75

Electronic Supplementary Information

Experimental section

- **Chemicals and reagents.** Sodium b orohydride (NaBH₄), ⁵ chlorauric a cid (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), c etyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), potassium te trachloroplatinate(II) (K₂PtCl₄), s ilver nitrate (AgNO₃), P oly-(sodium 4 styrenesulfonate) (P SS), p oly(diallyldimethyl ammoniumchioride) (PDDAC) and L-ascorbic acid (AA) were ¹⁰ all purchased f rom A Ifa A esar a nd used as r eceived. 30%
- H_2O_2 , o -phenylenediamine (OPD), 3,3',5,5'tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), and 2 ,2'-azino-bis (3ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic a cid) diammonium s alt (ABTS) were from S igma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, W I). Other
- ¹⁵ reagents and chemicals were at least analytical reagent grade and p urchased from B eijing C hemical R eagent Company (Beijing, China). Milli-Q water ($18 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}$) was used for all solution preparations.

Synthesis of Au nanorods (NRs). Au NRs were synthesized

- ²⁰ by using a seed-mediated growth procedure.⁷ CTAB-capped Au seeds were synthesized by chemical reduction of HAuCl₄ with NaBH₄: CTAB (7.5 mL, 0.1 M) was mixed with HAuCl₄ (100 μ L, 24 mM), diluted with water to 9.4 mL, and stirred with a magnetic stirrer. Then, ice-cold NaBH₄ (0.6 mL, 0.01
- ²⁵ M) w as a dded. T he solution c olor immediately t urned from bright yellow to brown, indicating the formation of seeds. The Au seeds were used within 2 - 5 h. 120 μL seed solution was added to the growth solution consisted of CTAB (100 mL, 0.1 M), H AuCl₄ (2.04 mL, 24 mM), AgNO₃ (1.05 mL, 10 mM),
- $_{30}$ and AA (552 µL, 0.1 M) to initiate the growth of A u NRs. After 12 hours, AA (55.2 µL, 0.1 M) was added under stirring twice with 40 min interval. The reaction mixture was reacted for 24 h. Au NRs were purified by centrifuging the solution at 12000 rpm for 5 min twice. The precipitate was collected and
- ³⁵ redispersed in deionized water, and the volume was reduced to 50 mL.

Synthesis of Au@Pt NRs.⁸ Au N R so lution (1 mL) w as mixed with 75 μ L of 2 mM PtCl₄²⁻ aqueous solution. Then, 15 μ L 0.1 M of AA was added and the total solution volume was

- ⁴⁰ diluted to 2 mL. The mixture was shaken vigorously and then placed i n a 30°C water b ath for 3 0 m in. Within s everal minutes, the color of the solution changed from pink-red to dark gray, suggesting the formation of a Pt shell. Then 1 mL of 0.1 M CTAB was added.
- ⁴⁵ **Modification of the Au@Pt NRs with PSS.** CTAB-coated nanorod s olution (1mL, A u@Pt NRs) w as centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 min, and the precipitate was dispersed in 0.5 mL PSS aqueous solution (2 mg mL⁻¹ containing 6 mM NaCl). Then the solution was stirred magnetically for 3 h. After that,
- ⁵⁰ it w as centrifuged a t 12000 r pm f or 10 m in, and the precipitate was redispersed in water.

Characterization. The UV-vis-NIR a bsorption s pectra w ere obtained f rom V arian C ary 5 0. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were captured on a FEI TECNAI 55 G2 F20 U-TWIN at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

Catalytic Oxidation of OPD in the absence and presence of inhibitors. 10 μ L aliquot of OPD (0.1 M) with or without inhibitors was diluted by 3 mL of 0.1 M pH 4.5 PBS buffer. Then, 50 μ L of PSS-coated NRs (5 nM) was added into the

60 solution. UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded after the addition

of NRs at different times. The reaction temperature was kept at 37 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$

Measurements of inhibition parameters. For i rreversible inhibitors, $k_{obs}/[I]$ is u sed to characterize i nhibition d egree. ⁶⁵ k_{obs} is the observed p seudo-first or der rate of inactivation (determined as the negative slope of a plot of $\ln(v_t/v_0)$ versus time) and [I] is the concentration of inhibitor.



Fig. S1 Inhibition kinetics of of Au@Pt NRs by Fe²⁺: (A) A_{450nm} vs. time, (B) $\ln(v_t/v_0)$ vs time, and (C) k_{obs} vs concentration of Fe²⁺. Reaction conditions: 0.33 mM OPD, 0.083 nM Au@Pt NRs at 37 °C 0.1 M pH 4.5 PBS buffer.

For r eversible inhibition, the inhibitor (*I*) binds to E and ES with the dissociation constants of Ki and Ki', respectively. In the presence i nhibitor, e ach inhibitor c oncentration g ives different v alues of apparent maximal v elocity (V_{maxapp}) and apparent Michaelies-Menten constant (K_{appm}). In the plot of I/V_{maxapp} vs i nhibitor concentration, the intercept on t he inhibitor concentration axis gives K_i' . In the pl ot of K_{appm}/V_{maxapp} vs inhibitor concentration, the intercept on t he concentration axis gives K_i .



Fig. S2 Lineweaver-Burk plots in the presence of different concentrations ⁵ of NaN₃ (A) and plots of I/V_{maxapp} vs NaN₃ (B) and K_{appm}/V_{maxapp} vs NaN₃ (C). Reaction c onditions: 0.017 nM Au @Pt NR s at different concentrations of OPD at 37 °C 0.1 M pH 4.5 PBS buffer.



 10 Fig.S3 Kinetics of OPD oxidation by Au@Pt N Rs without or w ith inhibition. Reaction conditions: 0.33 mM OPD, 100 μM Fe $^{2+}$ or Fe $^{3+}$ with 0.083 nM Au@Pt NRs at 37 °C 0.1M pH 4.5 PBS buffer.

¹⁵ Screening of metal ions as inhibitors and detection of Hg^{2+} ions. 10 µL aliquot of OPD (0.1 M) and metal ions were diluted by 3 mL of 0.1 M PBS (pH = 4.5). Then, 50 µL of PSS-coated NRs (5 nM) were added into the solution. UV-vis-

NIR spectra were recorded 30 min later after the addition of $_{20}$ NRs. The r eaction t emperature w as k ept at 3 7 °C. For t he detection of Hg²⁺ ions, reactions were terminated by a dding stop solution (100 μ L 10 M H₂SO₄).



25

Fig. S4 Inhibitory effects of A) Fe^{2+} and B) Cu^{2+} on the activity of Au@Pt NRs.



 $_{5}$ Fig. S5 Effects o f (A) metal ions (100 μ M) and (B) Hg²⁺ ions under different concentrations on the oxidase-like activity of Au@Pt NRs. Other reaction parameters: [OPD] = 0.33 mM, [Au@Pt NRs] = 0.083 nM at 37 °C in 0.1 M pH = 4.5 PBS buffer for 30 min.