Electronic Supporting Information

Photocatalytic H₂ Evolution from Neutral Water with a Molecular Cobalt Catalyst on a Dye-sensitised TiO₂ Nanoparticle

Fezile Lakadamyali and Erwin Reisner*

School of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: er376@cam.ac.uk

Contents

Experimental Section	page 2
References	page 5
Supporting Table S1–S3	page 6
Supporting Figures S1–S4	page 8

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. All starting reagents for the synthetic part of the work were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without any further purification. Chemicals used for the analytical part were of the highest available purity. TiO₂ nanoparticles (AEROXIDE[®] TiO₂ P25 particles from Evonik Industries) were an anatase / rutile (8:2) mixture with an average particle size of 21 nm. Triethanolamine hydrochloride buffer solutions (TEOA, 0.10 M) were titrated with dilute NaOH to the desired pH value at 25 °C. [CoCl₂(dmgH)(dmgH₂)] (dmgH₂ = dimethylglyoxime),¹ [Co^{III}Cl(dmgH)₂(pyridine)],¹ pyridyl-4-phosphonic acid,² and [Ru^{II}(2,2'-bipyridine)₂(2,2'-bipyridine-4,4'-diylbis(phosphonic acid)]Br₂, [**RuP**]Br₂,³ were prepared as described previously.

Physical measurements. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 UV-vis spectrophotometer using samples dissolved in water or TEOA buffer (pH 7, 0.10 M). Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry was carried out in MeOH. Expected and experimental isotope distributions were compared. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz NMR spectrometer at room temperature. The signal from the residual ¹H present in D₂O was used as internal reference.⁴ Elemental analysis was carried out by the microanalytical laboratory of The University of Manchester.

X-ray Crystallographic Studies of (Et₃NH)[CoP]·½MeOH. Intensity data were recorded on an Oxford X-calibur 2 CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) using the CrysAlisPro software package.⁵ The single crystal was mounted in Paratone N oil on the tips of a glass fiber and kept under a stream of N₂. Full matrix least-squares refinement was carried out on F² values using the SHELXTL software.^{6,7} All non-hydrogen atoms were located and their positions were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters by successive least-square cycles and Fourier syntheses. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were assigned to idealised positions and non-carbon bound hydrogens localised on difference Fourier maps (Figure 2, main text). All hydrogen atoms were isotropically refined given thermal parameters of 1.5 (methyl hydrogens) or 1.2 (non-methyl hydrogens) times the thermal parameter of the atom to which they were attached. The structure was validated by using the CheckCIF

programme⁸ (free of A and B alerts) from the International Union of Crystallography and the PLATON software.⁹ Crystal data, data collection parameters, and structure refinement details can be found in Table S1, and selected bond distances and angles in Table S2. The **CoP** anion crystallises with a counter-cation, Et₃NH⁺, and one MeOH lattice molecule at half occupancy per cobalt complex (Figure S1).

Adsorption of CoP to TiO₂. A solution of (Et₃NH)[CoP] or $[Co^{III}CI(dmgH)_2(pyridine)]$ (0.1 µmol in 0.5 mL of TEOA, 0.10 M, pH 7) was added to a stirred dispersion of TiO₂ (5 mg in 1 mL TEOA). The mixture was left stirring for one h, was subsequently centrifuged, and the filtered, clear supernatant was then analysed by UV-vis spectrophotometry. The amount of CoP adsorbed to TiO₂ was quantified by the absorbance difference at λ_{max} (244 nm) before and after exposure to TiO₂ nanoparticles (Figure S2). The amount of CoP and $[Co^{III}CI(dmgH)_2(pyridine)]$ adsorbed to TiO₂ particles after one h was 33±2% and \leq 5%, respectively. **RuP** adsorbs quantitatively (>95%) to TiO₂ under the experimental conditions (TEOA buffer, pH 7).¹⁰

Assembly of photocatalytic H₂ production particles. The TiO₂ nanoparticles were loaded with **CoP** and **RuP**, and the photocatalytic system prepared by the following procedure: (Et₃NH)[**CoP**] (typically 0.1 μ mol in 0.1 mL water) was added slowly to a stirred (volume of stir bar: 0.15 mL) dispersion of TiO₂ nanoparticles (5 mg) in 4.3 mL TEOA buffer (100 mM, pH 7) in a Pyrex pressure reaction vessel (total volume 9.5 mL). After one h, [**RuP**]Br₂ (typically 0.1 μ mol in 0.1 mL water) was added dropwise to the stirred mixture of **CoP**-modified TiO₂ nanoparticles. After 20 min, the reaction vessel was sealed tightly with a rubber septum. The loading ratio for **RuP** and **CoP** on TiO₂ of three to one is estimated from electronic absorption studies (**RuP** adsorbs quantitatively and approximately one third of **CoP** attaches to TiO₂. The total amount of **RuP** and **CoP** in the system is the same (0.1 μ mol each). The turnover numbers and frequencies are given for the total amount of **CoP** in the system. For the UV irradiation experiments, [**RuP**]Br₂ was not added. The volume of the headspace of the vessel is 4.85 mL. All manipulations until this point were done in air, and an AI foil protected the vessel from light.

Photocatalytic H₂ production. Photocatalytic experiments were performed with a Solar Light Simulator (Newport Oriel, 150 W, 100 mW cm⁻²) equipped with an air mass 1.5 global filter. IR irradiation was filtered in all experiments with a water filter, and the UV irradiation was filtered with a 420 nm cut-off filter (UQG Optics), unless otherwise noted (UV experiments). To reduce the light intensity to 20 mW cm⁻² a neutral density filter with 20% transmission was used in one of the experiments. The stirred and lightprotected reaction vessel was purged with 2% CH₄ in N₂ for 10 min prior light experiments. Methane acts as an internal standard for H_2 quantification (see below). The reaction vessel was thermostated with a water circulator connected to a water-jacketed reservoir at 25 °C. The amount of photo-generated H₂ was detected and quantified by headspace gas analysis with an Agilent 7890A Series gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a 5 Å molecular sieve column (N2 carrier gas at a flow rate of approximately 3 mL min⁻¹). The column temperature was held isothermally at 40 °C, and a thermal conductivity detector was used. The instrument was calibrated with various known amounts of H₂ against CH₄ and the linearity and stability of the instrument and method were checked regularly. The total irradiation time for each light experiment was four h, with 10 μ L alignots of the headspace gas removed for GC analysis after 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 4 h (and 5, 6, 7, 8 and 24 h for the long-term experiment). The results are summarised in Table S3. In the absence of either light, RuP, TiO₂, CoP, TEOA (sacrificial electron donor) only small traces or no H₂ were detected. The turnover frequencies are based on the average H₂ production within the first hour. Each experiment was carried out at least three times with the following treatment of data: considering a sample of n observations x_i , the unweighted mean value (x_u) with its standard deviation (σ) was calculated using the following equations:

 $x_u = \sum_i x_i/n; \sigma = \{\sum_i (x_i - x_u)^2 / [n(n-1)]\}^{1/2}$

Centrifugation Experiments. A solution of $(Et_3NH)[CoP]$ (0.1 μ mol) was added to a stirred dispersion of TiO₂ (5 mg) and stirred for one h, then a solution of $[RuP]Br_2$ (0.1 μ mol) was added and the mixture stirred for an additional 20 min. The dispersion contained a total of 4.5 mL TEOA (0.10 M, pH 7), was subsequently centrifuged, and the clear supernatant with free **CoP** removed. Then, the separated **RuP/CoP** modified TiO₂ NPs were dispersed in fresh TEOA buffer (4.5 mL) in a Pyrex vessel, purged with 2% CH₄ in N₂ and the stirred reaction mixture irradiated for four h with visible light and analysed by GC. The result is given as Experiment 7 in Table S3. The same experiment was also performed with **CoP**-modified particles (in the absence of **RuP**) and UV-light irradiation (Experiment 8 in Table S3).

References.

1. W. C. Trogler, R. C. Stewart, L. A. Epps and L. G. Marzilli, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1974, **13**, 1565–1570.

2. S. Konar, J. Zon, A. V. Prosvirin, K. R. Dunbar and A. Clearfield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007,
46, 5229–5236.

3. S. A. Trammell, J. A. Moss, J. C. Yang, B. M. Nakhle, C. A. Slate, F. Odobel, M. Sykora, B. W. Erickson and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 3665–3669.

4. H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar and A. Nudelman, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 7512–7515.

5. CrysAlis CCD, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.34.9 (release 08-12-2009)

6. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL97, Program for Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

7. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, A64, 112–122.

8. CheckCIF program (http://checkcif.iucr.org/), accessed on 18th of September 2010.

9. A. L. Spek, PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.

10. E. Reisner, D. J. Powell, C. Cavazza, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps and F. A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2009**, *131*, 18457–18466.

chemical formula	C _{19.5} H ₃₇ CICoN ₆ O _{7.5} P	crystal size (mm)	0.25 x 0.10 x 0.05
M _r (g mol ⁻¹)	600.90	color, shape	brown, block
Crystal system	Triclinic	sec per frame	110
space group	РĪ	Т (К)	100
a (Å)	8.171(5)	μ (Mo K α , mm ⁻¹)	0.833
b (Å)	12.998(5)	Θ range, deg	3.12 to 25.02
c (Å)	14.602(5)	total no. of data	8129
lpha (deg)	113.768(5)	no. of unique data	4830
eta (deg)	99.000(5)	no. of parameters	380
γ (deg)	97.294(5)	completeness to theta	99.8%
V (Å ³)	1370.5(11)	R1ª	0.0499
Z	2	wR2⁵	0.1369
$ ho_{calc}$ (g cm $^{-3}$)	1.456	GOF°	1.024

Table S1. Crystal Data and Details of Data Collection for (Et₃NH)[CoP]·1/2MeOH

^aR1 = $\Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$, ^bwR2 = { $\Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(F_o^2)^2]$ }, ^c GOF = { $\Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / (n-p)$ }^{1/2}, where n is the number of reflections and p is the total number of parameters refined.

Table S2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for (Et₃NH)[CoP]·1/2MeOH

Co1–Cl1	2.219(2)	N1–Co1–Cl1	178.60(9)
Co1–N1	1.955(3)	N1-Co1-N2	90.71(13)
Co1–N2	1.896(3)	N1-Co1-N3	91.22(13)
Co1–N3	1.901(3)	N2-Co1-N3	81.06(14)
Co1–N4	1.886(3)	N2-Co1-N4	179.31(13)
Co1–N5	1.876(3)	N2-Co1-N5	98.51(14)

ESI, page Spplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011

Table S3. Photocatalytic H	2 Production with RuP a	and CoP attached to TiO ₂	2 NPs in TEOA buffer at pH 7	7 and 25 °C
----------------------------	-------------------------	---	------------------------------	-------------

#	Catalytic Nanoparticle System ^a	Experimental Conditions ^b	H ₂ (4 h) ^c ± σ / %	TOF (CoP) ± σ/ h ⁻¹	$H_2 \pm \sigma / \mu mol$ $H_2 h^{-1}$	$H_2 \pm \sigma / \mu mol H_2$ $h^{-1} (g TiO_2)^{-1}$
Stan	Standard system – electron transfer from RuP to CoP					
1	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	2.29±0.15	19.4±1.0	1.94±0.10	388±20
UV iı	radiation - electron transfer from TiO ₂ to CoP					
2	CoP (0.1 μmol), no RuP , TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, UV-visible light (100 mW cm ⁻²), pH 7	6.07±0.42	42±3	4.21±0.30	842±59
Phosphate inhibition - CoP not attached to TiO ₂						
3	CoP (0.1 μmol), no RuP , TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA + phosphate, UV-visible light (100 mW cm ⁻²)	0.08±0.08	d	—	—
Redu	iced light absorption and \mathbf{CoP} loading on TiO ₂					
4	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 20 mW cm ⁻²)	1.47±0.08	7.70±0.4	0.77±0.04	154±8
5	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.02 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	1.16±0.06	10.7±0.6	1.07±0.06	214±11
6	CoP (0.02 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	0.18±0.07	9.83±6	0.20±0.12	39±24
Centrifugation experiments. CoP concentration before centrifugation, 0.1 μmol.						
7	CoP , RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	0.24±0.02	n.d.	0.28±0.08	57±16
8	CoP (0.1 μmol), no RuP , TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, UV-visible light (100 mW cm ⁻²)	1.6±0.08	n.d.	0.71±0.04	142±41
pH v	pH variation - pH 6 and 8					
9	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²), pH 6	0.06±0.01	1.12±0.1	0.11±0.01	0.02±0.01
10	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²), pH 8	1.1±0.06	18±0.9	1.8±0.1	0.36±0.02
Cont	rol Experiments					
11	no CoP , RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	0.02±0.01	_	_	—
12	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), no TiO ₂	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	—	_	_	_
13	CoP (0.1 μmol), no RuP , TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, visible light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	—	—	_	_
14	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	no TEOA, vis. light (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm ⁻²)	_	_	_	_
15	CoP (0.1 μmol), RuP (0.1 μmol), TiO ₂ (5 mg)	TEOA, no irradiation	_	_	_	_

(a) TiO₂ NPs were modified with **CoP** and **RuP** as described in the Experimental Section. (b) TEOA buffer was used (4.5 mL, 0.10 M, pH 7; except in experiment 14) in a Pyrex vessel (headspace volume, 4.85 mL). The vessel was sealed with a rubber septum and contained a N₂ atmosphere with 2% CH₄ (GC standard) during irradiation. Irradiation from a solar light simulator (100 mW cm⁻²) was used, and UV light was filtered with a 420 nm filter in all experiments (except 2 and 8, where UV band gap irradiation was required). The light intensity was reduced to 20 mW cm⁻² (experiment 4) with a neutral density filter (transmission 20%). Phosphate (25 mM)/TEOA (0.10 M, pH 7) was used in experiment 3. (c) Photoproduced H₂ (in reactor vessel headspace, in percent) after four hours (± σ, standard deviation). Turnover frequency (TOF = molecules of H₂ produced per hour and per molecule **CoP**), H₂ production rate (µmol H₂ h⁻¹) and per g TiO₂ [µmol H₂ h⁻¹ (g TiO₂)⁻¹] based on the first hour of irradiation. (d) The limit of H₂ detection by GC is below 0.02% H₂.

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



Figure S1. Hydrogen-bonding network in two units of $(Et_3NH)[CoP]$ ·½MeOH showing 50% probability thermal ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme for all non-carbon and non-hydrogen atoms. A disordered ethyl (C18A and C19A) and a methyl group (C15B) in Et_3NH^+ are omitted for clarity.







Figure S3. Visible-light driven H₂ production with **CoP** (0.1 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.1 μ mol) attached to TiO₂ NPs (5 mg) in TEOA buffer (0.10 M) at pH 7 and 25 °C (standard conditions, Experiment 1, Table S3). Three single runs (solid lines) and their average (dotted red line) are shown.



Figure S4. UV-light driven H₂ production with **CoP** (0.1 μ mol) attached to TiO₂ NPs (5 mg) in TEOA buffer (0.10 M) in the absence of **RuP** at pH 7 and 25 °C (Experiment 2, Table S3). Three single runs (solid lines) and their average (dotted red line) are shown.



Figure S5. Visible-light driven H₂ production with reduced light intensity or catalyst loading on TiO₂ compared to standard conditions. TiO₂ NPs (5 mg) in TEOA buffer (0.10 M) at pH 7 and 25 °C were employed in all experiments, in addition to (a) **CoP** (0.1 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.1 μ mol) with 100 mW cm⁻² irradiation (standard conditions, Experiment 1, Table S3, Figure S3), (b) **CoP** (0.1 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.1 μ mol) with 20 mW cm⁻² irradiation (Experiment 4, Table S3), (c) **CoP** (0.1 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.02 μ mol) with 100 mW cm⁻² irradiation (Experiment 5, Table S3), and (d) **CoP** (0.02 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.1 μ mol) with 100 mW cm⁻² irradiation (Experiment 5, Table S3), and (d) **CoP** (0.02 μ mol) and **RuP** (0.1 μ mol) with 100 mW cm⁻² irradiation (Experiment 6, Table S3). The average plots of three independent runs are shown, including error bars).

End of Electronic Supporting Information