Supporting Information

Carbon nitride polymer sensitized TiO₂ nanotube arrays with enhanced visible light photoelectrochemical and photocatalytic performance

Xiaosong Zhou[†], Feng Peng^{*,†}, Hongjuan Wang[†], Hao Yu[†], Yueping Fang^{*,‡}

[†]The School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, South China University of Technology,

Guangzhou 510640, China

[‡]The Institute of Biomaterial, College of Science, South China Agricultural University,

Guangzhou, 510642, China

*Corresponding author, Email address: cefpeng@scut.edu.cn (Feng Peng), Fax: +86 20 87114916.

Photoelectrodes Fabrication. The highly ordered TiO_2 nanotube array film was prepared by anodic oxidation in a NH₄F organic electrolyte, similarly to that described by Paulose et al.¹ First, metal Ti foil was cleaned with ethanol and de-ionized water prior to anodization. Then the Ti foil was immersed in a mixed ethylene glycol solution of 0.05% NH₄F, and subjected to a constant 60 V anodic potential for 12 h at room temperature in a two-electrode electrochemical cell connected to a DC power supply. After anodic oxidation, the sample was rinsed with de-ionized water, and dried in a N₂ stream. The resulting amorphous titania nanotube array film was annealed at 450 °C for 4h with heating and cooling rates of 10 °C/min in an O₂ atmosphere to crystallize the tube walls and improve its stoichiometry.

Carbon nitride polymer was deposited into the crystallized TiO_2 nanotubes by electrodeposition. The preparation of method is similar to that reported in literature.² The experimental setup used to synthesize the composite film is like that described in literature.^{3,4} Typically, the crystallized TiO_2 nanotube array film was used as positive electrode and iron silk was used as negative electrode. The interelectrode separation in all the cases was 2 mm. Analytically pure methanol ($\geq 99.5\%$) and acetonitrile mixtures were used as solvent and 0.1 M dicyandiamide was used as electrolyte. The typical sample was deposited under an applied potential of 120 V at room temperature.

The amounts of CN polymer deposited. It is very difficult to measure the amount of **CN** polymer deposited. Herein, the amount of C-N polymer deposited was calculated.

Charge:
$$Q=I \cdot t$$
 (1-1)

Number of electrons:
$$N=Q/e=$$
 It /e (1-2)

$$n=N/N_0$$
 (1-3)

$$C_2H_8N_2 + 12e^- \rightarrow C_3N_4 + CH_4 + 6H_2$$
 (1-4)

Using formula (1-1), (1-2), (1-3) and equation (1-4), we can calculate the amount of C-N polymer with different deposited time according to the below formula:

 $m_{(C3N4)} = M_{(C3N4)} It / 12 e N_0$ (1-5)

Table S1 The amount of C-N polymer deposited with different deposited time

Deposited time, t (min)	2.5	5.0	10.0	30.0
Amount of C ₃ N ₄ , m (mg)	0.012	0.024	0.048	0.143
Unit amount of C_3N_4 , m/S [*] (mg/m ²)	24	48	96	287

*TNT array electrode area: $2.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m} \times 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m} = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$

Photocatalytic activity test. The photocatalytic activity was measured in a XPAII reactor (Nanjing Xujiang Machineelectronic Plant). The sample film (8×20 mm) was immersed in 10-ml quartz test tube containing 4 ml Acid Orange II (OII) (10 mg/ L) in the dark for 1 h to achieve adsorption equilibrium before irradiation. After that, a 1000 W Xe lamp (the UV light was cut off by 2.0 M sodium nitrite) was used as the visible light source to conduct the photocatalytic experiment at 40 . The remaining dye concentration in the reaction solution was determined using the U3010 spectrophotometer. The whole decomposing process was conducted in air without sparging oxygen gas.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy(XPS). The chemical bonds of the sample were detected by the XPS analysis, as shown in Figure S1. The C 1s and N 1s spectra were deconvoluted into various peaks (Figure S1a and S1b). The C 1s peak at 284.6 eV is assigned

to the C–C bond in the turbostratic CN structure,⁵ and the peak at 286.3 eV is attributed to the sp² C atoms bonded to N inside the aromatic structure. We assign the peak at 288.4 eV to the sp³ C–N bond of the sp³ bonded composition.^{5,6} The N 1s peak is comprised of two components centered at 398.7 eV and 400.1 eV, which are identified as the C-N-C groups⁵ and the nitrogen surrounded by an amorphous N–(C)₃ network,⁷ respectively. The result shows that the turbostratic CN structure is nearly to the mesoporous carbon nitride reported by Vinu's⁸ and the one in bulk by Gao's group⁶, who used the same kind of carbon nitride precursor. The O 1*s* core level peak at 530.0 eV comes from Ti-O-Ti linkages in TiO₂ (Figure S1c), which is consistent with that of the reports.^{9,10} The pair of peaks of Ti $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ appeared at 458.7 and 464.4 eV, respectively, which can be assigned to Ti⁴⁺ 2*p* peaks of TiO₂^{9,10} (Figure S1d).



Figure S1. XPS spectra of CN deposited TiO₂ nanotube array: (a) C 1s; (b) N 1s; (c) O 1s; (d)

Ti 2p.



Fig. S2. The FT-IR of the CN deposited TiO_2 nanotube array (deposition time is five minutes).



Figure S3 DRS spectrum of the CN polymer / TNT array (a) and the plain TNT array (b) (deposition time is five minutes).

Supplementary References.

- M. Paulose, K. Shankar, S. Yoriya, H.E. Prakasam, O.K. Varghese, G.K. Mor, T.A. Latempa, A. Fitzgerald, C.A. Grimes, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, 110, 16179.
- 2. S.F. Wang, W.T. Huang, R.S. Liang, Q.H. Gong, Phys. Rev. B, 2001, 63, 153408
- 3. T.W. Scharf, R.D. Ott, D. Yang, J.A. Barnard, J. Appl. Phys., 1999, 85, 3142.
- 4. K. Sreejith, J. Nuwad, C.G.S. Pillai, Applied Surface Science, 2005, 252, 321.
- Q. Lv, C.B. Cao, C. Li, J.T. Zhang, H.S. Zhu, X. Kong, X.F. Duan, J. Mater. Chem., 2003, 13, 1241
- 6. Y. Qiu, L. Gao, Chem. Commun., 2003, 18, 2378
- 7. Q.X. Guo, Y. Xie, X. Wang, S. Zhang, T. Hou, S. Lv, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1, 26
- A. Vinu, K. Ariga, T. Mori, T. Nakanishi, S. Hishita, D. Golberg, Y. Bando, Adv. Mater., 2005, 17, 1648
- M. Sathish, B. Viswanathan, R.P. Viswanath, C.S. Gopinath, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, 17, 6349
- 10. X.B. Chen, C. Burda, J. Phys. Chem.B, 2004, 108, 15446