Highly sensitive cyanide anion detection with a coumarin-spiropyran conjugate as a fluorescent receptor

Yasuhiro Shiraishi,* Shigehiro Sumiya, and Takayuki Hirai

Research Center for Solar Energy Chemistry, and Division of Chemical Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-8531, Japan E-mail: shiraish@cheng.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI[†])

Contents

pag	ze
Experimental procedure	2
Synthesis	2
Nonlinear fitting of the fluorescence titration data	3
Calculation details	4
Table S1. Electronic transition properties of 2 and 2–CN ⁻	5
Fig. S1 ¹ H NMR chart of 2	6
Fig. S2 ¹³ C NMR chart of 2	7
Fig. S3 EI-MS chart of 2	8
Fig. S4 Change in absorption spectra of 2 under 334 nm irradiation	.9
Fig. S5 Change in absorption spectra of 2 under 550 or 380 nm irradiation 1	10
Fig. S6 Effect of other anions on the fluorescence response of 2 to CN ⁻	11
Fig. S7 Change in fluorescence spectra of 2 upon CN ⁻ addition 1	12
Fig. S8 Absorption titration of 2 with CN ⁻	12
Fig. S9 ESI-MS chart of 2 measured with CN ⁻ 1	13
Fig. S10 Fluorescence titration of 2 with CN ⁻	14
Fig. S11 Absorption and fluorescence spectra of 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin 1	14
Fig. S12 Fluorescence decays of 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin and 2–CN ⁻ 1	15
Cartesian coordinates of 2(MC) and 2-CN ⁻	16

Experimental procedure

Absorption spectra were measured on an UV-visible photodiode-array spectrophotometer (Shimadzu; Multispec-1500) equipped with a temperature controller S-1700.^[1] Fluorescence spectra were measured on a JASCO FP-6500 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Time-resolved emission decay analysis was carried out on a PTI-3000 apparatus (Photon Technology International) using a Xe nanoflash lamp filled with N₂.^[2] Light irradiations were carried out with a Xe lamp (300 W; Asahi Spectra Co. Ltd.; MAX-302) equipped with 334 nm (light intensity: 69.1 mW m⁻²), 380 nm (53.8 mW m⁻²), and 550 nm (32.5 mW m⁻²) band-pass filters, respectively. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by a JEOL JNM-GSX270 Excalibur and JNM-AL400 spectrometer. EI- and ESI-MS analysis was performed by a JEOL JMS 700 Mass Spectrometer.

Synthesis

Compound 2 (1,3,3,4'-tetramethylspiro[indoline-2,8'-2H,8H-pyrano[2,3-h]chromen-2-one])



1,3,3-Trimethyl-2-methylideneindole (161 mg, 0.93 mmol) and 8-formyl-7-hydroxy-4methylcoumarin (200 mg, 0.98 mmol)^[3] were refluxed in MeOH (5 mL) for 3 h under N₂. The resultant was concentrated by evaporation. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography with CH₂Cl₂, affording **2** as a white solid. Yield: 220 mg, 66 %. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, acetone-d₆, TMS): $\delta = 7.53$ (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.42 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, –CCHC*H*–), 7.18–7.11 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 6.82 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar*H*), 6.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar*H*), 6.60 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar*H*), 6.14 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H, –CCHCCH₃–), 5.96 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, –CC*H*CH–), 2.80 (s, 3H, –NC*H*₃), 2.42 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H, –CCHCC*H*₃–), 1.30 (s, 3H, –C(*CH*₃)₂), 1.19 ppm (s, 3H, –C(*CH*₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 160.6$, 157.2, 152.7, 149.6, 147.7, 136.2, 127.5, 124.9, 122.4, 121.3, 119.5, 119.3, 112.8, 111.7, 111.3, 107.1, 106.8, 105.4, 52.0, 28.8, 25.8, 20.0, 18.7 ppm; EI-MS: *m/z*: calcd for C₂₃H₂₁NO₃: 359.2; found: 359.1 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI⁺): *m/z*: calcd for C₂₃H₂₁NO₃ [M⁺]: 359.1521; found: 359.1523.

Nonlinear fitting of the fluorescence titration data^[4]

When assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry for interaction between 2 and CN^{-} , the equilibrium is given by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{CN}^{-} \xleftarrow{K_{\mathrm{ass}}} \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-} \qquad (1)$$

The association constant, K_{ass} , is expressed as:

$$K_{\rm ass} = \frac{[2 \cdot \rm CN^{-}]}{[2][\rm CN^{-}]} = \frac{[2 \cdot \rm CN^{-}]}{([2]_{0} - [2 \cdot \rm CN^{-}])([\rm CN^{-}]_{0} - [2 \cdot \rm CN^{-}])}$$
(2)

[2·CN⁻], [2], and [CN⁻] are the equilibrium concentrations of the 2–CN⁻ species, free 2, and free CN⁻, respectively. [2]₀ and [CN⁻]₀ are the initial concentrations of 2 and CN⁻, respectively. The eq. 2 is transformed to:

$$[2 \cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-}] = \frac{([2]_{0} + [\mathbf{CN}^{-}] + \frac{1}{K_{ass}}) - \sqrt{([2]_{0} + [\mathbf{CN}^{-}] + \frac{1}{K_{ass}})^{2} - 4[2]_{0}[\mathbf{CN}^{-}]_{0}}{2}$$
(3)

Fluorescence intensity is given as follows:^[5]

$$I_{0} = \Phi_{2}^{\lambda} [\mathbf{2}]_{0} \quad (4)$$

$$I = \Phi_{2}^{\lambda} [\mathbf{2}] + \Phi_{2\cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-}}^{\lambda} [\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-}] \quad (5)$$

$$I_{\max} = \Phi_{2}^{\lambda} [\mathbf{2}]_{\max} + \Phi_{2\cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-}}^{\lambda} [\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{CN}^{-}]_{\max} \quad (6)$$

 I_0 is the intensity of **2** at 453 nm without anion under UV irradiation, *I* is the intensity of **2** at 453 nm obtained with CN⁻ under UV irradiation, and I_{max} is the intensity of **2** at 453 nm in the presence of excess amount of CN⁻ under UV irradiation. $\Phi_2^{\lambda_2}$ and $\Phi_{2.\text{CN}}^{\lambda_2}$ are the fluorescence quantum yields for **2** and **2–CN⁻**. By means of eqs 4, 5 and 6, the following equation is obtained:

$$\frac{I_{\max} - I_0}{I - I_0} = \frac{[\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{CN}^-]_{\max}}{[\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{CN}^-]}$$
(7)

With excess amount of CN^- , $[2 CN^-]_{max}$ is almost equal to $[2]_0$. The eq 7 can therefore be replaced as follows:

$$\frac{I_{\max} - I_0}{I - I_0} = \frac{[\mathbf{2}]_0}{[\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N}^-]} \qquad (8)$$

From eq. 3 and 8, the following equation is obtained:

$$I = I_0 + \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_0}{2[\mathbf{2}]_0} \{ ([\mathbf{2}]_0 + [\mathbf{CN}^-] + \frac{1}{K_{\text{ass}}}) - \sqrt{([\mathbf{2}]_0 + [\mathbf{CN}^-] + \frac{1}{K_{\text{ass}}})^2 - 4[\mathbf{2}]_0 [\mathbf{CN}^-]_0} \}$$
(9)

The eq. 9 was used for fitting of the fluorescence titration data with CN⁻.

Calculation details

Preliminary geometry optimizations were performed using the WinMOPAC version 3.0 software (Fujitsu Inc.) at the semiempirical PM3 level.^[6] The obtained structures were fully refined with tight convergence criteria at the DFT level with the Gaussian 03 package,^[7] using the B3LYP/6-31G* basis set for all atoms. The excitation energies and the oscillator strength of each structure were calculated by the time-dependent density-functional response theory (TD-DFT)^[8] at the same level of optimization using the polarizable continuum model (PCM)^[9] with water as a solvent. Cartesian coordinates for the MC form of **2** and **2**–CN[–] species are summarized in the end of this ESI[†].

- [1] Y. Shiraishi, R. Miyamoto, T. Hirai, Langmuir 2008, 24, 4273-4279.
- [2] Y. Shiraishi, Y. Tokitoh, T. Hirai, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3841–3844.
- [3] D. R. Bender, D. Kanne, J. D. Frazier, H. Rapoport, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2709–2719.
- [4] J. Bourson, J. Pouget, B. Valeur, J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 4552-4557.
- [5] R. Yang, K. Li, K. Wang, F. Zhao, N. Li, F. Liu, Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 612–621.
- [6] Y. Shiraishi, N. Saito, T. Hirai J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8304-8306.
- [7] a) Gaussian 03, Revision B.05, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, Jr., J. A. Montgomery, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004. b) GaussView, Version 3.09, R. Dennington II, T. Keith, J. Millam, K. Eppinnett, W. L. Hovell, R. Gilliland, Semichem, Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2003.
- [8] R. E. Stratmann, G. E. Scuseria, M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 8218-8224.
- [9] M. Cossi, V. Barone, R. Cammi, J. Tomasi, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 255, 327-335.

Table S1. Calculated excitation energy (<i>E</i>), wavelength (λ), ground to excited state transition
electric dipole moments (μ) and oscillator strength (f) for low-laying singlet state (S _n) of the
MC form of 2 and $2-CN^{-}$.

	Main orbital transition	E(eV)	μ (Debye)				f	
	(CIC ^a)	$[\lambda (nm)]$	μ_{x}	μ_{y}	μ_z	μ_{tot}	J	
2 (MC)								
Sa-S.	HOMO–1→LUMO (0.10)	2.4279	_3 15	-0.61	0.00	3.2	0.6138	
50 751	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (0.62)	[510.67]	-5.15					
S. S.	HOMO–2→LUMO (0.69)	3.0664	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.0	0.0000	
S ₀ - S ₂		[404.33]	0.00					
C .C	HOMO 1 \downarrow UMO (0.66)	3.1169	2.29	0.19	0.00	2.3	0.4028	
$s_0 \rightarrow s_3$	$HOMO-I \rightarrow LOMO(0.00)$	[397.78]						
2 –CN [–]								
	HOMO–2→LUMO (–0.13)	2 2621	-0.20	1.61	0.28	1.6	0.2172	
$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	HOMO→LUMO (0.61)	5.2021						
	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (0.19)	[380.07]						
C . C	HOMO→LUMO (-0.17) 3.5	3.5144	-1.95	-0.42	-0.19	2.0	0.3458	
$s_0 \rightarrow s_2$	HOMO→LUMO+1 (0.64)	[352.78]						
	HOMO–3→LUMO (0.24)	3.8167	-0.03	0.55	0.12	0.6	0.0295	
$S_0 \rightarrow S_3$	HOMO–2→LUMO (0.11)							
	HOMO−1→LUMO (0.63)	[324.85]						

^{*a*} CI expansion coefficients for the main orbital transitions.



Fig. S1 1 H NMR chart of 2 (acetone-d₆, 270 MHz).

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



Fig. S2 ¹³C NMR chart of 2 (CDCl₃, 68 MHz).

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



Fig. S3 EI-MS chart of 2.



Fig. S4 (a) Time-dependent change in absorption spectra of **2** (10 μ M) measured in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. (b) Change in absorbance of 372 and 528 nm bands.



Fig. S5 Time-dependent change in absorption spectra of 2 (10 μ M) measured in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under irradiation of (a) 380 nm and (b) 550 nm monochromatic light at 25 °C.

The measurements were carried out as follows: UV light (334 nm) was irradiated to the solution containing 2 for 30 min. The spectral measurements were then started under irradiation of the respective monochromatic light. (Inset) Change in absorbance of 372 and 528 nm bands.



Fig. S6 (a) Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 309 \text{ nm}$) of **2** (10 µM) measured with 50 equiv of CN⁻ together with 50 equiv of other respective anions in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. The fluorescence measurements were carried out after the solution was stirred for 30 min under UV irradiation. (b) Fluorescence intensity of the respective solutions.



Fig. S7 Time-dependent change in the fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 309 \text{ nm}$) of 2 (10 μ M) measured after addition of (a) 10 equiv or (b) 50 equiv of CN⁻ in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. (Inset) Change in fluorescence intensity at 453 nm.



Fig. S8 Absorption titration of **2** (10 μ M) with CN⁻ in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. Each spectrum was obtained after stirring the solution for 30 min with UV irradiation. (Inset) Change in absorbance of 372 and 528 nm bands.



Fig. S9 ESI-MS chart of a MeCN solution containing of **2** and 2 equiv of n-Bu₄N⁺ salt of CN⁻ obtained after UV irradiation (334 nm).

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



Fig. S10 Change in fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 372 \text{ nm}$) of **2** (10 µM) during titration with CN⁻ in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. The spectra at each CN⁻ concentration were obtained after stirring the solution for 30 min under UV irradiation. The blue and red spectra were obtained with 0 and 0.5 µM CN⁻.



Fig. S11 (A) Absorption spectra of (a) 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (10 μ M) in a water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; pH 12.8) and (b) **2** (10 μ M) measured with 50 equiv of CN⁻ in a water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) under UV irradiation (334 nm) at 25 °C. (B) Normalized fluorescence spectra of (a) 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (λ_{ex} = 320 nm) and (b) **2** (λ_{ex} = 309 nm) with 50 equiv of CN⁻ under UV irradiation at 25 °C. The spectrum (b) was obtained after stirring the solution for 30 min under UV irradiation.



Fig. S12 (a) Fluorescence decay of 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin in a water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; pH 12.8) ($\lambda_{ex} = 337$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 448$ nm). (b) Fluorescence decay of **2** measured with 50 equiv of CN⁻ in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (8/2 v/v; CHES 100 mM, pH 9.3) ($\lambda_{ex} = 337$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 453$ nm). The measurement was carried out after stirring the solution for 30 min under UV irradiation (334 nm). Shown as insert are the decay times (τ / ns) and the normalized pre-exponential factors (*a*). For judging the quality of the fit, chi-squares (χ^2), autocorrelation functions (A.C.), and weighted residuals are also shown.



Cartesian Coordinates (in Å) of the MC form of 2

С	-6.451241	0.084195	0.000283	С	4.997946	-0.958784	0.000151
С	-6.248171	-1.297289	-0.000054	0	2.384103	-3.443427	0.000146
С	-4.958498	-1.840753	-0.000270	С	6.498228	-0.811588	0.000311
С	-3.885766	-0.952631	-0.000109	Н	-7.461314	0.482759	0.000474
С	-4.072492	0.434100	0.000241	Н	-7.102803	-1.967847	-0.000156
С	-5.356235	0.959396	0.000412	Н	-4.818863	-2.916714	-0.000553
Ν	-2.503472	-1.225194	-0.000367	Н	-5.516331	2.034570	0.000660
С	-1.761249	-0.076250	-0.000054	Н	0.094176	-1.090129	-0.000033
С	-2.718543	1.128750	0.000204	Н	-0.009994	1.997732	-0.000301
С	-0.375526	-0.117164	-0.000035	Н	5.732248	1.708894	0.000141
С	0.453979	1.015960	-0.000186	Н	4.231239	3.657319	-0.000131
С	1.844796	1.118876	-0.000198	Н	-1.587478	2.496377	1.303842
С	2.774272	0.029384	-0.000109	Н	-2.654695	1.380952	2.178669
С	4.155544	0.209283	0.000017	Н	-3.338797	2.758496	1.299067
С	4.655740	1.562682	0.000017	Н	-1.587939	2.496937	-1.303258
С	3.838259	2.645670	-0.000115	Н	-3.339311	2.758671	-1.298229
С	2.383468	2.513374	-0.000268	Н	-2.655005	1.381483	-2.178234
С	-2.556693	1.992008	1.275864	Н	-1.299329	-2.710291	-0.887599
С	-2.557040	1.992353	-1.275302	Н	-2.721499	-3.301321	-0.001582
С	-1.923088	-2.560752	-0.000451	Н	-1.300921	-2.711175	0.887666
0	1.638258	3.503675	-0.000441	Н	5.033026	-3.104942	0.000327
0	2.241905	-1.217786	-0.000112	Н	6.987098	-1.789169	0.000198
С	3.005578	-2.401978	0.000116	Н	6.842589	-0.258144	0.882422
С	4.430285	-2.203832	0.000197	Н	6.842768	-0.257848	-0.881539



Cartesian Coordinates (in Å) of the 2–CN $^-$ species

С	-4.236827	-2.787822	0.813799	0	1.270259	-3.191172	-0.821694
С	-4.256412	-2.113148	2.033707	С	5.875640	-1.956937	0.211110
С	-3.760469	-0.807876	2.152144	С	-1.997038	2.495962	-0.945681
С	-3.251958	-0.186113	1.008788	Ν	-2.092289	3.564605	-1.390399
С	-3.226650	-0.861736	-0.225198	Н	-4.609694	-3.806252	0.745644
С	-3.716496	-2.152743	-0.326458	Н	-4.648577	-2.610019	2.918540
Ν	-2.733781	1.099562	0.879912	Н	-3.762210	-0.303192	3.113505
С	-1.908891	1.134545	-0.378374	Н	-3.685136	-2.679064	-1.277576
С	-2.685883	0.072313	-1.298626	Η	-0.360366	-0.327881	0.099275
С	-0.475211	0.725896	-0.122278	Η	0.438107	2.621443	-0.270238
С	0.590928	1.553591	-0.111480	Η	5.847658	0.655105	0.629401
С	1.994787	1.241489	0.110789	Η	4.926448	2.937771	0.805640
С	2.561896	-0.030757	0.007889	Η	-3.555893	1.430563	-2.790932
С	3.948280	-0.293033	0.181188	Η	-4.526236	1.272245	-1.314099
С	4.782728	0.824743	0.482766	Η	-4.493726	-0.050022	-2.496097
С	4.282138	2.090735	0.583349	Η	-1.324716	0.148050	-2.994668
С	2.867221	2.392077	0.398877	Η	-2.370572	-1.279355	-2.960468
С	-3.888356	0.728707	-2.018383	Η	-0.969706	-1.182031	-1.878983
С	-1.775585	-0.601964	-2.334776	Η	-1.923337	2.818936	1.732600
С	-2.253444	1.824173	2.042895	Η	-1.414454	1.324073	2.548254
0	2.431951	3.561671	0.489120	Η	-3.077847	1.948432	2.753721
0	1.718268	-1.070620	-0.302537	Η	3.860605	-3.662605	-0.432838
С	2.134032	-2.388876	-0.501974	Η	6.058583	-3.025722	0.063821
С	3.531830	-2.637476	-0.300487	Η	6.221963	-1.686701	1.216617
С	4.411579	-1.630608	0.027008	Н	6.500746	-1.402820	-0.500384