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## Experimental Details

Synthesis of $\left.\left\{\left[\mathbf{M o O S}_{3} \mathbf{C u}_{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{b i b p})_{2.5}\right](\mathbf{I})\right]\right\}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$. A well-ground mixture of $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{MoO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right](0.114 \mathrm{~g}$ $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(0.382 \mathrm{~g} 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(0.085 \mathrm{~g} 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was firmly ground in an agate mortar, and firmly ground at room temperature for 30 min . During this time, the mixture became slightly moistened and then was extracted by DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{ml} ; \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} 2: 1)$ to get the dark red filtrate. bibp ( 0.143 g 0.5 mmol ) was added in the filtrate and the final mixture was sealed in a 15 ml PTFE-lined stainless-steel acid digestion bomb and heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Dark red prism-shaped single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained in $12 \%$ yield, which were collected by filtration and washed with $i-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 1: 2)$. Elemental and ICP analysis calcd (\%) for ( $\left.\mathrm{MoOS}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{I}\right)$ : C 43.54, H 2.84, $\mathrm{N} 11.28 . \mathrm{Cu} 15.36$, Mo 7.73; found: C 43.39, H 2.91, N 10.92. Cu 15.80, Mo 8.20; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3476(m), 3417(s), 1637(m), 1618(s), 1508(m),

1457(m), 1384(m), 1303(w), 1248(w), 1092(m), 991(w), 822(w), 621(m), 483(w).

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{WOS}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{TIPA})\right]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{DMF})\right\}_{n}$ (2). A well-ground mixture of $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]$ ( $0.174 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuBr}(0.282 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15 \mathrm{~mL}$; v/v 2:1) under a purified nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 10 h , tipa ( $0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h . And the final mixture was sealed in a 15 mL PTFE-lined stainless-steel acid digestion bomb and heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Light yellow hexagonal prism-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained in $13 \%$ yield, which were collected by filtration and washed with $i-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 1: 2)$. Elemental and ICP analysis calcd (\%) for $\left(\mathrm{WS}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{BrC}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8}\right)$ : C 32.72, H 2.75, N 10.18. Cu 17.31, W 16.69; found: C 32.18 , H 2.31, N 11.32. Cu 17.80, W 17.10; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3418(s), 1638(s), 1618(m), 1517(m), 1384(m), 1307(w), 1283(w), 1259(m), 1062(m), 931(w), 832(w), 621(m), 547(w).

## Physical Measurements

X-ray crystallographic data of 1 and 2 were collected on a Bruker Apex Smart CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$.The structures were solved by direct methods, and the non-hydrogen atoms were located from the trial structure and then refined anisotropically with SHELXTL using full-matrix least-squares procedures based on $F^{2}$ values using the SHELXTL (version 6.10) package of crystallographic software. The hydrogen atom positions were fixed geometrically at calculated distances and allowed to ride on the parent atoms.

The IR absorption spectra were obtained in the range of $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by means of a Nicolet (Impact 410) spectrometer with KBr pellets

Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer model 240C analyzer.

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis was carried out on a Perkin-Elmer Optima 3300DV
spectrometer

Thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA) data were recorded by a simultaneous SDT 2960 thermal analyzer from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere (a flow rate of $\left.100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( 0.15418 nm ), in which the X-ray tube was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA .


Fig. S1 Plot of the asymmetric unit in 1 showing 30\% ellipsoid probability (hydrogen atoms and water molecules are omitted for clarity) with labeling scheme.


Fig. S2 Coordination environment of 1 with $30 \%$ ellipsoid probability (hydrogen atoms and water molecules are omitted for clarity). Symmetry code: $a=1-x,-y, 1-z ; \mathrm{b}=-x, 1-y,-z ; \mathrm{c}=x, 1+$ $y,-1+z$.


Fig. S3 Plot of the asymmetric unit in 2 showing 30\% ellipsoid probability (hydrogen atoms and water molecules are omitted for clarity) with labeling scheme.


Fig. S4 (Left) Portion of the 2-fold interpenetrated structure of 2. (Right) The space-filling picture of 2.

## Optical Measurement

A modified Z-scan technique: top-hat Z-scan experiments have been performed on clusters $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dimethyl formamide (DMF) solutions. The clusters are relatively stable toward air and laser light under the experimental conditions. The DMF solutions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were placed in a 2 mm cuvette for NLO measurements. The nonlinear absorption and refraction were investigated with a linearly polarized laser light $(\lambda=532 \mathrm{~nm}$; pulse widths $=6.5 \mathrm{~ns}$; repetition rate $=10 \mathrm{~Hz})$ provided by a frequency-doubled, mode-locked, Q-switched Nd:YAG laser. The spatial profiles of the laser beam were nearly Top-hat distribution after passing through a spatial filter. The laser beam was focused with a 395 mm focal length focusing Lens. The radius of the beam waist was about $31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The incident and transmitted pulse energies were measured simultaneously by two energy detectors (Laser Precision Rjp-765), which were linked to a computer via GPIB interface. The NLO properties of the samples were manifested by moving the samples along the axis of the incident laser irradiance beam (z-direction). The normalized closed-aperture curves are obtained by dividing the closed-aperture curve to the open-aperture one. In the far field, an aperture with linear transmittance of $\mathrm{S}=5 \%$ was placed in front of the detector D 2 to measure the transmitted energy when the assessment of laser beam distortion was needed.


Fig. S5 top-hat Z-scan measurement of $\mathbf{1}$ in $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ DMF solution at $532 \mathrm{~nm}, 6.5 \mathrm{~ns}$ laser pulses, with a linear transmittance of $81 \%$. The dark square represent the Z -scan experimental data and the solid lines are the theoretical fitting curves. (a) Data collected under the open-aperture configuration. (b) Data obtained by dividing the normalized Z-scan data obtained under the closed-aperture configuration by the normalized Z-scan data in (a).


Fig. S6 top-hat Z-scan measurement of 2 in $2.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ DMF solution at $532 \mathrm{~nm}, 6.5 \mathrm{~ns}$ laser pulses, with a linear transmittance of $84 \%$. The dark square represent the Z -scan experimental data and the solid lines are the theoretical fitting curves. (a) Data collected under the open-aperture configuration. (b) Data obtained by dividing the normalized Z-scan data obtained under the closed-aperture configuration by the normalized Z-scan data in (a).


Fig. S7 Electronic spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $2\left(1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in DMF in a 1 cm thick glass cell.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 8}$ TGA plots of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere on polycrystalline samples of cluster $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, and the TG curves are shown in Fig. S8. For 1, the framework remains intact up to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from which its framework begin to decompose. For 2, the weight loss of $8.12 \%$ in the region of $23-240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the loss of water and DMF molecules $(8.27 \%$ calcd), whereupon the framework begins to collapse.


Fig. S9 Experimental (bottom) and simulated (top) powder X-ray diffraction patterns of $\mathbf{1}$ at 293K.


Fig. S10 Experimental (bottom) and simulated (top) powder X-ray diffraction patterns of 2 at 293K.

Table S1 Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for complexes

| Complex 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.707(4) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $2.6608(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 2.6167(13) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 2.6500 (12) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.2602(18) | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.2813(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.2517(19) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.300(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.2894(19)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.221(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.980(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.270(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.2520(18)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | $1.911(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1) \_a$ | $2.406(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2) \_a$ | 2.406 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.289(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.3133(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 1.990 (5) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 2.273(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 103.0(2) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 118.69(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 108.49(14) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 119.15(19) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 99.66(15) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 105.68(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(9)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 126.39(17) | $\mathrm{N}(9)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 124.24(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 109.26(7) | $\mathrm{N}(9)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1) \_a$ | 88.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1) \_a$ | 92.84(12) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1) \_a$ | 93.01(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 104.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 119.69(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 102.09(16) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 118.95(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 101.41(16) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 106.79(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 111.09(16) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 110.35(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 108.32(7) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 109.30(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 109.18(7) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 108.55(7) |
| Symmetric codes: $a=-x+1,-y,-z+1$ |  |  |  |
| Complex 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.703(5) | W(1)-S(1) | 2.2632(19) |
| W(1)-S(2) | 2.259(2) | W(1)-S(3) | $2.255(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | 2.6381(11) | $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | 2.6907(9) |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ | 2.6453(9) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.245(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $2.2613(13)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.284(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.290(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \_b$ | 2.094(5) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \_a$ | 2.094(5) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.260(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.274(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $1.932(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 110.33(19) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 110.92(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 126.89(7) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 110.73(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 108.12(7) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 106.35(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 124.40(7) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 107.88(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 108.71(8) | S(3)-W(1)-S(2) | 108.62(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 108.03(8) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 117.44(19) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \_a-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 110.95(17) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 120.80(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \_a-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 105.74(17) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \_a$ | 94.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 127.09(19) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 124.1(2) |

Symmetric codes: $a=x,-y+2, z+1 / 2 ; b=x,-y+2, z-1 / 2$

