## **Supplementary Information**

## Control of ZnO morphologies on carbon nanotube electrodes and electrocatalytic characteristics toward hydrazine

Kwi Nam Han, Cheng Ai Li, Minh-Phuong Ngoc Bui, Xuan-Hung Pham and Gi Hun Seong\*

Department of Bionano Engineering, Hanyang University, Ansan 425-791, South Korea.

## **Experimental Procedure**

Homogeneous SWCNT films were fabricated using a vacuum filtration method. Briefly, the SWCNT mixture (Topnanosys co., South Korea) was sonicated for 1 h and then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for ten min. The pre-suspended solution was further diluted by a factor of 50 with deionized water and filtered through an anodic aluminum oxide membrane of 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size. The alumina membrane under the SWCNT thin-layer was easily removed in 3 M NaOH solution, and the SWCNT thin layer was then transferred to a flexible PET film directly after adjusting the solution to neutral pH using deionized water.

The electrodeposition of ZnO structures onto the SWCNT film was performed in a threeelectrode system, in which the SWCNT film (a working electrode) was placed into a cell with a Pt wire (a counter electrode) and Ag/AgCl (saturated in 3 M NaCl) (a reference electrode). Three electrodes were put into aqueous solutions containing zinc nitrate and additives, and then a constant potential of -1.0 V (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) was applied for the fabrication of all ZnO structures in open air. Electrochemical deposition was carried out for 30 min at 70 °C without stirring, followed by washing with deionized water and drying under nitrogen gas.



**Fig. S1** Schematic diagram for the fabrication of ZnO nanostructures on SWCNT film by electrochemical deposition.



Fig. S2 CV responses from (A) hex\_particle and (B) porous sheet with increasing sweep cycles.



Fig. S3 SEM images of ZnO nanorods after electro-oxidation of hydrazine using amperommetry method at constant potential of 0.2 V for (A) 30 min, and (B) 60 min. (C) SEM images of ZnO nanorods after electro-oxidation of hydrazine by cyclic voltammetry method in the potential range of  $-0.4 \sim 0.5$  V at the scan rate of 100 mV/s for 200 cycles (60 min). The scale bars represent 300 nm.



**Fig. S4** SEM images of ZnO structures after electro-oxidation of hydrazine using amperommetry method (left column) and cyclic voltammetry method (right column). (A) Hex\_particles at constant potential of 0.2 V for 60 min. (B) Hex\_particles in the potential range of  $-0.4 \sim 0.5$  V at the scan rate of 100 mV/s for 200 cycles (60 min). (C) Porous sheets at constant potential of 0.2 V for 30 min. (D) Porous sheets in the potential range of  $-0.4 \sim 0.5$  V at the scan rate of 100 mV/s for 200 cycles in the potential range of  $-0.4 \sim 0.5$  V at the scan rate of 100 mV/s for 200 cycles (60 min). (C) Porous sheets at constant potential of 0.2 V for 30 min. (D) Porous sheets in the potential range of  $-0.4 \sim 0.5$  V at the scan rate of 100 mV/s for 100 cycles (30 min). The scale bars represent 500 nm in (A), (B) and 1 µm in (C), (D).

Fig. S4 shows morphological changes in hex\_particle and porous sheet structures after electro-oxidation of hydrazine using amperommetry method and cyclic voltammetry method. The ZnO nanorods in Fig. S3(C) appeared to be slightly damaged after performing carrying out the electro-oxidation of hydrazine by cyclic voltammetry method. However, both ZnO hex\_particle and porous sheet structures showed the distinct morphological changes after electro-oxidation of hydrazine using amperommetry method and cyclic voltammetry method.

We believe that electro-oxidation of hydrazine by cyclic voltammetry method leads to the destruction of ZnO hex\_particle and porous sheet structures because hydrogen desorption is not derived from the CVs during the backward scan as shown in Fig. S2.

**Table S1** Comparison of electrocatalytic characteristics of the fabricated ZnO structures for hydrazine determination.

ZnO structures	Sensitivity	<b>Detection limit</b>	Response time	Correlation
	$(\mu A \mu M^{-1} cm^{-2})$	(μM)	<b>(s)</b>	coefficient ( $R^2$ )
Nanorod	0.101	0.170	< 5	0.997
Hex_patricle	0.010	1.628	< 5	0.998
Porous sheet	0.006	2.998	< 5	0.994