were performed by restrained molecular dynamics in torsion angle space by applying the simulated annealing protocol implemented in the program DYANA². Starting from 100 randomized conformations a bundle of 20 conformations will be selected, which have the lowest DYANA target energy function. The program MOLMOL³ was used for structure analysis and visualization of the calculated averaged structure models. Statistics derived from the results are given in Table-S2. The structures were determined using 87 NOE upper distance limits (see below). The final ensemble of 20 structures with a backbone rmsd of 0.54 Å reveals a highly populated ß-hairpin conformation in solution in which the two strands of antiparallel ß-sheet are connected by a type II turn between Gly4 and Thr5 (Figure 1). The close contact of the two strands is reflected by characteristic backbone cross strand NOEs, for example between HN-HN, H α -H α and H α -HN, respectively, shown in Figure S1.

	Peptide 1
NOE upper-distance limits	87
Intraresidue	25
Sequential	28
Medium- and long-range	34
Residual target function value (Å ²)	0.45 ± 0.01
Mean rmsd values (Å)	
All backbone atoms	0.54 ± 0.22
All heavy atoms	1.62 ± 0.24
Residual NOE violation	
• Number > 0.2 Å	0
• Maximum (Å)	0.11

Table S2. Experimental distance restraintscalculated for 1.

Figure S1. Amide HN temperature coefficients (in ppb/K), relative H-D exchange rates of peptide amide protons (black circles: slow; half-white circles: medium; and white circles: fast), backbone ${}^{3}J(C\alpha,N)$ values and characteristic backbone NOE connectivies (thick line: strong, medium line: medium, and thin line: weak) measured for peptide **1**.



and statistics for the final 20 NMR structures

4

3. List of ROE connectivities observed for peptide 1

INT	rra-ri	ESIDUE	NOE	UPPEF	R-DISTAN	CE	LIMITS
1	TYS	HN	1	TYS	QB	3.	54
1	TYS	HA	1	TYS	QB	2.	72
2	GLU-	HN	2	GLU-	HB2	З.	27
2	GLU-	HN	2	GLU-	нвЗ	З.	27
2	GLU-	HN	2	GLU-	OG	6.	10
3	TYS	HN	3	TYS	HB2	3.	95
3	TYS	HN	3	TYS	нв3	3.	95
3	TYS	HN	3	TYS	OB	3.	55
4	GLY	HN	4	GLY	д- НА1	2	71
5	THR	HN	5	THR	062	4	85
6	PHE	HN	6	PHE	<u>ұ</u> 02 нв2	۰. ۲	73
6	PHE	HN	6	PHE	HB3	े. २	73
6	DHE	нN	6	DHE	OB	у. З	13
7		UN	7		עט גםט	у. З	7.) 5.0
7	CT II	TIN	י ר	CT U		ງ. ວ	50
7	GLU-		7	GLU-		ວ. ວ	20
/	GLU-	HN	/	GLU-	QВ	3.	33
/	GLU-	HN	/	GLU-	QG	6.	13
/	GLU-	HA	/	GLU-	HB2	3.	02
1	GLU-	HA	7	GLU-	HB3	3.	02
8	ILE	HN	8	ILE	HB	3.	02
8	ILE	HN	8	ILE	QG1	5.	88
8	ILE	HA	8	ILE	HB	2.	70
8	ILE	HA	8	ILE	HG12	4.	17
8	ILE	HA	8	ILE	HG13	4.	17
8	ILE	HA	8	ILE	QG1	3.	81
SEÇ	QUENTI	IAL NOE	UPI	PER-DI	ISTANCE	LIM	IITS
1	TYS	HN	10	PRO	HA	3.	55
1	TYS	HN	10	PRO	QD	4.	84
1	TYS	HA	2	GLU-	HN	2.	99
1	TYS	QB	2	GLU-	HN	4.	42
1	TYS	QD	10	PRO	QD	7.	44
1	TYS	QE	10	PRO	QD	7.	67
2	GLU-	HA	3	TYS	HN	2.	80
2	GLU-	QG	3	TYS	HN	6.	38
3	TYS	HN	4	GLY	HN	3.	86
3	TYS	HA	4	GLY	HN	3.	36
4	GLY	HA2	5	THR	HN	2.	68
5	THR	HN	6	PHE	HN	3	11
5	THR	HN	6	PHE	00	7	62
5	THR	НД	6	PHE	QD HN	, . ح	61
5	THR	HR	6	PHE	HN	<u>л</u>	23
6	PHE	HB2	7	GT.II-	HN	ч. Д	14
6		2 בםנו	, 7		UN	ч. Л	1/
G			י ר	CT U	TIN	ч. С	1 1
0 C	PIE	QD OD	7	GLU-		э. 7	60
ю 7	PHE	QD U A	/	GTO-	HN	/ .	02
7	GT0-	HA	0	그 나 반 	HN	2.	93
/	GLU-	QG	8	LLE	HN HD 2	ь.	38
8	тт <u></u>	НА	9	DPR	нрз	2.	99 00
8	⊥LE ———	HA	9	DPR	HD2	2.	99
8	ILE	HA	9	DPR	QD	2.	15
8	ILE	QG2	9	DPR	QD	6.	16
9	DPR	HA	10	PRO	HD2	3.	39
9	DPR	HA	10	PRO	HD3	3.	39
9	DPR	HA	10	PRO	QD	3.	11

ME	DIUM	and	LONG	RA	ANGE	NOE	UPPER-DISTANCE	LIMITS
1	TYS	HN		8	ILE	HN	4.14	
1	TYS	HN		8	ILE	QD1	5.72	
1	TYS	HB2	2	3	TYS	QE	6.59	
1	TYS	HB2	2	8	ILE	QD1	6.22	
1	TYS	HB	3	3	TYS	QE	6.59	
1	TYS	HB	3	8	ILE	QD1	6.22	
1	TYS	QΒ		3	TYS	QE	6.44	
1	TYS	QΒ		8	ILE	HN	5.91	
1	TYS	QB		8	ILE	HB	4.42	
1	TYS	QB		8	ILE	QG2	6.87	
1	TYS	QB		8	ILE	QD1	6.06	
1	TYS	QD		8	ILE	HB	7.29	
1	TYS	QD		8	ILE	QG2	8.20	
1	TYS	QD		8	ILE	QD1	8.32	
1	TYS	QE		8	ILE	QG2	8.70	
1	TYS	QE		8	ILE	QD1	8.61	
2	GLU-	- HA		7	GLU-	- HA	3.24	
2	GLU-	- QG		4	GLY	HN	6.38	
3	TYS	HN		6	PHE	HN	4.42	
3	TYS	HN		7	GLU-	- HA	4.20	
3	TYS	QВ		6	PHE	HN	4.91	
3	TYS	QD		7	GLU-	- HA	7.67	
3	TYS	QD		8	ILE	HN	7.67	
3	TYS	QD		8	ILE	QD1	8.69	
3	TYS	QE		8	ILE	HN	7.68	
3	TYS	QE		8	ILE	HB	6.19	
3	TYS	QE		8	ILE	QG2	8.70	
3	TYS	QE		8	ILE	QD1	8.27	
6	PHE	QD		8	ILE	QG2	8.65	
6	PHE	QD		8	ILE	HG1	.2 6.94	
6	PHE	QD		8	ILE	HG1	.3 6.94	
6	PHE	QD		8	ILE	QG1	6.58	
6	PHE	QD		8	ILE	QD1	7.25	
6	PHE	QE		8	ILE	QD1	8.65	

4. BIAcore sensorgrams

Surface plasmon resonance experiments were performed on a *BIAcore3000* (*Biacore, Uppsala*). All measurements were carried out at 25 °C using HBS buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005 % P20, pH 7.4) as running buffer. All solutions were filtered using a 0.2 μ m filter.

Assays with immobilized mAb 17b

400 RU of mAb 17b were linked covalently to a CM5 chip by random amine coupling as described in the manufacturer's protocol. Then various concentrations of gp120 (JR-FL) ranging from 0-100 nM were pre-incubated with a 5-fold molar excess of CD4-IgG₂ in running buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005 % P20, pH 7.4) at room temperature for at least 30 min. After 5 minutes stabilization time the samples were injected at a flow rate of 25 μ l/min for 10 min. After 10 min dissociation the surface was regenerated by two 60 s pulses of 10 mM NaOH solution in water at a flow rate of 10 μ l/min. Regeneration was followed by a 5 min regeneration time before start of the next cycle.

Recorded data were fitted to a 1:1 Langmuir binding model (kinetic fit) using the BIAevaluation software, which gave the on and off rates, $k_{on} = 2.29 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, $k_{off} = 5.75 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a dissociation constant $K_D = 2.51 \text{ nM}$ with a χ^2 of 4.15 at a maximum response of 200 RU. BIAcore responses are shown in Figure S2.

7



Figure S2. BIAcore response upon direct binding of $gp120/CD4-lgG_2$ complex to immobilized mAb 17b. Gp120 concentration from top to bottom: 100 nM, 40 nM, 30 nM 20 nM, 10 nM, 0 nM.

For IC₅₀ determinations, gp120 (JR-FL) (50 nM) was pre-incubated with CD4-IgG₂ (250 nM) and various concentration (0-1000 nM) of either peptide **1** (Figure S3) or **2** (Figure S4) in 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005 % P20, pH 7.4. After 5 min stabilization time the samples were injected at a flow rate of 25 μ l/min for 10 min. After a 10 min dissociation period, the surface was regenerated by two 60 s pulses of 10 mM NaOH solution in water at a flow rate of 10 μ l/min. Regeneration was followed by a 5 min regeneration time before starting the next cycle. For analysis, the maximum RUs observed at the end of the injection period were plotted against the logarithm of the peptide concentration in μ M. Curve fitting to a sigmoid binding model was performed by using *IGORpro* software (*WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA*). The resulting IC₅₀ values were 25 μ M for peptide **1**, and 400 μ M for peptide **2**.

Assays with immobilized gp120

400 RU gp120 (JR-FL) were linked covalently to a CM5 chip by random amine coupling as described in the manufacturer's protocol. Then various concentrations of CD4-IgG₂ ranging from 0-125 nM in 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005 % P20, pH 7.4 were injected at room temperature. After 5 min stabilization time, the samples were injected at a flow rate of 25 μ l/min for 10 min. After 10 min dissociation period, the surface was regenerated by a 5 s pulses of 100 mM aqueous H₃PO₄ at a flow rate of 25 μ l/min. Regeneration was followed by a 5 min regeneration time before starting the next cycle. Recorded data were fitted to a bivalent binding model (standard model for IgG type antibodies) using BIAevaluation software, which gave k_{a1}= 1.1*10⁵ M⁻¹s⁻¹, k_{d1} = 5.76*10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, k_{a2}= 1.13*10⁻³ M⁻¹s⁻¹, k_{d2} = 4.03*10⁻³ s⁻¹, and a K_D = 2.51 nM with a Chi² of 0.886 at a maximum response of 46.3 RU. Resulting curves are shown in Figure S5.

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010



8

Figure S3. Inhibition of gp120/CD4-lgG₂ binding to mAb 17B by peptide 1. The peptide 1 concentrations from top to bottom: 0 nM, 0.1 nM, 1 nM, 5 nM, 10 nM, 50 nM, 100 nM, 500 nM, 1000 nM.



Figure S4. Inhibition of gp120/CD4-lgG₂ binding to 17B by peptide 2 (both Tys residues replaced by Tyr). Peptide 2 concentrations from top to bottom: 0 nM, 0.1 nM, 1 nM, 5 nM, 10 nM, 50 nM, 100 nM, 1000 nM.



Figure S5. Direct binding of CD4-lgG₂ to gp120. CD4-lgG₂ concentrations from top to bottom: 125 nM, 62.5 nM, 31.25 nM 7.8 nM, 0 nM.

5. HIV-1 inhibition assay

Cell lines

The following cell lines were used: For transfection: 293-T (ATCC); TZM-bl cells⁵⁻⁷ (obtained through the NIH AIDS repository).

Reagents: sCD4 (Deen et al, 1988, Nature) and CD4IgG2 (Allaway et al. 1995, AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses) were provided by W. Olson (Progenics) and the monoclonal antibody 17b was obtained through the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, from Dr. James E. Robinson.

Generation of env-pseudotyped HIV particles

293-T cells were transfected with plasmids carrying the reporter gene expressing the virus backbone, pNLluc-AM⁸ (a kind gift from A. Marozsan and J. P. Moore), and the functional envelope clone at a ratio of 3:1 polyethylenimine (PEI, linear 25kDa, Polysciences)⁹ as described¹⁰. Viral supernatants were harvested 2 days post transfection and the TCID50 determined on TZM-bl cells by end point dilution. To this end, TZM-bl cells were infected with viral supernatants in DMEM, 10% FCS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (BioWhittaker) containing 10 µg/ml DEAE-Dextran (Amersham Biosciences).

Inhibition assays with env-pseudotyped reporter gene viruses.

Inhibition activities against pseudotyped virus on TZM-bl cells were evaluated as described⁵: Briefly, viruses were pre-incubated with the mimetic 1 inhibitor for 1h at 37 °C. TZM-bl cells were seeed at $5*10^4$ /ml (1*104/well)and CD8 depleted PBMC 1*10⁶/ml. The virus input was adjusted to a TCID50 of 200/well for TZM-bl cells and to 1000/well for PBMCs. Infection of TZM-bl cells was performed in DMEM medium, containing 10% FCS, antibiotics and 10µg/ml DEAE-Dextran (Amersham). PBMCs were infected in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% FCS, antibiotics and 2.5 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma). Then, 150 µl supernatant were removed 72 h post infection and 50 µl lysis buffer (Promega) added to the cells. After 5 minutes luciferase activity was measured in 50 µl of the cell lysate, upon transfer to a white opaque plate (Costar) and addition of 50 µl firefly luciferase substrate (Promega). The RLUs were measured on a Dynex MLX luminometer. To control for unspecific inhibitory effects of the inhibitors control experiments using pseudotyped viruses caring the MuLV envelope (murine leucemia virus) were performed.

Generation of env-pseudotyped HIV particles

293-T cells were transfected with plasmids carrying the reporter gene expressing the virus backbone, pNLluc-AM⁸ (a kind gift from A. Marozsan and J. P. Moore), and the functional envelope clone at a ratio of 3:1 polyethylenimine (PEI, linear 25kDa, *Polysciences*)⁹ as described elsewhere¹⁰.

Analyses or dose response curves

Sigmoid dose respond curves with variable slope were fitted by analyzing the percentage neutralization (y-axes) and the corresponding logarithmic value of the inhibitor concentration (x-axes) by GraphPad Prism 5.01 setting constrains for upper values to 100% and lower values to 0%. The inhibitior concentration resulting in 50% inhibiton (IC50) and its 95% confidence intervals based on the fitted curves were calculates by the same application.

References

- 1. Bartels, C.; Xia, T.; Billeter, M.; Güntert, P.; Wüthrich, K., J. Biomol. NMR **1995**, 6, 1-10.
- 2. Güntert, P.; Mumenthaler, C.; Wüthrich, K., J. Mol. Biol. **1997**, 273, 283-298.

- 3. Koradi, R.; Billeter, M.; Wüthrich, K., J. Mol. Graph. **1996**, 14, 51-5, 29-32.
- 4. Rusert, P.; Fischer, M.; Joos, B.; Leemann, C.; Kuster, H.; Flepp, M.; Bonhoeffer, S.; G, nthard, H. F.; Trkola, A., *Virology* **2004**, *326*, 113-129.

10

- 5. Montefiori, D., Evaluating neutralizing antibodies against HIV, SIV, and SHIV in luciferase reporter gene assays. In John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2004; pp 12.11.11-12.11.17.
- 6. Platt, E. J.; Wehrly, K.; Kuhmann, S. E.; Chesebro, B.; Kabat, D., J. Virol. 1998, 72, 2855-2864.
- 7. Wei, X.; Decker, J. M.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Z.; Arani, R. B.; Kilby, J. M.; Saag, M. S.; Wu, X.; Shaw, G. M.; Kappes, J. C., *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **2002**, *46*, 1896-1905.
- 8. Pugach, P.; Marozsan, A. J.; Ketas, T. J.; Landes, E. L.; Moore, J. P.; Kuhmann, S. E., *Virology* **2007**, *361*, 212-228.
- 9. Thomas, M.; Lu, J. J.; Ge, Q.; Zhang, C.; Chen, J.; Klibanov, A. M., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 5679-5684.
- 10. Manrique, A.; Rusert, P.; Joos, B.; Fischer, M.; Kuster, H.; Leemann, C.; Niederost, B.; Weber, R.; Stiegler, G.; Katinger, H.; Gunthard, H. F.; Trkola, A., *J. Virol.* **2007**, *81*, 8793-8808.

Spectra for peptides 1 and 2.

Spectra are shown below in the following order:

- ¹H-NMR (500 MHz) spectra of peptide 1 (full range)
- ¹H-NMR (500 MHz) spectra of peptide 1 (amide region)
- Neg. mode ESI MS spectra of peptide 1
- ¹H-NMR (500 MHz) spectra of peptide 2 (full range)
- ¹H-NMR (500 MHz) spectra of peptide 2 (amide region)
- Neg. mode ESI MS spectra of peptide 2









AV2-500: 1H of HIV peptide 2 in DMSO at 300K $\,$



