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## Experimental Section

General procedure for TAM-Nu (4-7) synthesis: To a solution of TAM 1 in distilled water ( $\sim 1$ mL per mg of TAM 1) under magnetic stirring was added 2 equiv. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{IV}) \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ ( 20 mM solution freshly prepared from distilled water). After 1 min at room temperature, 50 equiv. of nucleophile were added to the reaction mixture. If not soluble in water, the nucleophile was dissolved in a minimum of an organic solvent such as THF or methanol. When $N$-acetylcysteine methyl ester was used as a nucleophile, NaOH ( 50 equiv. of a 0.1 M solution) was mixed with the thiol before adding to TAM $\mathbf{1}^{+}$. After 10 min at room temperature, the mixture was analyzed by HPLC-MS. Solvents were removed and products were purified by semi-preparative HPLC with a reversed-phase Hypersil ODS column $(250 \times 7.8 \mathrm{~mm})$ and a water / acetonitrile gradient was used.

Radical 11 was synthesized according to the same procedure ( 50 equiv. $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ ) except for the use of 12 equiv. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{IV}) \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$. Product was purified by precipitation and isolated in a $74 \%$ yield. Slow diffusion of pentane into a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}$ in chloroform at room temperature for about 2 weeks allowed the isolation of single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. ${ }^{[15]}$

Table S1. ESI-HRMS molecular ion of TAM-Nu 4, 5, 6, 7 and 11

| TAM-Nu | ESI-HRMS |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1156.1316 (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PS}_{12} 1156.1269$ ) |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1071.9973 (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{12} 1071.9950$ ) |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1038.0272 (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{12} 1038.0232$ ) |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1152.9716 (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{NaS}_{13} 1152.9698$ ) |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1001.9272 (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{12} 1001.9253$ ) |

## EPR spectroscopy

EPR spectra were recorded at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a Bruker Elexsys 500 EPR spectrometer operating at Xband $(9.85 \mathrm{GHz})$ with a SHQ cavity and an AquaX quartz cell, under the following conditions: modulation frequency, 100 kHz ; modulation amplitude, 0.1 G ; time constant, 40.96 ms ; conversion time, 40.96 ms ; and microwave power, 1 mW .
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Figure S1. EPR spectra of TAM-Nu 4 (A), 5 (B), 5 (C), and 7 (D) (in degassed MeOH)

## UV-Visible Spectroscopy

UV-Visible spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Cary 300 spectrophotometer (Varian, Les Ulis, France).



Figure S2. UV-Visible spectra of TAM-Nu 4 (A), 5 (B), 6 (C), 7 (D), and 11 (E) (in MeOH except for 11: in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )

Table S2. Absorption maxima of the UV-visible spectra of TAM-Nu 4-7, 11 and 16-20 in the visible region

| TAM-Nu | $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ | TAM-Nu | $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 459,490, and $671^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 5 | 463 and $648^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 463 and $648^{[\mathrm{ad}]}$ | 7 | 475 and $643^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 494,525, and $548^{[\mathrm{bb]}}$ |  |  |

[^0]Table S3. Crystallographic data for 11.

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1003.53 |
| Temperature (K) | 110 (2) |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.92770 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions (in $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $a=11.770(1), \alpha=90$ |
|  | $b=23.106(1), \beta=90.12(5)$ |
|  | $c=16.200(1), \gamma=90$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 4406.0(5) |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (mg/m ${ }^{3}$, calculated90.12(5)) | 1.513 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 2076 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.22 \times 0.23 \times 0.06$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection-rotation method ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 360 |
| Index ranges | $0<h<12 ; 0<k<26 ;-18<l<+18$ |
| No of reflections collected | 11911 |
| No of independent reflections | 6231 |
| Refinement method | full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5631/0/526 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.015 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0623, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1715$ |
| R indexes (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0637, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1733$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3} \text { ) }}$ | +0.39 / -0.44 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} ;{ }^{[b]}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

