Cation-responsive silver-selective organogel – exploiting silveralkene interactions in the gel-phase

William Edwards^a and David K. Smith^{*,a}

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Contents

- 1 General Experimental Methods
- 2 Synthesis and Characterisation
- 3 Infra Red Spectra of Gels in the Absence and Presence of Metal Salts
- 4 NMR Experiments and Data
- 5 Calculation of Stability Constants
- 6 References

1. Experimental Methods

General Information

All solvents and reagents were used as supplied. Silica gel column chromatography was carried out on silica gel provided by Fluka (60 Å, 35-70 μ L). Thin layer chromatography was carried out on commercially available Merck aluminium backed TLC plates (60, F₂₅₄). ¹³C NMR titration and Job plot experiments were carried out on a Bruker AMX 300 spectrometer in undeuterated ethyl acetate (¹H 300 MHz, ¹³C 75 MHz) and the spectra were referenced to TMS. For compound characterisation a Jeol ECX spectrometer (¹H 400 MHz, ¹³C 100 MHz) and reference to residual solvent were used. All chemical shifts (δ) are quoted to ppm. Coupling constant values (*J*) are reported in Hz. ATR-FTIR was carried out using a Jasco FT/IR 4100instrument fitted with a Pike MIRacle ATR sampling accessory. Positive ion electrospray mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan LCQ mass spectrometer. Melting points were measured on a Stuart SMP3 apparatus. Optical rotation was measured on a Jasco DIP-370digital polarimeter.

Gel Formation and Treatment with Metal Salts

An amount of gelator was weighed into a glass vial with a 2 mL volume and a 10 mm diameter. Solvent (0.5 mL) was added and the vial sonicated for 30 minutes. The sample was then heated to just below the solvent boiling temperature to form a clear homogenous solution. This was then left to stand overnight during which time a gel was formed. When adding metal salts, an amount of salt was weighed out and dissolved in 0.5 mL solvent, this was then gently pipetted onto the gel and left so that the ions could diffuse into the gel. Care was taken to ensure light was excluded from the samples containing silver salts.

2. Synthesis and Characterisation

Gelator **G1-ene** was synthesized using previously reported methodology (Fig. S1) and characterization data for all compounds were in agreement with those previously published.¹



Fig. S1 Synthetic scheme of G1-ene.

Gelator **G1-ane** was synthesized using the same methodology but with a novel saturated active ester producing the novel alkene-less gelator. Synthetic methodology and characterization data for these compounds is shown below.

Undecanoyl Active Ester

p-Nitrophenol (3.37 g, 24.2 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (20 mL) and pyridine (1.80 mL, 22.3 mmol). Undecanoyl chloride (4.31 mL, 20.0 mmol) was added and the reaction was refluxed at 120 °C for 5 hours. After being allowed to cool the reaction was neutralized with sat. NaHCO₃. The aqueous layer was removed and the organic layer washed with water, 5% NaOH and 0.1 M HCl. The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄, filtered and volatiles

removed under vacuum to leave an off-white solid. Yield 4.85 g (15.8 mmol, 79%). $R_f = 0.88$ (9:1 DCM/MeOH, CeMo stain). M.p. = 35.3-36.1°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.25 (2H, d, *J*=9.2, O₂NCC*H*), 7.26 (2H, d, *J*=9.2, OCC*H*), 2.59 (2H, t, *J*=7.6 Hz, COC*H*₂), 1.75 (2H, qn, *J*=7.6 Hz, COCH₂C*H*₂), 1.45-1.18 (14H, m, CH₂), 0.87 (3H, t, *J*=6.8 CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.43 (C=O), 155.61 (ArCO), 145.32 (ArCNO₂), 125.28 (NO₂CCH), 122.53 (OCCH), 34.43 (COCH₂), 31.98, 29.63, 29.52, 29.39, 29.31, 29.14, 24.83, 22.77 (all CH₂), 14.21 (CH₃). *v*_{max} 2916*m* (C-H), 2849*s* (C-H), 1755*s* (C=O), 1522*s* (NO₂), 1346*m* (NO₂), 1212*m* (C-O), 1139*s* (C-O), 1104*m*, 926*m*, 853*m*, 717*m*. ESI MS C₁₇H₂₅NO₂ *m*/*z* calculated 308.1856, found 309.1849 ([M+H]⁺, 100%), 330.1664 ([M+Na]⁺, 59%).

Gelator G1-ane

(Boc)₂-L-Lys-C₁₂-L-Lys-(Boc)₂ (1.00 g, 1.17 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (25 mL) and HCl_(g) bubbled through solution for roughly 10 seconds and left stirring until all starting material had reacted (monitored by TLC). Solvent was removed under vacuum and the solid redissolved in DCM (150 mL). Triethylamine (1.30 mL, 9.34 mmol) was added and the undecanoyl active ester (2.15 g, 5.81 mmol), dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL), was added dropwise to stirring mixture. The reaction was refluxed at 50°C for 7 days. The product was removed by filtration and washed with 1 M NaOH, 1 M HCl and water then

recrystallized from methanol to leave a white solid which was dried for 24 hours in a vacuum oven. Yield 0.80 g (0.71 mmol, 60%). $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.33 (9:1 DCM/MeOH, CeMo). M.p. 178.2-180.6°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.42 (2H, t, *J*=5.6 N*H*C(O)CH), 6.34 (2H, d, *J*=7.6, C(O)N*H*CH), 5.71 (2H, t, *J*=5.6 CH₂N*H*C(O)CH₂), 4.34 (2H, m, NHC(CH₂)*H*C(O)), 3.22 (8H, m, NHC*H*₂), 2.16 (8H, m, *CH*₂C(O)), 1.87-1.18 (92H, m, *CH*₂), 0.87 (3H, t, *J*=6.8, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.59 (*C*=O), 173.43 (*C*=O), 171.72 (*C*=O), 52.95 (NH*C*(CH₂)HC(O)), 39.63 (*C*H₂NH), 38.73 (*C*H₂NH), 36.90 (*C*H₂CO), 36.65 (*C*H₂CO), 31.92, 31.74, 29.61, 28.55, 29.42, 29.39, 29.37, 29.32, 29.26, 29.17, 29.15, 29.00, 26.76, 25.86, 25.76, 22.76, 22.60 (all *C*H₂), 14.04 (CH₃). v_{max} 3305*m* (N-H), 2917*m* (C-H), 2849*m* (C-H), 1635*s* (C=O), 1561*m* (N-H), 1462*w*, 1274*w*, 1214*w*, 717*m*. ESI MS C₆₈H₁₃₂N₆O₆ *m*/*z* calculated [M+H]⁺ 1130.0281, found 1130.0272 (47%), 1152.0057 ([M+Na]⁺, 41%).

Compounds **1-ene**² and **1-ane**³ have previously been reported but without synthetic methodology or full characterization data. This is reported below.

Unsaturated Small Molecule Analogue 1-ene

Butylamine (1.35 mL, 13.67 mmol) was added to triethylamine (2.10 mL, 15.04 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL). The reaction was cooled to 0°C and whilst stirring 10-undecenoyl chloride (3.23 mL, 15.04 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and left stirring overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with sat. NaHCO₃, brine, 1.33 M NaHSO₄ and water. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles removed under vacuum to leave the crude product which was further

purified by flash chromatography (silica, 7:3 cyclohexane/EtOAc) to produce a white solid. Yield 2.8 g (11.70 mmol, 78%). $R_f = 0.55$ (9:1 DCM/MeOH, CeMo). M.p. = 34.8-35.6°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.79 (1H, ddt (overlapped), *J*=17.2, 10.4, 6.4 Hz, CH₂=CH), 5.40 (1H, br s, NH), 4.98 (1H, ddt (overlapped), *J*=17.2, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, CH=CHH *cis*), 4.92 (1H, ddt (overlapped), *J*=10.4, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, CH=CHH *trans*), 3.23 (2H, dt, *J*=7.2, 5.6 Hz, *CH*₂NH), 2.14 (2H, t, *J*=7.2 Hz, CH₂C=O), 2.02 (2H, m, *CH*₂CH=CH₂), 1.61 (2H, m, *CH*₂CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.47 (2H, m, *CH*₂CH₂NH), 1.39-1.23 (12H, m, CH₂), 0.91 (3H, t, *J*=7.2, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.07 (C=O), 139.11 (=CH), 114.07 (=CH₂), 39.26 (CH₂NH), 36.98 (*C*H₂CO), 33.86 (*C*H₂CH=CH₂), 31.83 (NHCH₂CH₂), 29.39, 29.14, 28.96, 25.92, 20.15 (all CH₂), 13.84 (CH₃). v_{max} 3291*m* (N-H), 3077*w* (=C-H), 2917*m* (C-H), 2850*m* (C-H), 1635*s* (C=O), 1549*s* (N-H), 1436*w*, 1367*w*, 991*w*, 913*m*. ESI MS C₁₅H₂₉NO *m*/*z* calculated [M+H]⁺ 240.2322, found 240.2328 (100%).

Saturated Small Molecule Analogue 1-ane

Butylamine (1.35 mL, 13.67 mmol) was added to triethylamine (2.10 mL, 15.04 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL). The reaction was cooled to 0°C and whilst stirring undecanoyl chloride (3.31 mL, 15.04 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and left stirring overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with sat. NaHCO₃, brine, 1.33 M NaHSO₄ and brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles removed under vacuum to leave the crude product which was further purified by flash chromatography (silica, 3:7 cyclohexane/EtOAc) to produce a white solid. Yield 2.9 g (12.0 mmol, 88%). $R_{\rm f} = 0.67$ (9:1 DCM/MeOH, CeMo stain). M.p. 46.2-46.9°C. ¹H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.37 (1H, br s, NH), 3.27 (2H, dt, *J*=6, 7.2 Hz, *CH*₂NH), 2.14 (2H, t, *J*=7.6 Hz, *CH*₂CO), 1.61 (2H, m, COCH₂C*H*₂), 1.47 (2H, m, NHCH₂C*H*₂), 1.39-1.18 (16H, m, *CH*₂), 0.92 (3H, t, *CH*₃), 0.87 (3H, t, *CH*₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.15 (*C*=O), 39.27 (NHCH₂), 37.04 (COCH₂), 31.97 (NHCH₂CH₂), 31.85 (*C*H₂), 29.64, 29.58, 29.44, 29.39, 25.93, 22.76, 20.15 (all *C*H₂), 14.19, 13.84 (both *C*H₃). *v*_{max} 3316*m* (N-H), 2914*s* (C-H), 2848*m* (C-H), 1633*s* (C=O), 1543*s* (N-H), 1469*m*, 1425*w*, 1224*w*, 714*w*, 666*w*. ESI MS C₁₅H₃₁NO *m/z* calculated [M+H]⁺ 242.2478, found 242.2476 (100%), 264.2293 ([M+Na]⁺, 46%).

3. Infra Red Spectra of Gels in the Absence and Presence of Metal Salts

Gels were made and treated as described above. They were then dried under high vacuum (in the absence of light for silver salt). The remaining solid was then analysed by ATR-FTIR.



Fig. S2 IR spectrum of xerogel formed by drying gel of compound G1-ene formed in ethyl acetate.



Fig. S3 IR spectrum of dried G1-ene gel after addition of AgSbF₆.



Fig. S4 IR spectrum of dried G1-ene gel after addition of LiPF₆.



Fig. S5 IR spectrum of dried G1-ene gel after addition of NaPF₆.



Fig. S6 IR spectrum of dried G1-ene gel after addition of KSbF₆.

4. NMR Experiments and Data

All ¹³C NMR experiments were run unlocked, carried out using non-deuterated ethyl acetate (0.75 mL) as the solvent and referenced to TMS which was added to every sample.

Job Plot Analysis

Job plot analyses were carried out with a constant overall concentration of 0.56 M. The proportion of $AgSbF_6$ was varied from 0-100 %. The change in chemical shift of a carbon × [host] was plotted against [host] / ([host] + [guest]). The optimum binding ratio was taken as the apex of the curve produced.



Fig. S7 Job plots of CH₂= and C=O carbons on compound 1-ene with AgSbF₆.



Fig. S8 Job plot of C=O carbon of compound 1-ane with AgSbF₆.



Fig. S9 Job plot analysis of Octene with AgSbF₆.

NMR Titrations

¹³C NMR titrations were carried out using a 0.56 M concentration of host. Increasing numbers of equivalents of $AgSbF_6$ salt were added to each sample. Each concentration was made up in a separate tube as the $AgSbF_6$ salt was light sensitive and would degrade over time. This also ensured a constant solvent depth which was essential for well shimmed spectra given the use of non-deuterated ethyl acetate. The change in chemical shift with increasing $AgSbF_6$ concentration for each compound is plotted below.



Fig. S10 Plots of chemical shift changing on the C=O and CH_2 = carbons of compound 1-ene with increasing amounts of AgSbF₆.



Fig. S11 Plot of chemical shift changing on the C=O carbon of compound **1-ane** with increasing amounts of AgSbF₆



Fig. S12 Plot of chemical shift changing on the CH_2 = carbon of Octene with increasing amounts of AgSbF₆



Fig. S13. Composite graphs showing chemical shift of A, alkene carbons and B, amide carbonyls on **1-ene**, **1-ane** and **Octene** when titrated with AgSbF₆

¹³C NMR Spectra of Native G1-ene Gel Before and After Salt Addition

A gel was formed with 50 mg of **G1-ene** (4.46×10^{-5} mol) and 0.75 mL EtOAc. For the other samples a gel was formed with 50 mg of **G1-ene** and 0.5 mL EtOAc, then either AgSbF₆ (153 mg, 4.46×10^{-4} mol) or LiPF₆ (68 mg, 4.46×10^{-4}) was added in 0.25 mL EtOAc. The samples were left overnight before the spectra were recorded.

5. Calculation of Stability Constants

Binding constants could be fitted to data produced for CH_2 = carbons on compound **2** and **Octene** using the WinEQNMR2 program.⁴ Different starting values were found to converge on the stated constants. The fit plots produced by winEQNMR2 are shown below.



Fig. S14 Fit plot with residuals shown for CH_2 = carbon of compound 2 (points = experimental data, curve = theoretical data)



Fig. S15 Fit plot with residuals shown for CH₂= carbon of compound Octene (points = experimental data, curve = theoretical data)

References

- 1 (a) J. R. Moffat, I. A Coates, F. J. Leng and D. K. Smith, *Langmuir*, 2009, 25, 8786-8793; (b)
 J. R. Moffat and D. K. Smith, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 316-318.
- 2 D. J. Bergmann, E. M. Campi, W. R. Jackson, A. F. Patti, and D. Saylik, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2000, 53, 835-844.
- 3 S. S. Hecht and E. S. Rothman, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 3733-3737
- 4 M. J. Hynes, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 1993, 311-312.