

---

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

---

### Unprecedented reactivity of an aluminium hydride complex with ArNH<sub>2</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>: nucleophilic substitution *versus* deprotonation

Sjoerd Harder<sup>\*a</sup> and Jan Spielmann<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Stratingh Institute, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747AG, Groningen, Netherlands  
E-mail: s.harder@rug.nl; Fax: +31 50 3634296; Tel: +31 50 3634322

<sup>b</sup>Fachbereich Chemie, Universitätsstraße 5, 45117 Essen, Germany.

#### Contents:

1. General experimental procedures	S2
2. Synthesis of DIPPnacnacAl(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	S2
3. Synthesis of KNH(DIPP)BH <sub>3</sub>	S3
4. New synthetic route for DIPPnacnacAlH <sub>2</sub>	S3
5. Crystal structure determination of DIPPnacnacAl(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	S4
6. References	S6

## 1. General experimental procedures

All experiments were carried out under argon using standard Schlenk-techniques and freshly dried solvents. The following starting materials have been prepared according to literature: DIPPnacnacAlH<sub>2</sub>,<sup>1</sup> DIPPnacnacAlCl<sub>2</sub>,<sup>2</sup> *i*PrNH<sub>2</sub>BH<sub>3</sub><sup>3</sup> and DIPPNH<sub>2</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>3</sup>

NMR spectra were measured on Bruker DPX300 and DRX500 spectrometer using predried deuterated solvents. Crystals were measured on a Siemens Smart diffractometer with APEXII area detector system.

## 2. Synthesis of DIPPnacnacAl(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

DIPPnacnacAlH<sub>2</sub> (200 mg, 0.45 mmol) and DIPPNH<sub>2</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (172 mg, 0.90 mmol) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry benzene and subsequently stirred for one hour. The solvent was removed under high vacuum and the residue was dissolved in 2 mL of dry hexane. After concentration to 1 mL the solution was slowly cooled to -27 °C. Crystals were isolated and the remaining mother liquor was concentrated further and again slowly cooled to -27 °C for further crystallization. The yield of the combined batches of crystals is 125 mg, 0.26 mmol, 58%. Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>49</sub>AlB<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (MW = 474.30): C 73.43, H 10.41; found C 73.27, H 10.16. <sup>1</sup>H{<sup>11</sup>B} NMR (500 MHz, [benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>], 20 °C): δ = 1.06 (s br, 8H, BH<sub>4</sub>), 1.06 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *i*-Pr), 1.40 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *i*-Pr), 1.51 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 3.29 (sept, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 4H, *i*-Pr), 4.92 (s, 1H, backbone), 7.08-7.12 (m, 6H, aryl); <sup>11</sup>B NMR (160 MHz, [benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>], 20 °C): δ = -36.6 (quintet, <sup>1</sup>J(B,H) = 85.3 Hz, BH<sub>4</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, [benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>], 20 °C): δ = 22.6 (Me backbone), 24.4 (*i*-Pr), 25.5 (*i*-Pr), 28.9 (*i*-Pr), 99.0 (backbone), 125.1 (aryl), 128.2 (aryl), 139.3 (aryl), 144.8 (aryl), 172.0 (backbone).

### 3. Synthesis of KNH(DIPP) $\text{BH}_3$

DIPP $\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3$  (500 mg 2.62 mmol) and KN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (522 mg 2.62 mmol) were dissolved in 10 mL of dry benzene. The solution was stirred for one hour during which time a colourless precipitate was formed. This was isolated by centrifugation, washed with 6 mL of dry hexane and dried under high vacuum. Yield: 524 mg, 2.29 mmol 87%. Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BKN (MW = 229.21): C 62.88, H 9.24; found C 61.58, H 9.23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, [THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>], 20 °C):  $\delta$  = 1.15 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 6H, *i*-Pr), 1.88 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 3.8 Hz, 3H, BH<sub>3</sub>), 2.70 (q, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 3.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 3.56 (sept, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 4H, *i*-Pr), 6.36 (t, <sup>3</sup>J(B,H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H, aryl), 6.75 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(B,H) = 7.5 Hz, 2H, aryl); <sup>11</sup>B NMR (160 MHz, [THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>], 20 °C):  $\delta$  = -17.5 (q, <sup>1</sup>J(B,H) = 85.3 Hz, BH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, [THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>], 20 °C):  $\delta$  = 24.5 (*i*-Pr), 28.4 (*i*-Pr), 115.8 (aryl), 123.0 (aryl), 137.0 (aryl), 154.7 (aryl).

### 4. New synthetic route to DIPPnacnacAlH<sub>2</sub>

DIPPnacnacAlCl<sub>2</sub> (330 mg, 0.642 mmol) and KNH(DIPP) $\text{BH}_3$  (290 mg, 0.90 mmol) were dissolved in 6 mL of dry benzene (with gentle heating). The formation of a white precipitate was observed immediately. The reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and was completed after stirring overnight at room temperature. The main product, DIPPnacnacAlH<sub>2</sub>, is according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR present in quantities > 80%. After centrifugation, the mother liquor was isolated and all solvents were removed under high vacuum. The residue was dissolved in 2 mL of hexane and this solution was slowly cooled to -27 °C. After harvesting the first batch of colourless plate-like crystals, the mother liquor was concentrated and again slowly cooled to -27 °C. The yield of the combined batches of crystals is 177 mg, 0.397 mmol, 62%. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum equals that published earlier for DIPPnacnacAlH<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, [benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>], 20 °C):  $\delta$  = 1.14 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *i*-Pr), 1.39 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *i*-Pr), 1.55 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 3.42 (sept, <sup>3</sup>J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 4H, *i*-Pr), 4.51 (br, 2H, AlH<sub>2</sub>), 4.87 (s, 1H, backbone), 7.08-7.14 (m, 6H, aryl).

## 5. Crystal structure determination of DIPPnacnacAl(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

Crystal data are summarized in Table 1. The structures were solved by Direct Methods (SHELXS-97) and refined with SHELXL-97.<sup>4, 5</sup> All geometry calculations and graphics were performed with PLATON.<sup>6</sup>

The crystal structure contains one cocrystallized molecule of benzene which was slightly disordered and refined with high anisotropy. No further voids were detected. All H atoms have been placed on calculated positions, except for the H atoms on the BH<sub>4</sub> units. These were located in the Difference-Fourier map and refined isotropically. The crystal was twinned but the independent crystal lattices could be separated. Although overlapping reflexes were rejected (giving rise to measurement of 96% of all total reflections) some intensities might be falsified which explains the relatively high R1 value of 0.0689.

**Table 1.** Crystal data for DIPPnacnacAl(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

CCDC Nr.	837323
Formula	C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>49</sub> AlB <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> , C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
MW	552.41
Size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.5 x 0.4 x 0.3
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1
a (Å)	8.4532(5)
b (Å)	12.1566(8)
c (Å)	18.6362(12)
$\alpha$	75.871(4)
$\beta$	78.335(4)
$\gamma$	69.729(4)
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1727.5(2)
Z	2
$\rho$ (g.cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.062
$\mu$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.083
<i>T</i> (°C)	-150
$\theta$ (max)	27.3
refl. total, unique	18224, 7453
R <sub>int</sub>	0.037
obsd refl.( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ )	6161
parameter	403
<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub>	0.0689
wR2	0.2178
GOF	1.14
min/max	
resd (e.Å <sup>-3</sup> )	-0.31/0.67

## 6. References

- 1 C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H. Hao, H. G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1815.
- 2 M. Stender, B. E. Eichler, N. J. Hardmann, P. P. Power, J. Prust, M. Noltemeyer, H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 2794.
- 3 J. Spielmann, S. Harder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 5064.
- 4 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97, *Program for Crystal Structure Solution*, 1997, Universität Göttingen, Germany.
- 5 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, *Program for Crystal Structure Refinement*, 1997, Universität Göttingen, Germany.
- 6 A. L. Spek, PLATON, *A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool* 2000, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.