Supporting information

Controllable synthesis of a non-interpenetrating microporous metal–organic framework based on octahedral cage-like building units for highly efficient reversible adsorption of iodine

Wen-Wen He, Shun-Li Li, Guang-Sheng Yang, Ya-Qian Lan*, Zhong-Min Su* and Qiang Fu

Institute of Functional Material Chemistry; Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, 130024, People's Republic of China; E-mail: lanyq176@nenu.edu.cn; zmsu@nenu.edu.cn

S1. Materials and measurements

All chemical materials were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The FT-IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ on a Mattson Alpha-Centauri spectrometer. The phase purities of the bulk samples were identified by X-ray powder diffraction on a Rigaku, Rint 2000 diffractometer. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were examined on a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 200-800 nm. The C, H, and N elemental analyses were conducted on a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. TGA was performed on a Perkin-Elmer TG-7 analyzer heated from room temperature to 1000 °C at a ramp rate of 5 °C/min under nitrogen. The photoluminescence spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer FLS-920 Edinburgh Fluorescence Spectrometer. ICP was measured by ICP-9000 (N+M) (USA Thermo Jarrell-Ash Corp). Electrical measurements (Keithley Source metre 2400) on single crystals were performed by contacting them with Au paste. The N₂ sorption measurements were performed on an automatic volumetric adsorption equipment (Belsorp mini II). Before gas adsorption measurements, the sample was immersed in methanol for 24 h, and the extract was decanted. Fresh methanol was subsequently added, and the crystals were allowed to stay for an additional 24 h to remove the nonvolatile solvates. The sample was collected by decanting and treated with dichloromethane similarly to remove methanol solvates. After the removal of dichloromethane by decanting, the sample was activated by drying under a dynamic vacuum at room temperature overnight. Before the measurement, the sample was dried again by using the 'outgas' function of the surface area analyzer for 12 h at room temperature.

S2. Synthesis of IFMC-15

Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.078 g, 0.262 mmol), H₃BPTC (0.020 g, 0.070 mmol), H₂BDC (0.003 g, 0.017 mmol) were dissolved in 10 ml DMF, and then 3 drops of HBF₄ were added. The mixture was placed in a Teflon reactor and heated at 110 °C for 3 days, and then it was gradually cooled to room temperature. The crystals were obtained in a 58% yield. Elemental microanalysis for $[NH_2(CH_3)_2] \cdot [Zn_4O(BPTC)_2(BDC)_{0.5}] \cdot 8DMF$, calculated (%): C, 46.33; H, 5.15; N, 8.11. Found (%): C, 45.47; H, 4.90; N, 7.86. IR (cm⁻¹): 3730.02 (w), 3436.75 (s), 2928.93 (w), 1658.57 (s), 1393.06 (s), 1096.96 (m), 772.59 (m), 722.57 (m), 662.39 (w).

S3. X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for **IFMC-15** was recorded by using a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å) at 293 K. Absorption corrections were applied by using a multi-scan technique. All the structures were solved by Direct Method of SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques using the SHELXL-97 program within WINGX. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature parameters. The SQUEEZE program implemented in PLATON was used to remove these electron densities for **IFMC-15**. Thus, all of electron densities from free solvent molecules have been "squeezed" out.

The detailed crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for **IFMC-15** are summarized in Table S1.

_	Identification code	IFMC-15
	formula	$C_{60}H_{80}N_9O_{23}Zn_4\\$
	Formula weight	1556.81
	Crystal system	Monoclinic
	Space group	$P2_{1}/c$
	a (Å)	10.2830(11)
	b (Å)	27.339(3)
	c (Å)	28.6471(16)
	α (°)	90.00
	β (°)	108.204(3)
	γ (°)	90.00
	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	7650.4(12)
	Ζ	4
	$D_{calcd.}[\text{g cm}^{-3}]$	1.352
	<i>F</i> (000)	3228
	Reflections collected	38450 / 13550
	<i>R</i> (int)	0.0869
	Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.922
	$R_1^a \left[I > 2\sigma\left(I\right)\right]$	0.0407
_	wR_2^{b}	0.0503
${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma F_{o} - F_{c} /2$	$\Sigma F_o . \ ^b wR_2 = \Sigma w(F_o ^2 - F_o ^2 $	$ F_c ^2) /\Sigma w(F_o^2)^2 ^{1/2}.$

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinements for compound IFMC-15.



Fig. S1 The coordination environment of Zn in IFMC-15.



Fig. S2 Two kinds of Zn_4O SBU, $Zn_4O(CO_2)_6$ SBU in IRMOF-n (a) and $Zn_4O(CO_2)_7$ SBU in IFMC-15 (b).



Fig. S3 The coordination modes of ligands in IFMC-15.



Fig. S4 Ball-and-stick representations of the 3D structure of IFMC-15.



Fig. S5 The (3, 7)-connected topology network in IFMC-15.

Topological analysis for IFMC-15 by OLEX.

Topological Terms for	short ^a	long ^b
three-connected node	4.5(2)	4.5.5
seven-connected node	4(2).5(5).6(10).8(4)	4.4.5.5.5.5(2).5.6.6.6.6.6.6.6.6(2).6(2).6

^aThe short Schläfli symbol is $(4 \cdot 5^2)_2 \cdot (4^2 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8^4)$;

^bThe long Schläfli symbol is $(4 \cdot 5 \cdot 5)_2 \cdot (4 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5_2 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6_2 \cdot 6_2 \cdot 6_2 \cdot 6)$.

Topological analysis by OLEX reveals that **IFMC-15** can be defined as a 3D (3, 7)-connected network, in which the BPTC³⁻ ligand is regarded as a three-connected node, the BDC²⁻ ligand is regarded as a linker of two $[Zn_4O(CO_2)_7]$ SBUs and the $[Zn_4O(CO_2)_7]$ SBU is regarded as a seven-connected node.





Fig. S6 (a) The N₂ gas-sorption isotherms for IFMC-15 measured at 77 K, 1 atm (BET surface area: 138 m²/g; Langmuir surface area: 158 m²/g). The filled and open squares represent adsorption and desorption branches, respectively. (b) The pore size distribution of IFMC-15 (analysis by SF method).



Fig. S7 X-Ray powder diffraction patterns of activated **IFMC-15** (red), as-synthesized **IFMC-15** (blue) and simulated **IFMC-15** (black).



Fig. S8 The photographs for IFMC-15 of adsorption (hexane) and releasing I_2 (ethanol).



Fig. S9 Fluorescence emission spectra of IFMC-15, H₃BPTC ligand and H₂BDC ligand. The fluorescence emission of IFMC-15 may be contribute to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of H₃BPTC ligands.

MOF ^b	I_2 content wt%	Reference
$[NH_2(CH_3)_2] \cdot [Zn_4O(BPTC)_2(BDC)_{0.5}] \cdot 4.2I_2$	52.36	This work
$[Ni_{2}(C_{26}H_{52}N_{10})]_{3}[BTC]_{4} \cdot (I_{3})_{4} \cdot 5I_{2}$ (BOF-1)	50.91	1
$[Zn(C_8H_{10}N_4)] \cdot 0.9I_2 (ZIF-8)$	50.16	2
$[Zn_3(DL-lac)_2(pybz)_2]\cdot 3I_2$	49.76	3
$[Cu_6(pybz)_8(OH)_2] \cdot I_5 \cdot I_7$	43.24	4
Fe ₃ (HCOO) ₆ (I ₂) _{0.84}	32.76	5
$[Cd(L^1)_2(ClO_4)_2] \cdot 2I_2$	31.74	6
$[Cu_2(bitmb)_2Cl_4]\cdot I_2$	23.43	7
$[Zn(C_6H_8O_8)]\cdot 0.3I_2$	21.78	8
$[Zn_7(L^2)_3] \cdot [Zn_5(L^2)_3] \cdot 2.65I_2$	13.80	9
$[Cu(L^{3})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{0.5}](NO_{3})_{1.16}(\bar{I})_{0.84}$	9.55	10
$[Zn_2(L^4)(H_2O)_2] \cdot (NO_3) \cdot 0.25I_2$	5.93	11

Table S2 Comparison of the I ₂ content in selected iodine inclusion	ion MOFs."
---	------------

^a In order to compare I_2 sorption capacity of these compounds on the same level, all the wt% values of these compounds are calculated directly from the solvent excluded formulas given above.

^b H₃BPTC = biphenyl-3,4,5-tricarboxylate; H₂BDC = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate;

Na₃BTC = 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate; *DL*-lac = DL-lactate;

pybz =4-pyridylbenzoate;

 L^1 = 4-amino-3,5-bis(4-pyridyl-3-phenyl)-1,2,4-triazole;

bitmb = 1,3-bis(imidazol-1-ylmethyl)-2,4,6-trimethylbenzene;

 $L^2 =$ N-phenyl-N0-phenylbicyclo[2,2,2]oct-7-ene-2,3,5,6-tetracarboxdiimide tetracarboxylic acid;

 $L^3 = 4-(9,9-dibutyl-7-(pyridin-4-yl)-9H-fluoren-2-yl)pyridine;$

 $L^4 = triethyl$

4',4",4"'-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzene-1,3,5-triyl)tris(methylene)tribiphenyl-4-carboxylate.

1 H. J. Choi and M. P. Suh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15844.

2 D. F. Sava, M. A. Rodriguez, K. W. Chapman, P. J. Chupas, J. A. Greathouse, P. S. Crozier and T. M. Nenoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12398.

- 3 M.-H. Zeng, Q.-X. Wang, Y.-X. Tan, S. Hu, H.-X. Zhao, L.-S. Long and M. Kurmoo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2561.
- 4 Z. Yin, Q.-X. Wang and M.-H. Zeng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 4857.
- 5 Z. M. Wang, Y. J. Zhang, T. Liu, M. Kurmoo and S. Gao, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2007, **17**, 1523.
- 6 Q.-K. Liu, J.-P. Ma and Y.-B. Dong, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7185.
- 7 L. Dobrzańska, G. O. Lloyd, H. G. Raubenheimer and L. J. Barbour, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **128**, 698.

8 B. F. Abrahams, M. Moylan, S. D. Orchard and R. Robson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 1848.

9 Z.-J. Zhang, W. Shi, Z. Niu, H.-H. Li, B. Zhao, P. Cheng, D.-Z. Liao and S.-P. Yan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6425.

10 J.-P. Ma, Y. Yu and Y.-B. Dong, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2946.

 L. Chen, K. Tan, Y.-Q. Lan, S.-L. Li, K.-Z. Shao and Z.-M. Su, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 5919.



Fig. S10 (a) Photoinduced solid state emission spectra of IFMC-15, its I₂-loaded samples luminescence change at $0\sim30$ min (λ ex = 321 nm and λ em = 405 nm). After 30 min, the emission spectra of the resulted crystalline solids did not appear to change with time, indicating that the guest exchange of I₂ was basically in equilibrium. (b) After immersed in ethanol for 20 min, the luminescence intensity of IFMC-15 was gradually recovered.



Fig. S11 X-Ray powder diffraction patterns of IFMC-15 after releasing I_2 (green), IFMC-15 soaked in a hexane solution (0.03 mol·L⁻¹) of I_2 at room temperature for 30 minutes (yellow), 20 minutes (red), as-synthesized IFMC-15 (blue) and simulated IFMC-15 (black)



Fig. S12 PXRD patterns of **IFMC-15** exposed in the air for 6 hours (pink), heated to 200 °C for 1 hour (purple), immersed in ethanol (green), immersed in n-hexane (red), as-synthesized **IFMC-15** (blue) and simulated **IFMC-15** (black).



Fig. S13 X-Ray powder diffraction patterns of Tb@IFMC-15 (green), Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 with different proportions, Eu@IFMC-15 (red), as-synthesized IFMC-15 (blue) and simulated IFMC-15 (black).



(a)



(b)

Fig. S14 Luminescence decay curves for (a) compound IFMC-15, (b) Eu@IFMC-15, Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 (7:3), Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 (5:5), Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 (3:7) and Tb@IFMC-15. The results are 1.37, 1.19, 1.02, 1.12 and 1.13 ms respectively, while the pure MOF sample is 5.81 ns.



Fig. S15 FT-IR spectra of fresh IFMC-15 and the samples of Eu^{3+} adsorption and I_2 adsorption at room temperature.



(a)



Fig. S16 TGA curves of (a) IFMC-15 (black), Eu@IFMC-15 (red), Tb@IFMC-15 (green)(IFMC-15 immersed in a DMF solution of Ln^{3+} (0.24 mol/L) for 60 hours); (b) IFMC-15 (blue, solvent was removed after soaking IFMC-15 in hexane) and I₂@IFMC-15 (red, solvent was removed after immersing IFMC-15 in a hexane solution of I₂ (0.03 mol/L) for 12 hours) respectively. For Fig. S16 (a) the weight loss for IFMC-15, Eu@IFMC-15, and Tb@IFMC-15 at about 120 °C is corresponded to the loss of the solvent, for Fig. S16 (a) and (b), the weight loss at about 440 °C is corresponded to the collapse of the whole framework, and for Fig. S16 (b), the weight loss between 200 °C to 400 °C is corresponded to the loss of iodine on the surface and in the framework.

	Table	S3 .	ICP	analysis	for	$I_2(a)IF$	MC-1	15
--	-------	-------------	-----	----------	-----	------------	------	----

I ₂ @IFMC-15	Concentration (ppm)	wt%	Molar (10 ⁻³ mol/L)
Zn	108.5	13.04	1.66
I	440.0	52.86	3.46
$I_2: Zn$	2.03 : 1	2.03 : 1	1.04 : 1

Table S4. ICP analysis for Eu@IFMC-15, Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 (5:5) andTb@IFMC-15.

Eu@IFMC-15	Concentration (ppm)	wt%	Molar (10 ⁻³ mol/L)
Zn	143.00	17.88	2.18
Eu	25.35	3.17	0.16
Eu : Zn	0.18 : 1	0.18 : 1	0.07 : 1

Eu/Tb@IFMC-15 (5:5)	Concentration (ppm)	wt%	Molar (10 ⁻³ mol/L)
Zn	141.44	17.68	2.16
Eu/Tb	12.72/12.56	1.59/1.57	0.08/0.08
Eu : Tb : Zn	0.09 : 0.09 : 1	0.09 : 0.09 : 1	0.04 : 0.04 : 1

Tb@IFMC-15	Concentration (ppm)	wt%	Molar (10 ⁻³ mol/L)
Zn	142.24	17.78	2.17
Tb	24.72	3.09	0.16
Tb : Zn	0.17 : 1	0.17:1	0.07 : 1

ICP analysis shows that each unit cell in IFMC-15 can accommodate about 16.71

 I_2 molecules or 1.22 Ln³⁺ ions according to the Mol ratios of Ln/Zn and I_2 /Zn.

wt% results based on ICP analysis:

First, we weigh a certain quantity of the crystal ($I_2@IFMC-15$ or Ln@IFMC-15) accurately (m);

Second, we dissolve the sample into the HNO₃ aqueous solution (1:1) and fix the volume to 25 ml;

Thirdly, we test every component concentration in the solution by ICP analysis and the data given by the instrument is the concentration (C, ppm), as shown in the second column in table S3 and table S4;

Finally we get the wt% (I, Ln and Zn) by the calculation method given below:

wt % =
$$\frac{C * 25 * 10^{-6}}{m} * 100 \%$$

And these results are also supported by the thermal gravimetric analysis.

S4. Electrical conductivity values (σ) of IFMC-15 and I₂@IFMC-15

A bulk sample of colorless crystals of **IFMC-15** were washed twice with anhydrous DMF (2×10 mL)-each time after the mother liquid (of DMF) was decanted, the crystals were allowed to soak for 6 h before the DMF was decanted. The crystals were then similarly washed three times with dichloromethane (3×10 mL)—again, the

crystals were soaked for 12 hours before the dichloromethane was decanted. After the final dichloromethane wash, the solvent was decanted and the resultant crystals were evacuated with an oil pump at 40 °C for 30 minutes to give 0.98 g of IFMC-15. Half of the activated IFMC-15 were soaked in the hexane solution of I₂ (0.03 mol/L) for 12 hours, and then were evacuated with an oil pump at 40 °C for 30 minutes to give 0.489 g of activated I₂@IFMC-15. All of the activated IFMC-15 and I₂@IFMC-15 were crushed to powder and pressed into a 1 mm thickness film respectively. The accurate thickness is measured with a screw micrometer and the results are 0.895 mm and 0.990 mm separately. Square resistances are 10.8 MΩ for I₂@IFMC-15 and 951.5 MΩ for IFMC-15. Converted into electrical conductivity, the values (σ) are 2.07 × 10⁻⁷ S/cm for I₂@IFMC-15.