# Strain-promoted cycloadditions of cyclic nitrones with cyclooctynes for labeling human cancer cells

# **Supporting Information**

Craig S. McKay, <sup>*a,b*</sup> Jessie A. Blake, <sup>*a,b*</sup> Jenny Cheng, <sup>*a*</sup> Dana C. Danielson, <sup>*a,c*</sup> and John Paul Pezacki\*<sup>*a,b,c*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Steacie Institute for Molecular Sciences, National Research Council Canada, 100 Sussex Dr., Ottawa, ON, K1A 0R6, Canada
 <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa, 10 Marie Curie, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5, Canada
 <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ottawa 451 Smyth Rd., Ottawa, ON, K1H 8M5, Canada

John.Pezacki@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

# **Table of Contents**

| Materials and methods                                      | S3             |
|--|----------------|
| General procedure for SPANC in model studies               |                |
| Characterizaion data for new compounds                     | S4             |
| Compound <b>3a</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3b</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3c</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3e</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3f</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3g</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3h</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3i</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3j</b>   |                |
| Compound <b>3k</b>   |                |
| Compound 31  |                |
| Compound 1e  |                |
| Synthesis of DIBO-488 and DIBO-TAMRA                       |                |
| Kinetics measurements by <sup>1</sup> H NMR                | \$12-\$13      |
| Kinetics measurements by absorption spectroscopy           |                |
| Hydrolysis studies of acyclic and cyclic nitrones at varia | ble pH S15-S16 |
| <i>In vitro</i> labeling of BSA and EGF by SPANC           |                |
| <i>In situ</i> labeling of EGFRs by SPANC                  |                |
| <sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C spectra                 |                |
| References   |                |

### **Materials and Methods**

All chemical reagents, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO, 1a) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. Human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF), tetramethyl rhodamine cadaverine, Alexa Fluor® 488 cadaverine sodium salt and FITC-streptavidin were purchased from Invitrogen. Deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Cell line and culture media were purchased from ATCC. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Analtech Uniplate® silica gel plates (60 Å F254, layer thickness 250µm) using UV light to visualize the course of the reaction. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel (60 Å, particle size 40–63 μm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained using a 400 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported as  $\delta$  referenced to solvent and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hz. All other cyclic nitrones: 5-ethoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-1pyrroline-N-oxide (EMPO,  $\mathbf{1b}$ )<sup>1</sup> prolinol nitrones  $\mathbf{1c}$  and  $\mathbf{1f}$  and  $\mathbf{3}$ -N-Boc pyrrolidine N-Oxide  $\mathbf{1d}^2$ , piperazinone N-oxide  $\mathbf{1e}^3$ , ketonitrone  $\mathbf{1g}^4$ , acyclic nitrone<sup>5</sup> and dibenzocyclooctynes, 2a,<sup>6</sup> 2b and 2b-Biotin<sup>7</sup> were each prepared by standard literature procedures. The cycloadduct **3d** has been characterized previously.<sup>6</sup>

### **General Procedure for SPANC in model systems:**

The appropriate cyclic nitrone **1a-1e** (0.015mmol) and **2a** or **2b** (0.015mmol) were predissolved in either C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.3 mL) or CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.3 mL) and mixed at equimolar concentrations of ~25 mM (0.6 mL). Percent conversion was monitored both by disappearance of starting materials and by appearance of product as determined by integration at multiple chemical shifts in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. No other products were detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and all reactions were performed in triplicate. Upon completion, the triplicate reactions were combined and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude triplicate reactions were purified by flash column chromatography.

#### **Characterization Data for New Compounds:**

Compound 3a:



The crude reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure flash and **3a** was purified by column chromatography (9:1/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.20$ ). **3a** was obtained as a white solid (13.0) mg, 0.04 mmoles, 91 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 7.50-7.48 (1H, m), 7.29-7.08 (7H, m), 5.18 (1H, dd, J = 7.7, 2.8 Hz), 3.56 -3.49 (1H, M), 3.46-3.39 (1H, m), 2.14-2.04 (1H, m), 2.01-1.91 (H, m), 1.88-1.82 (1H,

m), 1.78-1.73 (1H, m), 1.47 (3H, s), 1.20 (3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 147.5, 140.7, 138.3, 132.7, 130.8, 129.9, 129.7, 128.3, 128.3, 128.1, 126.9, 125.9, 125.5, 110.1, 74.5, 69.5, 36.8, 35.1, 33.3, 31.5, 26.7, 23.7. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for  $C_{22}H_{24}NO_2 = 318.1858$ , found: 318.1832.

Compound **3b**:



The crude reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3b** and **3b'** were separated by flash column chromatography (8:2/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.32$  for **3b** and 0.25 for **3b'**). A 72:28 mixture of **3b** and **3b'** were obtained as off white solids (16.2 mg, 0.043 mmoles, 96 %). **3b** major: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ

7.53-7.52 (1H, m), 7.24-7.22 (2H, m), 7.14-7.09 (5H, m), 5.32 (1H, dd, J=7.1, 2.9 Hz), 4.26 (2H, q), 3.56-3.49 (1H, m), 3.44-3.37 (1H, m), 3.18-3.10 (1H, m), 3.00-2.94 (1H, m), 2.43-2.36 (1H, m), 2.00-1.85 (1H, m), 1.69 (3H, s), 1.32 (3H, t). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 173.8, 148.0, 140.7, 138.2, 132.2, 130.8, 129.8, 129.7, 127.0, 126.0, 125.6. 109.8, 76.5, 75.2, 61.4, 36.9, 33.6, 33.3, 30.6, 19.8, 14.2. **3b'** minor: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 7.42 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz), 7.26-7.04 (m, 7H), 3.85 (1H, dd, J=8.1, 1.9 Hz), 4.31-4.21 (2H. m), 3.56-3.40 (2H, m), 3.15-3.00 (1H, m), 2.99-2.94 (1H, m), 2.68-2.62 (1H, m), 2.13-2.05 (1H, m), 1.93 (2H, m), 1.45 (3H, s), 1.28 (3H, t). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 8 171.6, 147.3, 141.0, 138.4, 132.1, 130.8, 129.8, 129.8, 128.4, 138.3, 127.5, 127.2, 126.0, 125.4, 109.8, 74.5, 61.1, 36.8, 33.2, 30.6, 30.4, 22.6, 14.1. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for  $C_{24}H_{26}NO_3 = 376.1913$ , found: 376.1915.

Compound 3c:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3c** was purified by flash column chromatography (9:1/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.50$  for **3c** and 0.39 for **3c'**). An 87:13 ratio **3c** and **3c'** was obtained as a white solid (22.1 mg, 0.040 mmoles, 88 %). **3c** major: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.70-7.67 (4H,

m), 7.42-7.32 (7H, m), 7.26-7.23 (1H, m), 7.17-7.08 (6H, m), 5.18 (1H, dd, J=6.5, 4.5Hz), 4.04 (1H, dd, J= 9.6, 4.2 Hz), 3.75-3.64 (2H, m), 3.55-3.48 (1H, m), 3.44-3.37 (1H, m), 3.19-3.11 (1H, m), 3.02-2.95 (1H, m), 2.12-2.04 (2H, m), 1.86-1.82 (1H, m), 1.74-1.69 (1H, m), 1.08 (9H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  147.7, 140.8, 138.1, 135.7, 135.6, 133.7, 132.5, 130.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.3, 128.3, 127.6, 126.9, 126.0, 125.5, 109.9, 73.8, 71.4, 66.0, 36.9, 33.3, 29.1, 26.9, 25.5, 19.3. **3c'** minor: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.70-7.68 (3H, m), 7.44-7.08 (15H, m), 5.20-5.19 (1H, m), 4.33-4.29 (1H, m), 3.93-3.89 (1H, m), 3.51-3.33 (3H, m), 3.12-3.06 (1H, m), 2.95-2.88 (1H, m), 2.08-2.04 (1H, m), 1.88-1.81 (2H, m), 1.07 (9H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  148.2, 140.8, 135.6, 133.8, 130.8, 129.9, 129.7, 129.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.6, 126.0, 125.4, 75.9, 72.8, 50.3, 36.9, 33.2, 32.0, 26.8, 26.6, 19.2. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si = 558.2828, found: 558.2775.

Compound 3e:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3e** was purified by flash column chromatography (85:15/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.50$ ). **3e** was obtained as a white-solid (22.5 mg, 0.038 mmoles 84 %). %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.70-7.66 (4H, m), 7.44-7.34 (7H, m), 7.24-7.17 (4H,

m), 7.15-7.19 (3H,m), 5.31 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 9.5 Hz), 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 10.5, 4.1 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, J = 10.5, 6.5 Hz), 3.78-3.71 (1H, m), 3.56-3.47 (2H, m), 3.13-2.94 (2H, m), 2.51-2.42 (1H, m), 2.32-2.17 (1H, m), 1.08 (9H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  149.93, 139.8, 139.2, 135.7, 135.6, 133.3, 133.2, 131.4, 130.6, 130.3, 129.8, 129.2, 129.1, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 125.9, 125.6, 103.8, 103.7, 79.3, 79.1, 79.0, 78.8, 69.5, 69.4,

64.5, 36.0 (t), 33.7, 26.9, 19.3. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for  $C_{37}H_{38}F_2NO_2Si = 594.2640$ , found: 594.2665.

Compound 3f:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3f** was purified by flash column chromatography (8:2/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.52$ ). **3f** was obtained as an off-white solid (15.2 mg, 0.039 mmoles, 87 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.43 (1H, m), 7.32-7.29 (1H, m), 7.20-7.11 (6H, m), 5.46 (1H, s), 4.35 (1H, d, J = 17.4 Hz), 4.06-4.00 (m, 1H), 3.99 (1H, d, J = 17.4 Hz), 3.80-3.70 (2H,

m), 3.73 (3H, s), 3.64-3.57 (1H, m), 3.54-3.47 (1H, m), 3.41-3.31 (2H, m), 3.23-3.15 (1H, m), 3.02-2.96 (1H, m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  169.0, 165.7, 149.9, 140.3, 139.2, 131.1, 131.0, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 127.5, 127.2, 125.6, 125.6, 107.8, 71.9, 52.3, 50.5, 48.3, 13.6, 36.7, 33.0. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> = 391.1658, found 391.1611.

Compound 3g:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3g** was purified by flash column chromatography (95:5/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.25$ ). **3g** was obtained as an off-white solid (22.2 mg, 0.040 mmoles, 89 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400

MHz):  $\delta$  7.78-7.72 (4H, m), 7.45-7.36 (7H, m), 7.28-7.26 (2H, m), 7.18-7.03 (5H, m), 3.93 (1H, d, J=10.6 Hz), 3.73 (1H, d, J=10.6 Hz), 3.62-3.56 (1H, m), 3.45-3.32 (2H, m), 3.29-3.20 (2H, m), 3.18-3.08 (1H, m), 2.12-2.02 (1H, m), 1.93-1.79 (2H, m), 1.70-1.63 (1H, m), 1.07 (9H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  150.2, 142.3, 137.6, 136.0, 135.8, 133.8, 133.4, 132.9, 131.3, 130.3, 129.5, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 127.2, 125.9, 125.2, 108.3, 85.3, 68.0, 59.4, 37.9, 32.7, 32.1, 26.9, 23.5, 19.4. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si = 558.2828, found 558.2817.

Compound 3h:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3h** was purified by flash column chromatography (7:3/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.34$ ). **3h** was obtained as a white solid (20.0 mg, 0.044 mmoles, 97%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.29-7.10 (8H, m), 4.98 (1H, d, J = 6.3Hz), 3.44-3.23 (3H, m), 3.04-2.99 (1H, m), 2.67-2.59 (1H, m), 2.55-2.47 (1H, m),

2.42-2.35 (1H, m), 2.32-2.24 (2H, m), 2.01-1.98 (2H, m), 2.86-1.73 (4H, m) 1.39-1.22 (1H, 6m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  177.6, 170.6, 151.7, 142.1, 138.5, 131.7, 130.2, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 126.3, 125.9, 125.6, 106.2, 101.4, 78.9, 42.1, 37.6, 32.0, 29.0, 28.7, 27.3, 27.0, 26.2, 25.5, 25.4. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> = 460.2124, found 460.2112.

Compounds 3i:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3i** was purified by flash column chromatography (7:3/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.30$ ). **3j** was obtained as a mixture of regio- and diastereomers as a off-white solid (14.7 mg, 0.044 mmoles, 97%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.69-7.63 (2.0H, m), 7.52-7.50 (1.3H, m), 7.39-7.35 (2.0H, m), 7.29-7.09 (11H, m), 5.65-5.61 (1.0H, m), 5.24-5.20

(1.8H, m), 5.08-5.03 (0.9H, m), 3.82-3.77 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 5.2 Hz), 3.44-3.38 (0.9H, dd, J = 12.6, 11.2 Hz), 3.32-3.27 (0.9H, dd, 12.7, 6.1 Hz), 3.22-3.15 (1.0H, dd, J = 16.0, 10.5 Hz), 2.12-1.88 (6.0H, m), 1.79-1.74 (4.2H, m), 1.49, 1.46, 1.21, 1.20 (4x3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  149.6, 147.5, 146.8, 143.6, 139.3, 136.7, 135.3, 132.8, 132.6, 131.3, 130.5, 130.4, 130.0, 129.6, 129.0, 128.6, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0, 126.6, 125.9, 125.2, 110.5, 109.1, 74.3, 74.2, 69.6, 69.1, 45.7, 40.5, 35.1, 35.0, 31.1, 30.9, 26.6, 26.5, 23.7. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> = 334.1807, found 334.1775.

#### Compounds **3j**:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3j** was purified by flash column chromatography (65:35/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.28$ ). **3j** was obtained as a mixture of regio- and diastereomers as a white solid (16.9 mg, 0.043 mmoles, 96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.69-7.66 (1.4H, m), 7.55-7.50 (0.9 H, m), 7.46-7.43 (0.4H, m), 7.37-7.34 (1.6H, m), 7.30-7.06 (9H, m), 5.67-5.83 (0.8H,

m), 5.37-5.35 (1H, m), 5.29-5.25 (0.6H, m), 5.08-5.04 (0.4H, m), 5.01-4.96 (0.2H, m), 4.31-4.09 (3.8H, m), 3.82-3.75 (0.8H, m), 3.54-3.13 (2.1H, m), 2.71-2.59 (0.4H, m), 2.43-2.33 (1.2H, m), 2.20-1.77 (8.0H, m), 1.71, 1.69, 1.48, 1.46 (4x3H, s), 1.3-1.23 (4x3H, t). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  173.6, 171.7, 171.4, 171.2, 150.0, 149.3, 148.0, 143.8, 143.6, 139.6, 139.2, 137.1, 136.6, 135.4, 135.2, 132.9, 132.6, 132.4, 132.3, 131.3, 130.2, 130.1, 129.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.7, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.6, 126.5, 126.2, 125.9, 125.8, 125.7, 125.3, 125.1, 110.3, 110.1, 108.8, 108.8, 74.9, 74, 9, 74.4, 74.1, 61.5, 61.4, 61.2, 60.4, 45.8, 45.8, 40.8, 40.5, 33.7, 33.6, 31.3, 30.5, 30.4, 30.2, 30.1, 29.7, 29.5, 23.1, 22.6, 21.0, 19.8, 19.8, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> = 392.1862, found 392.1799.

#### Compounds 3k:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **3j** was purified by flash column chromatography (8:2/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.25$ ). **3j** was obtained as a mixture of regioand diastereomers as a white solid (24.0 mg, 0.041 mmoles, 92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.71-7.65 (33.2H, m), 7.63-7.51 (6.2H, m), 7.43-7.14 (93.5H, m), 5.65-5.60 (3.5H, m), 5.53-5.49

(1.4H, m), 5.39-5.35 (1.0H, m), 5.30-5.16 (5.5H, m), 5.09-4.99 (3.1H, m), 2.13-2.05 (16.8H, m), 1.96-1.85 (7.2H, m), 1.80-1.68 (8.6H, m), 1.08, 1.07, 1.07, 1.06 (4x3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 143.6, 139.1, 136.7, 135.7, 135.7, 135.7, 135.5, 133.7, 133.6, 132.7, 131.6, 131.3, 131.2, 130.4, 130.1, 130.1, 129.8, 129.7, 129.5, 128.9, 128.6, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.8, 126.6, 125.9, 125.3, 110.3, 109.1, 107.1, 103.5, 73.7, 72.3,

71.5, 69.0, 65.7, 60.4, 45.8, 45.8, 42.1, 40.6, 30.2, 29.6, 29.0, 26.9, 26.8, 26.8, 25.5, 25.4, 21.1, 19.3, 19.2, 14.2. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for  $C_{37}H_{40}NO_3Si = 574.2777$ , found 574.2763.

Compounds 31:



The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and **31** was purified by flash column chromatography (6:4/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.35$ ). **31** was obtained as a mixture of regio- and diastereomers as a white solid (17.4 mg, 0.043 mmoles, 95%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.67-7.64 (2.1H, m), 7.58-7.55 (1.1H, m), 7.48-7.10 (29H, m), 5.81-5.77 (1.0H, dd, J = 11.6, 5.4 Hz), 5.55-5.23 (2.1H, m), 5.46-

5.43 (3.1H, m), 5.09-5.04 (1.0H, dd, J = 10.5, 7.3 Hz), 4.99-4.94 (1.2H, dd, J = 10.1, 8.1 Hz), 4.72-4.47 (3.6H, m), 4.38-4.25 (2.3H, m), 4.17-4.05 (4.7H, m), 3.95-3.78 (7.8H, m), 3.75, 3.74, 3.73, 3.69 (4x3H, s), 3.68-3.43 (8.9H, m), 3.34-3.10 (8.9H, m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  169.4, 169.2, 169.1, 169.0, 167.3, 165.8, 165.5, 165.2, 152.2, 149.9, 149.8, 148.7, 144.5, 142.8, 140.4, 140.3, 138.2, 136.5, 136.6, 136.4, 133.8, 132.7, 132.4, 131.7, 131.5, 130.7, 130.4, 130.2, 130.1, 130.0, 130.0, 129.8, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.0, 126.8, 126.6, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 126.2, 125.9, 125.7, 125.6, 125.4, 125.2, 124.8, 124.5, 110.2, 109.3, 108.5, 105.8, 76.1, 74.2, 72.0, 71.9, 71.8, 71.5, 71.3, 68.1, 65.5, 64.3, 60.4, 57.1, 52.6, 52.5, 52.4, 52.3, 52.0, 51.9, 50.5, 50.2, 50.1, 49.2, 48.8, 48.5, 48.4, 48.3, 45.6, 43.7, 43.6, 43.6, 43.5, 43.1, 42.4, 40.6, 35.1, 30.9, 30.6, 21.0, 19.1, 14.2, 13.7. HRMS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> = 407.1617, found 407.1634.

Compound 1e:



N-Boc-4,4-difluoro-*L*-proline (500 mg, 1.99 mmoles) was dissolved in THF (3.85 mL) and BH<sub>3</sub> THF (3.85mL, 3.85 mmoles from a 1.0 M solution in THF) was added at 0  $^{\circ}$ C. The resultant

solution was stirred at 0 °C for 2 hrs. Upon completion, ice water (7 mL) was added and the solution was extracted with EtOAc (14 mL x3), the combined organic phases were washed with brine (7 mL), sat'd NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (7 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (7mLx2). The organic phase

was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (86:6:6/Hx:EtOAc:EtOH,  $R_f = 0.1$ ). N-Boc-4,4-difluoro-L-prolinol was obtained as a colorless oil (467 mg, 1.97 mmoles, 99 %). N-Boc-4,4difluoro-L-prolinol (104 mg, 0.44 mmoles) was dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.4 mL) at 0 °C. TBDPS-Cl (236 µL, 0.92 mmoles) and Imidazole (66 mg, 0.97 mmoles) were successively added and the reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 minutes, then was warmed to r.t. and stirred overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of celite, diluted with a sat'd NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10mLx3). The combined organic phases where dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (95:5/Hx:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.35$ ). This colourless oil (159 mg, 0.33 mmoles) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL) and TFA (250 µL, 3.3 mmoles), stirred for 2 hrs at r.t. and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude OTBDPS-L-prolinol (~0.3mmoles) was dissolved in MeCN:THF/4:1 (1mL) at 5 °C. The EDTA (0.5mL from a 0.01 M solution) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (252 mg, 3 mmoles) were added. Subsequently over a 2 hr. period, oxone (203 mg, 0.33 mmoles) was added portion wise at 5 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 20 minutes at 5 °C. Upon completion, the mixture was extracted into EtOAc (3x5 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Crude **1e** was separated from the keto-regioisomer by reverse phase preparative HPLC. Eluting 70-80 % MeCN:H<sub>2</sub>O during 15 minutes (column: Sunfire C<sub>18</sub> 19 x 100 mm, flow rate = 17.0 mL/min). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400MHz): δ 7.70-7.65 (4H, m), 7.46-7.39 (6H, m), 7.09 (1H, m), 4.44 (1H, dd, J = 11.3, 1.5 Hz), 4.20 (1H,m), 3.63 (1H, dd, J = 11.3, 1.9 Hz), 3.07-2.95 (1H, m), 2.85-2.73 (1H, m), 1.05 (9H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100MHz): δ 135.6, 135.5, 132.8, 132.1, 130.0, 127.8, 127.8, 73.3, 59.9, 55.6 (t), 26.5, 19.3. MS (ESI+): m/z calculated for  $C_{21}H_{26}F_2NO_2Si = 390.17$ , found 390.2.

Compound DIBO-488:



AlexaFluor®488cadaverine,sodiumsalt(1mg, 0.00156 mmoles)wasdissolved in a dry DMF (0.2mL)solutioncontainingN,N-diisopropylamine(1.4

 $\mu$ L, 0.0078 mmoles). Then 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate dibenzocyclooctyne<sup>7</sup> (0.8mg, 0.00312 mmoles) was added in at r.t. and the reaction was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and **DIBO-488** was purified by reverse-phase preparative HPLC. Eluting 10-95% MeCN+0.1%TFA:H<sub>2</sub>O+0.1%TFA gradient in 10 minutes. Column: Sunfire C<sub>18</sub>, 19x100mm, flow rate: 17.0 mL/min. **DIBO-488** was obtained as a dark orange solid (0.8 mg, 0.0009 mmoles, 58 %). MS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S<sub>2</sub> = 865.18, found 865.2.

### Compound DIBO-TAMRA:



5-(and-6)-((*N*-(5-aminopentyl)amino)carbonyl)tetramethylrhod amine (10 mg, 0.019 mmoles) was dissolved in DMF (0.5 mL). Then 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate dibenzo-

cyclooctyne<sup>7</sup> (11.2 mg, 0.029 mmoles) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (8  $\mu$ L, 0.057 mmoles) were added. The reaction was allowed to stir at r.t. in the absence of light for 4 hrs. After the allotted time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. **DIBO-TAMRA** was purified by reverse-phase preparative HPLC. Eluting 40-65% MeCN+0.1%TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O+0.1%TFA gradient in 20 minutes. Column: Sunfire C<sub>18</sub> 19x100mm, flow rate: 17.0 mL/min. **DIBO-TAMRA** was obtained as a dark purple solid (11.9 mg, 0.015 mmoles). MS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> = 761.33, found 761.3.

# Kinetics measurements of SPANC by <sup>1</sup>H NMR:

The appropriate cyclic nitrone (**1a-f**) and dibenzocyclooctyne (**2a** or **2b**) were predissolved in either C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>CN and mixed at equimolar concentrations of ~25mM. Percent conversion was monitored both by disappearance of starting materials and by appearance of product as determined by integration at multiple chemical shifts in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. No other products were detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and all reactions were performed in triplicate. Second order rate constants in units of M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> were determined by plotting 1/[nitrone] versus time and subsequently using analysis by linear regression. The second order rate constant  $k_2$  (M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) corresponds to the determined slope.

(a) Reactions of cyclic nitrones (1a-1e) with Dibenzocyclooctyne (2a) in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (25mM).





(b) Reactions of cyclic nitrones (1a, 1b, 1c and 1e) with DIBO (2b) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (25mM).



**Figure S1.** Kinetic studies of Doubly-Strained SPANC by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. (a) Reactions of cyclic nitrones (**1a-1e**) with Dibenzocyclooctyne (**2a**) in  $C_6D_6$  (25mM). (b) Reactions of cyclic nitrones (**1a, 1b, 1c** and **1e**) with DIBO (**2b**) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (25mM).

#### Kinetics measurements of SPANC by absorption spectroscopy:

Cyclic nitrone **1f** and dibenzocyclooctyne (**2a** or **2b**) were pre-dissolved in either toluene or acetonitrile and mixed at 25°C. This was repeated for multiple excess concentrations of **1f**. For each sample the absorbance at 305 nm (Abs.) was monitored until reaction was complete as determined by no further change in absorbance. Pseudo first order rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) in units of s<sup>-1</sup> were determined as the slope obtained by plotting Ln(Abs. – Abs. final) versus time (Figure S2 a). The second order rate constant  $k_2$  ( $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ ) was determined by plotting  $k_{obs}$  against the concentration of **1f** (Figure S2 b); the slope of this line corresponds to  $k_2$ .





**(b)** 



**Figure S2.** Kinetic studies of SPANC by absorption spectroscopy. (a) Reaction of cyclic nitrones (**1f**) (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 mM) with DIBCO (**2a**, 5  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile. (b) Determination of second order rate constant  $k_2$  (M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) from multiple pseudo-first order rate constants (k =  $k_{obs}[1f]$ ).

#### Hydrolysis studies of acyclic and cyclic nitrones at variable pH of solution:

The acyclic nitrone<sup>7</sup> (20  $\mu$ L, 0.01 mmoles from a 500 mM stock in DMSO) or cyclic nitrone (20  $\mu$ L, 0.01 mmoles from a 500 mM stock in DMSO) was added to either PBS 1x (980  $\mu$ L), 10 mM NaOH (980  $\mu$ L), 100 mM NaOH (980  $\mu$ L), 10 mM HCl (980  $\mu$ L) or 100 mM HCl (980  $\mu$ L). Hydrolysis was monitored by disappearance of the acyclic nitrone as determined by reverse phase analytical HPLC. The concentration of nitrone remaining (nitrone %) was determined by substituting the peak area from the HPLC chromatogram into the equations of nitrone calibration curves.

(a) Hydrolysis of Acyclic nitrone (10mM) in the presence of PBS 1x, 10mM NaOH, 100 mM NaOH, 10 mM HCl and 100 mM HCl.



(b) Hydrolysis study of Cyclic nitrone 2a (10mM) in the presence of PBS 1x, 100 mM NaOH or 100 mM HCl.



S-15



(c) Calibration curves for acyclic and cyclic nitrones.

**Figure S3.** Hydrolytic stabilities of acyclic and cyclic nitrones at variable pH. (a) Reaction of acyclic nitrone<sup>5</sup> (10mM) in the presence of PBS1x, 10mM NaOH, 100 mM NaOH, 10 mM HCl and 100 mM HCl for 2 hrs. (b) Cyclic nitrone **2a** (10mM) in the presence of PBS 1x, 100 mM NaOH or 100 mM HCl. (c) Plot of absorption versus time for acyclic and cyclic nitrones at a range of concentration (0.625 mM, 1.25 mM, 2.5 mM, 5.0 mM and 10.0 mM).

### General procedure for in vitro SPANC labeling of BSA and EGF:

## A. Preparation of NHS-1c:



#### Compound 1c-OH:

To a stirring solution of **1c** (39.2 mg, 0.11 mmoles) in dry THF (1.5 mL) was added TBAF (132  $\mu$ L, 0.13 mmoles from a 1.0 M solution in THF). The resultant solution was stirred at r.t. for 3hrs. Upon completion, the

solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude orange oil was purified by flash column chromatography (85:15/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>OH,  $R_f$ = 0.3). **1c-OH** was isolated as an off white solid (12.0 mg, 0.10 mmoles, 95 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  6.93 (1H, m),

4.15 (1H, m), 4.02 (1H, dd, J = 12.1, 2.8 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 12.1, 6.9 Hz), 2.70-2.66 (2H, m), 2.36-2.30 (1H, m), 2.02-1.97 (1H, m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  135.9, 72.2, 63.8, 27.1, 21.4. MS (ESI+): m/z calculated for C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> = 116.07, found 116.1.

Compound NHS-1c:



To a stirring solution of 1c-OH (3.3 mg, 0.029 mmoles) in dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.29 mL), was added DSC (8.7 mg, 0.034 mmoles) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (5.2  $\mu$ L, 0.037 mmoles). The reaction was stirred overnight under an atmosphere of argon. Upon completion, **NHS-1c** was used directly for coupling with BSA or EGF.

#### B. Cyclic nitrone modification of BSA or EGF via NHS coupling:

The NHS-activated nitrone, NHS-1c (2 µL, 200 nmoles from a 100 mM freshly prepared stock in CH<sub>3</sub>CN) was added to a solution of BSA (10 nmoles) or EGF (8 nmoles) in phosphate buffered saline pH =7.4 (100 µL, PBS: 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and 2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>). The reaction was incubated on a shaking platform over night at r.t. Upon completion, in the first case, BSA-1c was first diluted with PBS (15 mL) and then concentrated in an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter device (Millipore) with a 10-kDa molecular weight cut off (MWCO) by centrifugation at 4000 g. In the second case, EGF-1c was diluted with PBS (15 mL) in an Amicon Ultra-15 filter device (Millipore) with a 3-kDa MWCO and was concentrated by centrifugation at 4000 g. In both cases, the process was repeated twice more to remove excess **NHS-1c**, and coupling reagents and byproducts from the NHS activation of **1c-OH**. The samples were concentrated to final volume of 200-500 µL. The final concentration of BSA-1c was determined by a Bio-Rad Bradford Protein Assay (BIO-RAD), against BSA standards. The final concentration of EGF-1c was calculated based on the volume remaining in device. MALDI-MS of **BSA-1c** and unmodified BSA samples indicate that there are on average four cyclic nitrones incorporated (Figure S4. (a) and (b) respectively). The MALDI-MS of **EGF-1c** and EGF suggests that there were one and two nitrone groups incorporated (Figure S5. (a) and (b) respectively).

#### C. In vitro SPANC labeling of BSA-1c with DIBO-488:

The crude **BSA-1c** (7.36  $\mu$ L, 0.25 nmoles from a 34  $\mu$ M stock in PBS) was diluted with PBS (993 µL) and was reacted with DIBO-488 (1.25 µL, 1.25 µmoles from a 100 mM stock solution in PBS). The reaction was incubated on a shaking platform at r.t. in the absence of light for 0-60 minutes. During this time course experiment, a negative control (-) sample contained BSA (0.25 nmoles) solution in PBS (1 mL) in the presence of DIBO-488 (1.25µmoles). This was used to confirm specific time dependent labeling of BSA via SPANC. At each time point, a 40 µL aliquot from the SPANC reaction and negative control was quenched with cyclic nitrone 1b (2 µL, 200µmoles from a 100 mM stock solution in DMSO). Each quenched BSA sample (20 µL) and negative control (20 μL) was diluted with 4 µL of 6X sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer (SDS-PAGE, 300 mM Tris pH 6.8, 12% w/v SDS, 60% glycerol, 600 mM dithiothreitol) and was heated at 95 °C for 10 minutes. The samples and negative controls were separated by 10% Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, the gel was imaged using the Fluorescent Method Bio Image Analyzer FMBIO III (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The band intensities corresponding to fluorescently labeled BSA (Figure S4. (c) upper gel) were quantified with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). After the fluorescence measurement, the same gel was silver stained for protein content (Figure S4. (c) lower gel).

# D. In vitro SPANC labeling of EGF-1c with DIBO-TAMRA:

The crude **EGF-1c** (64.8  $\mu$ L, 1.25 nmoles from a 19.3  $\mu$ M stock in PBS) was diluted with PBS (435.2  $\mu$ L) and was reacted with **DIBO-TAMRA** (62.5  $\mu$ L, 6.25  $\mu$ moles from a 100  $\mu$ M stock solution in DMSO). The reaction was incubated on a shaking platform at r.t. in the absence of light for 0-60 minutes. During this time course experiment, a negative control (-) sample contained EGF (1.25 nmoles) solution in PBS 1x (0.5 mL) in the presence of **DIBO-TAMRA** (6.25  $\mu$ moles). At each time point, a 40  $\mu$ L aliquot from the SPANC reaction and negative control was quenched with cyclic nitrone **1b** (2  $\mu$ L, 200 $\mu$ moles from a 100 mM stock solution in DMSO). Each quenched EGF sample (20

 $\mu$ L) and negative control (20  $\mu$ L) was diluted with 4  $\mu$ L of 6X sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer (SDS-PAGE, 300 mM Tris pH 6.8, 12% w/v SDS, 60% glycerol, 600 mM dithiothreitol) and was heated at 95 °C for 10 min. The samples and negative controls were separated by a 16% tricine SDS-PAGE gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was imaged using the Fluorescent Method Bio Image Analyzer FMBIO III (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The band intensities corresponding to fluorescently labeled EGF (**Figure S5.** (c) upper gel) were quantified with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). After the fluorescence measurement, the same gel was silver stained for protein content (**Figure S5.** (c) lower gel).



**Figure S4.** Modification of BSA with **NHS-1c** and subsequent SPANC labeling with **DIBO-488**. (a) MALDI MS of BSA. (b) MALDI MS post incubation with **NHS-1c**. (c) Time course labeling of BSA by SPANC was detected by SDS-PAGE with fluorescence scan (upper gel) and silver stain as the loading control (lower gel).



**Figure S5.** Modification of EGF with **NHS-1c** and subsequent SPANC labeling with **DIBO-TAMRA**. (a) MALDI MS of EGF. (b) MALDI MS post incubation with **NHS-1c**. (c) Time course labeling of EGF by SPANC was detected by SDS-PAGE with fluorescence scan (upper gel) and silver stain as the loading control (lower gel).

# In situ imaging of cancer cells via SPANC:

# **Cell culture:**

The MDA-MB-468 (breast cancer) cell line was maintained in Leibovitz's L-15 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; CANSERA), 50 U/mL penicillin and 50  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 100% atmospheric air.

### Fixed cell labeling of EGFRs by SPANC:

MDA-MB-468 cells were seeded at 20 x  $10^4$  cells/well in 12 well tissue culture plates in 1 mL of Leibovitz's L-15 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; CANSERA), 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 µg/mL streptomycin. After 24 hours, appropriate samples were treated with BSA (1% in serum free medium) for 30 minutes at r.t. to block non-specific binding of EGF. The samples were subsequently, treated with

cyclic nitrone **1c**-modified EGF (1  $\mu$ M) and incubated for 5 minutes at 4°C. In parallel, the negative control samples were treated with unmodified EGF (1  $\mu$ M). The cells were washed with media (3X) and PBS (3X) and treated with DIBO-biotin (10  $\mu$ M) for 30 minutes at r.t. in serum-free media. The cells were washed with media (3X) and PBS (3X), blocked with 1% BSA (in serum free media) for 30 minutes at room temperature, and then stained with FITC-streptavidin (5  $\mu$ g/mL in PBS) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cells were then washed with media (2X) and PBS (2X), and then fixed by applying fixing solution (4% paraformaldehyde, 4% sucrose in dH<sub>2</sub>O) for 15 minutes at 4°C. Once the coverslips were mounted on slides, the cells were imaged with an Olympus 1X81 spinning-disk confocal microscope equipped with a FITC filter (Semrock, Excitation: 465-499nm, Emission: 516-556 nm) and a Photometrics (Coolsnap ES) camera using 100x magnification. Images were taken of samples and controls using both bright-field and the FITC channel (1 second exposure). Image processing was done using ImageJ software, applying pseudocolour to FITC channel images. The same pixel-intensity ranges were applied and displayed for all images taken.



**Figure S6.** Fixed cell imaging of EGFRs via SPANC. Highly specific fluorescent labeling for 8 fields of view (a and b, n = 41) relative to the negative controls (c and d, n = 47). For each image, fluorescence mode is on top and bright field is on the bottom (b and d). The labeling experiment was repeated once with consistent results.

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectra:































## **References:**

(1) Tsai, P.; Ichikawa, K.; Mailer, C.; Pou, S.; Halpern, H. J.; Robinson, B. H.; Nielsen, R.; Rosen, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7811-7817.

(2) Gella, C.; Ferrer, È.; Alibés, R.; Busqué, F.; de March, P.; Figueredo, M.; Font, J.
J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6365-6367.

(3) Wierchem, F.; Ruck-Braun, K. Z. Naturforsch 2006, 61b, 431-436.

(4) Flores, M. A.; Bode, J. W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1924-1927.

(5) Ning, X.; Temming, R. P.; Dommerholt, J.; Guo, J.; Ania, D. B.; Debets, M. F.;

Wolfert, M. A.; Boons, G.-J.; van Delft, F. L. Angew. Chem., Int.Ed. 2010, 49, 3065-3068.

(6) McKay, C. S.; Moran, J.; Pezacki, J. P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 931-933.

(7) Ning, X.; Guo, J.; Wolfert, M. A.; Boons, G.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2253-2255.