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## General Considerations:

General information: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 \& 400 MHz NMR spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with residual undeuterated solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 7.26 / 77.0\right)$ using $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as an internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm and $J$ values are given in Hz . The IR spectra were recorded either as KBr pellets (for solids) or neat or $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ (for liquids) on a Nicolet Impact 410 FTIR spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on (Shimadzu) [for EI] mass spectrometers. Optical rotations were measured on Autopol® IV Automatic Polarimeter (Rudoph Research Analytical). Melting points were measured with Gupta scientific melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Open column chromatography, thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Silica gel [CDH silica gel 60-120 mesh, F254 and Merck ${ }^{\circledR}$ silica gel respectively]. Solvent evaporation was performed at reduced pressure (Búchi rotary evaporator). Chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Lancaster and Fluka Chemicals and used as received.

## Table 1: Reaction of 1a with 2a/2b in the presence of various ILs. ${ }^{a}$

|  |  | $\xrightarrow[\text { neat, rt, 3-15 min }]{\text { IL (cat.) }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | IL | mol \% | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  |  | $\text { 3a } \quad 3 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| 1 | [bmim] $[\mathrm{Br}]$ | 10 | $20 \quad 25$ |
| 2 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ | 10 | $15 \quad 20$ |
| 3 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ | 10 | $15 \quad 22$ |
| 4 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]$ | 10 | $20 \quad 20$ |
| 5 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right]$ | 10 | $10 \quad 18$ |
| 6 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{MeSO}_{4}\right]$ | 10 | $25 \quad 28$ |
| 8 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}\right]$ | 10 | 2026 |
| 9 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{MeSO}_{3}\right]$ | 10 | $30 \quad 28$ |
| 10 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}\right]$ | 10 | $60 \quad 65$ |
| 11 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 10 | 9592 |
| 12 | [bmim][OAc] | 10 | 9592 |
| 13 | [bmim] $\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}\right]$ | 5 | 6055 |
| 14 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 5 | 9590 |


| 15 | [bmim][OAc] | 5 | 95 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | [bmim] $]\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}\right.$ ] | 2.5 | 45 | 40 |
| 17 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 2.5 | 95 | 89 |
| 18 | [bmim][OAc] | 2.5 | 95 | 89 |
| 19 | [bmim] ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{N}_{3}$ ] | 1 | 35 | 20 |
| 20 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 1 | 95 | 89 |
| 21 | [bmim][OAc] | 1 | 95 | 89 |
| 22 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 0.1 | $92^{\text {c }}$ | $89^{\text {c }}$ |
| 23 | [bmim][OAc] | 0.1 | $93^{\text {c }}$ | $89^{\text {c }}$ |
| 24 | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 0.01 | $5^{\text {d }}$ | $15^{\text {d }}$ |
| 25 | [bmim][OAc] | 0.01 | $15^{\text {d }}$ | $25^{\text {d }}$ |
| 26 | [bdmim] $]$ ( $\left.(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ | 0.1 | $0^{\text {c,e.f }}$ | $0^{\text {c,e,f }}$ |
| 27 | [bdmim][0Ac] | 0.1 | $0^{\text {c,ef }}$ | $5^{\text {c,e,f }}$ |
| 28 | [bdmim] $\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}\right]$ | 5 | $15^{\text {e,f }}$ | $12^{\text {e,f }}$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ | 5 | $0^{e, f}$ | $0^{\text {eff }}$ |
| 30 | NaOAc | 1 | $0^{\text {e,f }}$ | $0^{\text {e,f }}$ |
| 31 | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ | 1 | $0^{\text {e,f }}$ | $0^{\text {e,f }}$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{NaN}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ | 1 | $0^{\text {e,f }}$ | $0^{\text {e.f }}$ |
| 33 | none | --- | $0^{\text {e,f, } g}$ | $0^{\text {ef, }, g}$ |

${ }^{a} \mathbf{1 a}\left(2.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ except for entries 23-28) was treated with $\mathbf{2 a} / \mathbf{2 b}$ ( $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) in the presence of the IL (except for entries 29-33) for 15 min for $\mathbf{2 a}$ and 3 min for $\mathbf{2 b}$ under neat condition (unless otherwise mentioned). ${ }^{b}$ Yield after column chromatographic purification (IR, NMR and MS). ${ }^{c}$ The reaction was performed using 25 mmol of $\mathbf{1 a}$. ${ }^{d}$ The reaction was perforned using 50 mmol of $\mathbf{1 a}$. ${ }^{e}$ The reaction was carried out for 60 min . ${ }^{f}$ The starting materials remained unchanged. ${ }^{g}$ No thia-Michael addition took place when the reaction was performed using DMSO, MeCN and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent in the absence of any added catalyst.

# Table 2: Reaction of 1a with different thiophenol in presence of [bmim][0Ac]/[bmim][N(CN) $)_{2}$ ]: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 



| entry thiophenol | time (min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [bmim][OAc] } \\ & \text { yield (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\text { bmim] }]\left(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]} \\ & \text { yield }(\%)^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 93 | 89 |
| $\widehat{S H}$ | 20 | 88 | 85 |
|  | 10 | 90 | $82^{\text {c }}$ |


${ }^{a} \mathbf{1 a}(2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with thiophenol $\left(2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in the presence of the IL ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) under neat condition. ${ }^{b}$ Yield after column chromatographic purification (IR, NMR and MS). ${ }^{c}$ The reaction was carried out at $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Experimental Procedure:

## Typical procedure for thia-Michael addition for the synthesis of 4-phenyl-4-nitrothiophenyl-2-butanone 3b in presence of [bmim][OAc]:



To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrothophenol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), [bmim][OAc] ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After complete consumption of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (TLC, 3 min ), the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined EtOAc extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of
silica gel (10 g; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 150 mL ) to afford $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a light yellow solid ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

## Typical procedure for thia-Michael addition for the synthesis of 4-phenyl-4-nitrothiophenyl-2-butanone 3b in presence of [bmim][N(CN) $)_{2}$ ]:



To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrothophenol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). After complete consumption of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (TLC, 3 $\mathrm{min})$, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a light yellow solid ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

## Large Scale Reaction and Reusability of the Catalyst [bmim][OAc]:

The $[\mathrm{bmim}][\mathrm{OAc}](0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added to a magnetically stirred mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $2.92 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and thophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 2.42 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at rt ( $30-35$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After completion of the reaction ( 15 min ), the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) followed by addition of a 5 mL of water (to dissolved the catalyst). The EtOAc layer was separated, the EtOAc exctract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under vacuo to obtain the crude product which on purification by column chromatography ( $60-120$ mesh Silica gel; $10 \%$ EtOAc-Hexane as eluent) to afford 3a. The aqueous extract/layer containing the ionic liquid was concentrated under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min to recover the IL which was found to be identical (spectral data) with an authentic sample of [bmim][OAc] (unused ionic liquid). The recovered IL ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was reused for five consecutive fresh bathches of reactions of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a) and thophenol (2a) (1.1 equiv) at rt to afford 3a after usual work-up and purification (see following table).

| Run | Scale <br> $(\mathrm{mmol})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Amount of <br> $[\mathrm{bmim}][\mathrm{OAc}]$ used | Amount of [bmim][0Ac] <br> recovered (\%) | Yield of 3a <br> (Amount) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First Use | 20 | 0.040 g | $0.037 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ | $95 \%(4.86 \mathrm{~g})$ |
| First Reuse | 19 | 0.037 g | $0.035 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ | $95 \%(4.62 \mathrm{~g})$ |
| Second Reuse | 18 | 0.035 g | $0.033 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{(93} \mathrm{\%)}$ | $94 \%(4.33 \mathrm{~g})$ |


| Third Reuse | 16 | 0.031 g | $0.029 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ | $94 \%(3.85 \mathrm{~g})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fourth Reuse | 14 | 0.027 g | $0.025 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ | $93 \%(3.33 \mathrm{~g})$ |
| Fifth Reuse | 12 | 0.023 g | $0.022 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ | $90 \%(2.76 \mathrm{~g})$ |

${ }^{a}$ The amount of $\mathbf{1 a}$ used for the reaction. ${ }^{b}$ Yield of $\mathbf{3 a}$ after purification.

## Selectivity Studies:

## Experimental procedures for selective thia-Michael addition reaction catalysed by [bmim][OAc]:

Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., 2a and 2d with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and marcaptoethanol ( $\mathbf{2 d}, 0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added $[\mathrm{bmim}][\mathrm{OAc}](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30-35 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 15 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-phenyl-4-(phenylthio)butan-2-one (3a) as only product ( $0.595 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g. $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and tert-butylthiol ( $\mathbf{2 e}, 0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added [bmim][OAc] ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30-35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 15 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed
through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the 4-phenyl-4-(phenylthio)butan-2-one (3a) as only product ( $0.582 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).

Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., 2f and 2d with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\alpha$-tolunethiol ( $\mathbf{2 f}, 0.341 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and marcaptoethanol ( $\mathbf{2 d}, 0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added $[\mathrm{bmim}][\mathrm{OAc}](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30-35 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 20 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-(benzylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as only product ( $0.614 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).

## Experimental procedures for selective thia-Michael addition reaction catalysed by [bmim][N(CN) $)_{2}$ ]:

## Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two

 different thiols e.g., 2a and 2d with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:

To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and marcaptoethanol ( $\mathbf{2 d}, 0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30$35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 15 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-phenyl-4-(phenylthio)butan-2-one (3a) as only product ( $0.575 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g. $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and tert-butylthiol ( $\mathbf{2 e}, 0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30$35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 15 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-phenyl-4-(phenylthio)butan-2-one (3a) as only product ( $0.569 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

Scheme : Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., 2f and 2d with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\alpha$-tolunethiol ( $\mathbf{2 f}, 0.341 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and marcaptoethanol ( $\mathbf{2 d}, 0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt (30$35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 20 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-(benzylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as only product ( $0.573 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

## Experimental procedures for selective thia-Michael addition reaction catalysed by [bmim][OAc]:

Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of $1 \mathbf{a}$ with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and $2 \boldsymbol{b}$ with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and 4-nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), [bmim][OAc] ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation to afford the thia-Miclael adducts in $79 \%$ yield. The crude thia-Michael adduct was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afforded the 4-(benzylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2one ( $0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%$ ). Further elution with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 200 mL ) affords the $4-(4-$ nitrophenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as major products ( $0.477 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). This reflected a 80:20 selectivity in favour of the thia-Michael adduct of thiophenol.

Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{b}$ and 2c with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methoxybenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 c}$, $0.385 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and 4 -nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), [bmim][OAc] ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at rt $\left(30-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation to afford the thiaMiclael adducts in $83 \%$ yield. The crude thia-Michael adduct was passed through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afforded the 3-(benzylthio)-3-methylcyclohexanone ( $0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ). Further elution with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane ( 200 mL ) affords the 4-(4-nitrophenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as major products ( 0.534 g , $71 \%$ ). This reflected $85: 15$ selectivity in favour of the thia-Michael adducts of thiophenol.

## Experimental procedures for selective thia-Michael addition reaction catalysed by [NaOAc and 18-C-6]:

## Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of $1 \mathbf{a}$ with equimolar amounts of two

 different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and $2 \boldsymbol{b}$ with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:

To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and 4-nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.002 \mathrm{~g}$, $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $18-\mathrm{C}-6(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5$ mL ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-phenyl-4-(phenylthio)butan-2-one (3a) as only product ( 0.575 g , 90\%).

Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{b}$ and 2c with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1a, $0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methoxybenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 c}$, $0.385 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and 4 -nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.002 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $18-\mathrm{C}-6(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afford the 4-(4-methoxyphenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one (3c) as only product ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ).

## Experimental procedures for selective thia-Michael addition reaction catalysed by [bmim][ N(CN) ${ }_{2}$ ]:

Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1 a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:



To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) and 4-nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $[b \mathrm{mim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation to afford the thia-Miclael adducts in $72 \%$ yield. The crude thia-Michael adduct was passed through a bed of silica gel ( 10 g ; \#60-120) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afforded the 4-(benzylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2one ( $0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ). Further elution with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 200 mL ) affords the $4-(4-$ nitrophenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as major products ( $0.454 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). This reflected a $83: 17$ selectivity in favour of the thia-Michael adduct of thiophenol.

Scheme 2: Thia-Michael addition Reaction of 1a with equimolar amounts of two different thiols e.g., $2 \boldsymbol{b}$ and 2 c with varying hydrogen bond formation ability:


To the mixture of 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methoxybenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 c}$, $0.385 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and 4-nitrobenzenethiol ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), [bmim][ $\left.\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right] \quad(0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred magnetically at $\mathrm{rt}\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5$ mL ) and the combined EtOAc extracts were concentrated under vacuum rotary evaporation to afford the thia-Miclael adducts in $75 \%$ yield. The crude thia-Michael adduct was passed through a bed of silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; \# 60-120$ ) and eluted with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 500 mL ) to afforded the 4-(4-methoxyphenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one ( $0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ). Further elution with $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( 200 mL ) affords the 4-(4-nitrophenylthio)-4-phenylbutan-2-one as major products $(0.488 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$. This reflected $86: 14$ selectivity in favour of the thia-Michael adducts of thiophenol.

## Experimental Procedure for IR Studies:

4-Phenyl-3-buten-2-one (1a) ( $0.146 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and [bmim][OAc] ( 0.198 g , 1 equiv, 1 mmol ) was stirred magnetically at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aliquot portion of the reaction mixture $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was taken out after $0 \mathrm{~min}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ and 10 min which were subjected to record IR spectrum. Similar procedure was followed in using $[\mathrm{bdmim}][\mathrm{OAc}],[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{bdmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ and the recorded spectra are provided below.

## Effect on $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{o}}$ of the carbonyl substrate: Overlay of IR spectra after 0 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bmim][0Ac], [bdmim][OAc], [bmim[[ $\left.\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right],[b d m i m]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$.



## IR spectrum 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (1a):



## IR spectrum of sample after 0 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bmim][0Ac]



## IR spectrum of sample after 5 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bmim][0Ac]



## IR spectrum of sample after 10 min of treatment/mixing of 1 a with [bmim][0Ac]



## IR spectrum of sample after 0 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bdmim][OAc]



IR spectrum of sample after 5 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bdmim][0Ac]


## IR spectrum of sample after 10 min of treatment/mixing of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with [bdmim][OAc]



IR spectrum of sample after 0 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bmim][N(CN $\left.)_{2}\right]$


## IR spectrum of sample after 5 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bmim][N(CN $\left.)_{2}\right]$



## IR spectrum of sample after 10 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$



## IR spectrum sample after 0 min of treatment/mixing of 1a with [bdmim][N(CN)2]



## IR spectrum of sample after $5 \mathbf{~ m i n}$ of treatment/mixing of 1 a with [bdmim] $\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$



## IR spectrum of sample after 10 min of treatment/mixing of 1 a with $[b d m i m]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$



## Rationalisation of thia-Michael Reaction in DMSO, MeCN, Et 2 O and DCM and Comparison with [bmim][OAc] and [bmim][ $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ ] catalysed Reactions:

Thia-Michael reaction of chalcone, cyclohexenone and benzylideneacetone with thiophenol and benzylthiol is reported to take place in common organic solvents such as DMSO, $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the absence of any addendum (catalyst) [Lett. Org. Chem. 2006, 3, 794-797]. However, the outcome of these reactions can be adequately and more appropriately accounted for as a general base-catalysed process. Herein the solvents such as DMSO, $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}$ or PhMe do not merely act as a solvent either to create a homogenius environment or to provide polarity to the reaction condition. If providing a homogenious environment is the reason then all of these should have been equally effective but this is not what happens as no appreciable thiaMichael addition has been observed after 8 h using DCM or PhMe as solvent. On the other hand, if polarity is the criteria then DCM (a more polar solvent with $\varepsilon=8.93$ ) should not have been ineffective (trace yield after 6 h ) but $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (a less polar solvent $\varepsilon=4.20$ ) would have afforded 69 and $95 \%$ yields after 30 and 60 min , respectively. The common organic solvents are Lewis bases [Angew. Chem. Int Ed. 2008, 47, 1560-1638] and their relative basicity is determined by the Gutmann donicity number (DN). The DN values of $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM are 29.8, 14.1, 19.2 and 0 , respectively. Thus, DMSO acts as a Lewis base towards a proton donor (in this case thiol) and would be as effective as pyridine (DN value is 33.1 ). The negligible DN value of DCM accounts for its ineffectiveness in promoting the thia-Michael reaction. Therefore the thiaMichael reaction of chalcone with thiophenol 2a in DMSO, MeCN and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [Lett. Org. Chem. 2006, 3, 794-797] proceeds through a base catalysis mode wherein the solvent itself acts as the base. In this context we reasoned that it would be difficult to perform thia-michael reaction with electron deficient thiols (e.g. 4-nitrothiophenol) as in that case the negative charge of the generated 4-nitrothiophenolate anion would be stabilised/delocalised by the nitro group due to polarity of these solvents. It is due to this reason that we performed the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$ and 2b in DMSO, MeCN and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (table 1, entry 33, footnote h of the manuscript). Similarly the reactions of alkyl thiols such as tert-butyl thiol would not proceed efficiently as it is less acidic that would not permit generation of the corresponding thiolate anion with these solvents.

Benzylideneacetone [i.e., 4-phenyl-3-butene-2-one 1a] has not been used as a substrate in performing thia-michael reaction in DMSO, MeCN and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [Lett. Org. Chem. 2006, 3, 794797] and 4-nitrothiophenol, benzylthiol and tert-butyl thiols were not used as the reacting thiols. We performed the following reactions to put more insight on this mater:

Table A. Reaction of Chalcone (1,3-diphenyl-3-butene-2-one) with Thiophenol, 4-Nitrothiophenol and tert-Butyl thiol in different Solvents at Room Temperature (rt). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | thiol | catalyst (mol\%) | solvent | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { time } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { yield } \\ & (\%)^{b} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PhSH | none | DMSO | 20 | 80 |
| 2 | PhSH | none | MeCN | 15 | 93 |
| 3 | PhSH | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 60 | 95 |
| 4 | PhSH | [bmim][OAc] (0.1) | neat | 2 | 93 |
| 5 | PhSH | $[\operatorname{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{C N})_{2}\right]$ (0.1) | neat | 2 | 92 |
| 6 | PhSH | none | neat | 2 | Nil |
| 7 | 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | DMSO | 60 | Nil |
| 8 | 4-NO $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | MeCN | 60 | Nil |
| 9 | 4-NO ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 60 | Nil |
| 10 | 4-NO $\mathbf{2}^{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | [bmim][OAc] (1) | neat | 1 | 92 |
| 11 | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuSH}$ | none | DMSO | 60 | Nil |
| 12 | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuSH}$ | none | MeCN | 60 | Nil |
| 13 | ${ }^{\text {t BuSH }}$ | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 60 | Nil |
| 14 | ${ }^{\text {t }}$ BuSH | [bmim][OAc] (1) | neat | 7 | 92 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Entries 1-3 were performed to reproduce the reported data [Lett. Org. Chem. 2006, 3, 794-797]. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Refers to the corresponding thia-Michael adduct.

Table B. Reaction of 4-Phenyl-3-butene-2-one (1a) with Thiophenol (2a), 4-Nitrothiophenol (2b) and tert-Butyl thiol (2e) in different Solvents.

| Entry | thiol | catalyst | solv | temp | time | yield |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{( m o l \% )}$ |  | $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | $(\%)^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |
| 1 | PhSH | none | DMSO | rt | 60 | Nil |  |
| 2 | PhSH | none | MeCN | rt | 60 | Nil |  |
| 3 | PhSH | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | 60 | Nil |  |


| 4 | PhSH | [bmim][0Ac] (1) | neat | rt | 15 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | PhSH | $[\operatorname{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](1)$ | neat | rt | 15 | 95 |
| 6 | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | DMSO | rt | 60 | Nil |
| 7 | 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | MeCN | rt | 60 | Nil |
| 8 | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | rt | 60 | Nil |
| 9 | 4-NO ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | [bmim][OAc] (1) | neat | rt | 3 | 89 |
| 10 | 4-NO $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](1)$ | neat | rt | 3 | 89 |
| 11 | ${ }^{\text {t BuSH }}$ | none | DMSO | 55 | 60 | Nil |
| 12 | ${ }^{\text {t BuSH }}$ | none | MeCN | 55 | 60 | Nil |
| 13 | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuSH}$ | none | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 55 | 60 | Nil |
| 14 | ${ }^{\text {t BuSH }}$ | [bmim][OAc] (1) | neat | 55 | 10 | 90 |
| 15 | ${ }^{\text {t }}$ BuSH | $[\mathrm{bmim}]\left[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right](1)$ | neat | 55 | 10 | 82 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to the corresponding thia-Michael adduct.

## Characterization of the compounds:

4-Phenyl-4-phenylsulfanyl-butan-2-one (3a): ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}{ }^{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (q, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI): m/z $258(\mathrm{M}+1)$.

4-(4-Nitro-phenylsulfanyl)-4-phenyl-butan-2-one (3b): ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 4.71 (t, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22-7.61 (m, 7 H ), 7.62-7.63 (m, 2 H ); MS (ESI): m/z 302 (M+1).

## 4-(4-Methoxy-phenylsulfanyl)-4-phenyl-butan-2-one (3c):

IR (DCM) v: $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta: 30.67,49.12,55.27,55.37,114.34,114.62$, 124.02, 127.30, 127.72, 128.26, 128.39, 128.98, 132.67, 136.27, 141.27, 159.89, 205.67; HRMS: m/z 287.1061 (M+1).

[^0]
## 4-Ethylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one (3d): ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI): m/z $209(\mathrm{M}+1)$.

## 4-tert-Butylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one (3e): ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8$ Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.20 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.37-7.40 (m, 2H); MS (ESI): m/z 237 (M+1).

## 4-Benzylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one (3f):

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): m / z 271(\mathrm{M}+1)$.

4-Phenyl-4-phenylsulfanyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS)


## 4-(4-Nitro-phenylsulfanyl)-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS) <br> 



## 4-(4-Methoxy-phenylsulfanyl)-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS)





## 4-(4-Methoxy-phenylsulfanyl)-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS) <br> 



## 4-Ethylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS



## 4-tert-Butylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ,

 $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS)

## 4-Benzylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-butan-2-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS
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