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## I. Experimental details

General methods. All materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Solvents of technical quality were dried and distilled prior to use. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV spectrometer ( 300 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). FT-IR spectra were carried out on a Bruker Vector22 Infrared Spectrometer, on samples prepared as KBr pellets. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Shimadzu UV-2401PC UV-vis spectrometer. Fluorescence emission spectra in solution were measured on a Perkin-Elmer LS55 luminescence spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a Micromass GCF-TOF mass spectrometer.

Electrochemical measurements were carried out in dichloromethane at room temperature with a CHI620D multipurpose equipment interfaced to PC. The working electrode was a glassy carbon electrode. The counterelectrode was a Pt wire, and the reference electrode was a SCE and $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $\left(\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}\right)$ as electrolyte solution. The ferrocene- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was used as a standard, shown in Figure S 1 .


Figure S1. Cyclic voltammograms of ferrocene analyte at a scan rate $100 \mathrm{mVs}^{-1}$. The electrolyte was $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ in anhydrous dichloromethane.

Measurements of fluorescence quantum yields. The fluorescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) of PB1-3 were determined in spectroscopic grade solvents. The optical density of the dilute solution of all compounds (the reference and PB1-3) was around 0.05 at the excitation wavelength, using quinine sulfate $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{r}}=0.546 \text { in } 0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)^{1}$ as references at excitation wavelengths of 408 nm and 300 nm , respectively. The quantum yield is calculated using eq. S1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\left(\eta_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} / \eta_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right) \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $A_{s}$ and $A_{r}$ are the absorbance of the sample and reference solutions, respectively at the same excitation wavelength, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$ are the corresponding relative integrated fluorescence intensities, the sample and reference, and $\eta$ is the refractive index of the solvent.

Calculation methods. To understand electronic structures of tridurylborane PB1-3, the ground-state geometry of the molecules were first optimized at AM1 level and then their frontier molecular orbitals were calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G level of theory with Gaussian $03 .{ }^{2}$

## II. Synthesis procedures and characterization of new compounds

$\mathbf{1}^{3}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{3}$ were prepared according to the literature methods. The reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ with different amount of CuCN were carried out at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF solutions giving compounds 3, 4 and 5, respectively. The palladium-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling of compounds 2, 3, $\mathbf{4}$ with 5, 2.1, 1.1 eq of the aryl substituted boronic acid (6) ${ }^{4}$ afforded the corresponding triarylboranes, PB1, PB2 and PB3, respectively.
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6
Scheme S1. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}^{2}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) CuCN , DMF; (d) the boronic acid 6, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 4-(Bis(4-bromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylphenyl)boryl)-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzonitrile (3).

Compound $2(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{CuCN}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mM}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to 10 ml flask, and 2 ml dry DMF as solvent, the mixture was kept at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under nitrogen. The resulting solution was added with excess of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and purified using a silica gel column $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /petroleum ether $\left.=1: 3\right)$. The obtained product was a white solid, ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ petroleum ether $\left.=1: 1.5\right)$; m. p. $259-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ 1.96-1.97(d, 12 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=152.7,137.5,137.4,136.9,136.6,136.3,134.4,132.2,118.4,115.5,22.6,21.5$, $21.0,19.9,19.8,18.8,18.7$; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2924, 2214, 1453, 1405, 1284, 1256, 1100, 853, 823.

## 4'-((4-Bromo-2,3,5,6-tetramethylphenyl)boranediyl) bis(2,3,5,6-tetramethyl benzonitrile) (4).

Compound $2(150 \mathrm{mg} 0.23 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{CuCN}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mM}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to 10 ml flask, and 2 ml dry DMF as solvent, the mixture was kept at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under nitrogen. The resulting solution was added with excess of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried by MgSO 4 , and purified using a silica gel column. The obtained product was a white solid, ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ petroleum ether $\left.=2: 3\right)$; m. p. $268-272{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=2.41(\mathrm{~s}$, 12 H ), $236(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=137.5$, 137.4, 137.0, 136.6, 134.4, 132.2, 118.4, 115.5, 22.7, 21.5, 21.0, 19.9, 19.8, 18.8, 18.7; IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2922,2214,1452,1403,1287,1259,1094,843,753,684$.

## 4,4',4'-Boranetriyltris(2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzonitrile) (5).

Compound $2(150 \mathrm{mg} 0.23 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{CuCN}(103 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to 10 ml flask, and 2 ml dry DMF as solvent, the mixture was kept at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under nitrogen. The resulting solution was added with excess of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and purified using a silica gel column. The obtained product was a white solid, $(90 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ petroleum ether $=$ 2:3) ; m. p. 270-275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta=151.9,137.7,137.5,136.5,19.9,18.8 ; \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2924,2214$, 1620, 1453, 1405, 1381, 1284, 1256, 1100, 1080, 854, 823; MS (GCF -TOF): m/z calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BN}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 485.3002$; found: 485.2878.

## Tris(2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-4-(pyren-1-yl)phenyl)borane (PB1).

Compound $2(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol}), 6(257 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5 \mathrm{eq})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ mmol ) were added into a 25 mL flask. Degassed toluene ( 2 mL ), EtOH ( 1 mL ) and degassed aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was then added into the flask. The solution was kept at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred vigorously under nitrogen for 50 h . The resulting brownish solution was extracted with excess $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and purified using a silica gel column $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ petroleum ether $=$ 1:8). The obtained product was a white solid ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ petroleum ether $=1: 5) ;$ m. p. $254-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 8.09-8.22 (m, 12 H ), $8.00(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.86$ (d, 18 H ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=149.5,141.3,141.2,138.9,136.1,136.0,135.9$, $132.6,132.5,131.5,131.2,130.2,129.1,127.5,127.4,127.0,125.9,125.3,125.0,124.9,124.8$, 20.6, 20.3, 20.2, 17.9, 17.8; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2920, 1639, 1601, 1453, 1394, 1290, 1261, 961, 859, 843, 756; MS (GCF -TOF): m/z calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~B}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 1011.5023$; found: 1011.5100.

## 4-(Bis(2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-4-(pyren-1-yl)phenyl)boryl)-2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzonitrile (PB2)

Compound $3(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mM})$ and $6(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.406 \mathrm{mM}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017$ mmol ) were added into a 10 mL flask. Degassed toluene ( 2 mL ), EtOH ( 1 mL ) and degassed aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was then added into the flask. The solution was kept at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred vigorously under nitrogen for 50 h . The resulting brownish solution was extracted with excess $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and purified using a silica gel column. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /petroleum ether $=1: 3-1: 2$ ). The obtained product was a white solid ( $65.4 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ), $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /petroleum ether $\left.=1: 1.5\right)$; m. p. $250-255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=$ 8.12-8.34 (m, 10 H ), 7.94-8.02 (m, 4 H ), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), \quad 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ H), $2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta=151.8$, $141.3,141.2,138.8,136.1,136.0,135.9,132.6,132.5,131.5,131.2,130.2,129.1,127.5,126.9$, $125.9,125.3,125.0,124.9,124.8,21.5,20.6,19.9,18.9,18.1$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2924, 2214, 1453, $1405,1381,1284,1256,1100,1080,853,823$; MS (GCF -TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{BN}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ 835.4349; found: 835.9178.

## 4,4'-((2,3,5,6-Tetramethyl-4-(pyren-1-yl)phenyl)boranediyl)bis(2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzonitrile) (PB3).

Compound $4(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $6(91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mM}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017$ mmol ) were added into a 10 mL flask. Degassed toluene ( 2 mL ), EtOH ( 1 mL ) and degassed aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was then added into the flask. The solution was kept at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred vigorously under nitrogen for 50 h , The resulting brownish solution was extracted with excess $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried by $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and purified using a silica gel column. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /petroleum ether $=1: 3-1: 2$ ) . The obtained product was a white solid ( $75.8 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.48$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ petroleum ether $\left.=2: 3\right)$; m. p. $258-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=8.12-$ $8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta=153.2,142.7$, $137.9,137.3,136.8,136.3,136.1,135.9,134.1,133.9,133.3,131.4,131.1,130.3,128.8,127.6$, $127.5,127.2,127.1,126.0,125.2,124.9,124.8,118.6,115.3,29.7,20.3,20.2,20.1,19.9,19.3,18.9$, 18.7, 17.7; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2922, 2214, 1452, 1403, 1287, 1259, 1094, 843,753, 684; MS (GCF -TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{BN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 660.3676$; found: 660.3748 .
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## III. Solvent effects

## Solvatochromic effects from solvent polarity.

The dipole moment $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ of the fluorencent state can be estimated from the slope $\left(\mathrm{m}_{f}\right)$ of the plot (Figure S2) of the energies of the fluorescence maxima ( $v_{f}$ ) against the solvent parameter $\Delta f$ in terms of eq. S2, S3 and S4 and listed in Table S1.

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{f}=-\frac{2 \mu_{e}\left(\mu_{e}-\mu_{g}\right)}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} h c a^{3}} \Delta f+C  \tag{S2}\\
\Delta f=\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2 \varepsilon+1}-\frac{0.5\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{2 n^{2}+1}  \tag{S3}\\
a=\left(\frac{3 M}{4 N \pi d}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{S4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the ground-state dipole moment, $a$ is the solvent cavity (Onsager) radius, which was derived from Avogadro's number ( $N$ ), molecular weight (M), and density $\left(d=1\right.$ ), and $\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_{0}$, and $n$ are the solvent dielectric constant, vacuum permittivity, and solvent refractive index, respectively. The value of $\mu_{\mathrm{g}}$ was calculated at the B3LYP-31G level with Gaussian 03.


Figure S2. Solvent polarity $(\Delta f)$ dependence of the fluorescence maxima of PB1-3.

Table S1. Maxima of absorption ( $\lambda_{\text {abs }}$ ) and fluorescence ( $\lambda_{f}, v^{\mathrm{em}}{ }_{\text {max }}$ ), Stokes shifts $\left(\Delta v_{\mathrm{st}}\right)$ and fluorescence quantum yields $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ of tridurylboranes in ten solvents.

| Comp. | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\mathrm{abs}} \\ & / \mathrm{nm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{f} \\ / \mathrm{nm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{em}} \\ & / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta v_{\mathrm{st}} \\ / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\Phi_{\text {F }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PB1 | Hexane | 344 | 396 | 25253 | 3817 | 0.044 |
|  | toluene | 344 | 397 | 25189 | 3881 | 0.127 |
|  | dioxane | 344 | 398 | 25126 | 3944 | 0.147 |
|  | chloroform | 344 | 396 | 25253 | 3817 | 0.159 |
|  | THF | 345 | 397 | 25189 | 3796 | 0.184 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 345 | 397 | 25189 | 3796 | 0.192 |
|  | DCE | 345 | 397 | 25189 | 3796 | 0.204 |
|  | ethanol | 345 | 396 | 25253 | 3733 | 0.140 |
|  | acetone | 345 | 420 | 23810 | 5176 | 0.118 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 344 | 424 | 23585 | 5485 | 0.112 |
| PB2 | Hexane | 343 | 420 | 23810 | 5345 | 0.061 |
|  | toluene | 343 | 428 | 23364 | 5790 | 0.178 |
|  | dioxane | 344 | 429 | 23310 | 5760 | 0.186 |
|  | chloroform | 343 | 433 | 23095 | 6060 | 0.199 |
|  | THF | 343 | 441 | 22676 | 6479 | 0.214 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 343 | 444 | 22523 | 6632 | 0.202 |
|  | DCE | 343 | 454 | 22026 | 7128 | 0.180 |
|  | ethanol | 344 | 458 | 21834 | 7236 | 0.151 |
|  | acetone | 345 | 463 | 21598 | 7387 | 0.120 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 343 | 475 | 21053 | 8102 | 0.083 |
| PB3 | Hexane | 342 | 409 | 24450 | 4790 | 0.193 |
|  | toluene | 343 | 435 | 22988 | 6166 | 0.203 |
|  | dioxane | 344 | 441 | 22676 | 6394 | 0.262 |
|  | chloroform | 343 | 450 | 22222 | 6932 | 0.368 |
|  | THF | 343 | 472 | 21186 | 7968 | 0.292 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 345 | 472 | 21186 | 7799 | 0.255 |
|  | DCE | 343 | 473 | 21142 | 8013 | 0.239 |
|  | ethanol | 344 | 493 | 20284 | 8786 | 0.089 |
|  | acetone | 343 | 502 | 19920 | 9234 | 0.059 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 343 | 518 | 19305 | 9849 | 0.043 |

## Isoemissive point for PB1 in methanol-glycerol binary solvents.

The transformation from the LE state to the ICT state of PB1 occurs when the ratio of methanol-glycerol binary mixtures is changed from 60:40 to $40: 60$, and this process is shown in Figure S3.


Figure S3. Fluorescence spectra of PB1 in methanol-glycerol binary mixtures ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ from 100:0 to 6:94) at room temperature.

Table S2. Relative dihedral angles in tridurylboranes of PB1-3 and $\mathbf{5}$ (isovalue $=0.04$ a.u.).

| Compd. | Structures | Dihedral angle between two planes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boron plane ${ }^{a}$ and duryl | Duryl and pyrene | Boron plane ${ }^{a}$ and pyrene |
| PB1 | $)^{0,0}$ | bg: 55.884 <br> dg: 55.952 <br> fg: 56.120 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ab: } 88.533 \\ & \text { cd: } 87.210 \\ & \text { ef: } 88.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ag: } 32.649 \\ & \text { dg: } 31.258 \\ & \text { eg: } 31.880 \end{aligned}$ |
| PB2 |  | bf: 50.723 <br> df: 52.728 <br> ef: 52.506 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ab: } 84.709 \\ & \text { cd: } 84.695 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { af: } 44.568 \\ & \text { cd: } 44.577 \end{aligned}$ |
| PB3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { be: } 50.114 \\ & \text { ce: } 52.111 \\ & \text { de: } 52.148 \end{aligned}$ | ab: 84.565 | ab: 45.321 |
| 5 |  | ad: 55.731 <br> bd: 55.741 <br> cd: 55.741 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The central boron to form three B-C bonds is completely trigonal planar.
IV. Photographs of the solutions of PB1-PB3 on illumination with UV light


Figure S4. Photograph of PB1-3 in THF on irradiation with UV light at room temperature.


Figure S5. Photograph of PB3 in four solvents on irradiation with UV light at room temperature.


Figure S6. Photographs of PB1 (left), PB2 (middle) and PB3 (right) in two or three ethanol-glycerol binary solvents ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) on irradiation with UV light at room temperature.


Figure S7. Photograph of PB1 (left), PB2(middel) and PB3 (right) in the ethanol-glycerol (v/v, 6:94) binary solvent on irradiation with UV light at two or three temperatures.
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