## **Supporting Information for**

## Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production from a Simple Water-Soluble [FeFe] Hydrogenase Model System

Wei-Ning Cao, Feng Wang, Hong-Yan Wang, Bin Chen, Ke Feng, Chen-Ho Tung, Li-Zhu Wu<sup>\*</sup> Key Laboratory of Photochemical Conversion and Optoelectronic Materials, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry & Graduate University, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China. E-mail: <u>lzwu@mail.ipc.ac.cn</u>.



Scheme 1 The structure of complex 1

*Instrumentation and Methods* Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NEXUS 670 FT-IR spectrophotometer. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu 1601 PC spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were run on a Bruker-400 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (<sup>1</sup>H) as an internal standard. HR-ESI-MS was performed on a Bruker APEX III 7.0 Tesla FTICR Mass spectrometer combined with Apollo ESI source. Elemental analyses were determined on a FLASH EA1112 elemental analyzer. Electrochemical investigation was studied on a Princeton Applied Research Potentionstat-gravanostat model 283. Voltammograms were obtained using a standard three electrode cell under argon at room temperature with a glassy carbon working electrode and a platinum wire auxiliary electrode. For aqueous system, a

saturated KCl-Ag/AgCl reference electrode was used, and the supporting electrolyte solution was 0.1 M NaCl. All aqueous potentials are reported relative to the Ag/AgCl couple as 0.00 V. For work done in MeCN, a saturated Ag/AgNO<sub>3</sub> reference electrode was used and the supporting electrolyte solution was 0.1 M Et<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub>, and potentials are reported relative to the Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> couple as 0.00 V. Between each scan the glassy carbon electrode was removed and polished using a 0.05µm polycrystalline diamond suspension and rinsed with both acetone and deionized water to remove any adsorbed material. All samples were run at a concentration of 1mM. The experiment for H<sub>2</sub> production in a Pyrex tube was performed by irradiation with a 500 W high-pressure Hanovia mercury lamp. A glass filter was used to cut off light below 400 nm and thus guarantee the irradiation by visible light. Hydrogen detection was carried out on a Shimadzu GC-14B with methane as an internal standard. The response factor for H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> determined by calibration with known amounts of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> was 3.4 under the experimental conditions.

*Materials* All reactions and operations were carried out under a dry argon atmosphere with standard Schlenk technique. Solvents were dried and distilled prior to use.  $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ , Sodium 2, 3-dimercapto-1-propanesulfonate,  $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2$  and ascorbic acid were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Complex 1 was synthesized according to the procedures reported in the literature.<sup>[S1]</sup>

[**Fe**<sub>2</sub>(**CO**)<sub>6</sub>(**SCH**<sub>2</sub>)(**SCH**)**CH**<sub>2</sub>**SO**<sub>3</sub>**Na**] (1) Sodium 2,3-dimercapto-1-propanesulfonate (50 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added to the solution of Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (110 mg, 0.2 mmol) in methanol (20 ml), and the mixture was refluxed at 65°C for 30 min under Ar atmosphere. After the color changed from deep green to reddish-brown, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/methanol (3:1, v/v) as eluent. The orange product was obtained in a yield of 52%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  3.36 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (dt, *J* = 13.5, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.1 Hz, 1H). TOF-ESI-MS: m/z Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>9</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: 487.98; Found: 464.8(M-Na<sup>+</sup>). Anal. Cacld for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>9</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: C 22.15, H 1.03; Found: C 22.65, H 1.88; IR: v(CO) 2081, 2029, 2000, 1985 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Reference:

[S1]. E. J. Lyon, I. P. Georgakaki, J. H. Reibenspies, M. P. Soriaga, and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 3268.







(b)

Figure S1 (a) IR spectrum, and (b) UV-Vis absorption spectrum of complex 1.



Figure S2 The standard solubility curve of complex 1 in water



**Figure S3** Cyclic voltammogram of  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2^+}$  with 0.1 M *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The concentration of sample is 1 mM.









**Figure S4** Transient absorption spectra obtained following laser flash photolysis of a deoxygenated CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1) solution containing (a)  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM), (b)  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM) and H<sub>2</sub>A (0.1 M).



**Figure S5** Kinetic traces at 520 nm obtained following laser flash photolysis of a deoxygenated mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1) containing (a)  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM) and H<sub>2</sub>A (0.1 M), (b) the same mixture but with 0.2 mM complex **1**.



(a)





**Figure S6** Transient absorption spectra obtained following laser flash photolysis of a deoxygenated water containing (a)  $\text{Ru(bpy)}_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM), (b)  $\text{Ru(bpy)}_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM), H<sub>2</sub>A (0.1 M).



**Figure S7.** Kinetic traces at 520 nm obtained following laser flash photolysis of a deoxygenated water containing (a)  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$  (0.03 mM) and H<sub>2</sub>A (0.1 M), (b) the same mixture but with 0.2 mM complex **1**.



(a)



**Figure S8** Cyclic voltammogram of complex **1** upon addition of HOAc (a) with 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, (b) with 0.1 M NaCl in water at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The concentration of sample is 1 mM.



(a)



(b)

**Figure S9** Cyclic voltammogram of HOAc in the absence of complex 1 (a) with 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, (b) with 0.1 M NaCl in water at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.