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## Experimental Details

## Materials and General Methods

All chemicals were used as obtained without further purification. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr pellets using a Nicolet 360 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analyses (C, H and N ) were performed on a Vario EL analyser. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected with a Rigaku D/max $2500 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{pc}$ diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.5418 \AA$ ). Thermogravimetric analyses were recorded with a NETZSCH TG 209F1 Iris analyzer at a rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ from room temperature to $910{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. All magnetic measurements (solid state) were carried out on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer in a temperature range of $2.0-300 \mathrm{~K}$ and a DC field of 1000 Oe. Data were corrected for the diamagnetic contribution calculated from Pascal constants and the diamagnetism of the sample and sample holder were taken into account.

## 1. Synthesis of 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylimino)-1,2-di-phenylethanone

An ethyl acetate or THF ( 50 mL ) solution of benzyl ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol ( $2.275 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a 100 mL round-bottomed flask was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours. It was then cooled and separated by column chromatography, giving a white powdery product ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 85.4 \%$ ) after the removal of solvent. m.p. $150-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Found: $\mathrm{C}, 76.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.1 ; \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}, 76.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.0 \%$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $v_{\max }=3437$ (s), 2973 (w), 2929 (w), 2863 (w), 1608 (m), 1443 (w), 1383 (w), 1265 (m), 1235 (w), 1185 (w), 1066 (s), 968 (w), 936 (w), 905 (w), 757 (w), 696 (m), 595 (w); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.21 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $7.35\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.44\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 8.07\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125.77 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 59.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 78.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 125.3,126.3,127.7,128.0,128.1,128.7,121.5,130.8,132.8$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 197.4 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; MS (ESI): m/z $282.11\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$.


Scheme S1 A schematic show of the synthesis of 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylimino)-1,2-di-phenylethanone

## 2. Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]_{18}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{18}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{OH})_{12}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6}\right](\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{DMF}(1)$

A mixture of 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylimino)-1,2-di-phenylethanone ( $0.0562 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 4 mL ) was sealed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oven for 5 days. Then it was allowed to be cooled to ambient temperature over 24 h , giving green crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ in a yield of $51 \%$. Found: C, 22.1; H, 5.7; N, 7.35; S, 10.8; $\mathrm{C}_{108} \mathrm{H}_{314} \mathrm{Cu}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{124} \mathrm{~S}_{20}$ requires C, 22.35; H, 5.45; N, 7.2; S, $11.05 \%$. IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v_{\max }=3116$ (br), 2560 (w), 2016 (w), 1651 (s), 1594 (m), 1497(m), 1374(m), 1120 (vs), 1060 (s), 979 (m), 618 (s).

Attempted syntheses of 1 from 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol directly: A mixture of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol ( $0.0178 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$; or $0.0267 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ with the addition of $1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ or 0.6 mL ) was sealed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oven for 5 days. Then it was allowed to be cooled to ambient temperature over 24 h . An alternative method similar to the above one was also tried with the pH value of the reaction mixture controlled at $7,6,5,4,3$, and 2 using $1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Unfortunately, all of these attempts failed to give the targeted product as expected.

Discussion on the formation of $\mathbf{H O C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{+}$: The $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$cations are the protonated form of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol which is one of the starting materials for the synthesis of 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylimino)-1,2-diphenylethanone. This means that the Schiff base of 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylimino)-1,2-diphenylethanone underwent a decomposition process. In this reaction, the decomposition process of the Schiff base and the cluster formation process are synergistic. Presumably, the decomposition of the Schiff base into its corresponding materials might be triggered by the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions with the presence of water (which might be from $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or the undried solvent) and air. For such possible mechanism, see "Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3453-3457". Alternatively, the Schiff base in solution state with the presence of a small amount of water and air may undergo an equilibrium with largely towards the imine formation direction and the reverse process in a very low ratio. However, the cluster formation process leads to the protonation of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol. Then the equilibrium is broken, leading to a completion of the reverse process.

## X-ray crystallography.

The X-ray diffraction data of $\mathbf{1}$ was collected with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ). Absorption correction was applied by Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least-squares against $F^{2}$ using the SHELXL-97 program. Full-matrix least-squares refinements on $F^{2}$, using all data, were carried out with anisotropic displacement parameters applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. H atoms attached to C atoms and N atoms were included in geometrically calculated positions using a riding model and were refined isotropically. H atoms on O atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and allowed for as riding on their parent atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$. One $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ion and the hydroxyl group of one $\left[\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$cation were refined in a disordered manner. Some geometric restraints (EADP, DFIX and ISOR) were used in modeling the poor geometries of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$cations, uncoordinated DMF molecules and the disordered $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions. Experimental details for the structure analysis of $\mathbf{1}$ are given in Table S1. The selected bond distances and angles for $\mathbf{1}$ are listed in Table S2.


Fig. S1 Simulated and obtained PXRD pattern of $\mathbf{1}$.


Fig. S2 TG curve of $\mathbf{1}$. The weight loss of $\mathbf{1}$ started at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which might be attributed to the loss of some $\left[\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$cations or their decomposition products. This is consistent with that reported for $\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ in document (Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 9158-9160). The followed was the loss of uncoordinated DMF and coordinated DMF and then the collapse of the skeleton. The final residue at $907{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $26.91 \%$, which is close to the calculated value ( $24.67 \%$ ) for CuO .


Fig. S3 A side view of the anionic cluster skeleton of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{18}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{OH})_{12}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6}\right]^{16-}$ in $\mathbf{1}$.


Fig. S4 A view of the coordination modes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions and the bridging modes of the linkers of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ in $\mathbf{1}$ showing the atom-labeling scheme. Symmetry codes: A ) $\left.-z+1,-x+1,-y+1 ; \mathrm{B}\right)$ $-y+1,-z+1,-x+1$; C) $y, z, x$; D) $z, x, y$.
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Scheme $\mathbf{S} 2$ A schematic show of the bridging modes of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions in $\mathbf{1}$.


Fig. S5 A view of the arrangements of three groups of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions (a), two groups of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions (b) and four groups of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions (c) and a side view of the arrangements of all $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ groups and linker groups (d).


Fig. S6 a) A view of the ball-like supermolecule formed by the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{18}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{OH})_{12}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6}\right]^{16-}$ ion and eighteen $\left[\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ions surrounded it by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds; b) A packing-diagram of the supramolecular balls (purple spheres) of $\mathbf{1}$ in the lattice.


Fig. S7 The plot of $\chi_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{-1}$ vs $T$ for $\mathbf{1}$ in the temperature range of $2.0-300 \mathrm{~K}$. The solid line represents the fitting by Curie-Weiss law in the temperature range of $100-300 \mathrm{~K}$.


Fig. S8 Field dependence of the magnetization at 2 K below 50 kOe for $\mathbf{1}$.

Table S1 Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for $\mathbf{1}$.

| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{108} \mathrm{H}_{314} \mathrm{Cu}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{124} \mathrm{~S}_{20}$ | F(000) | 12024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fw | 5802.81 | Crystal size / mm | $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.18$ |
| Temperature / K | 161(2) | Limiting indices | $-21 \leq h \leq 32$ |
| Wavelength / A | 1.54178 |  | $-31 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 32$ |
| Crystal system | Cubic |  | $-32 \leq 1 \leq 30$ |
| Space group | $P \mathrm{a}-3$ | Reflections collected | 76305 |
| $a / \AA$ | 28.00370(10) | Reflections unique | 5936 |
| $b / \AA$ | 28.00370(10) | $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0618 |
| $c / \AA$ | 28.00370(10) | GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.026 |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 21960.71(14) | $R_{1}{ }^{[\text {a] }}(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.0747 |
| Z | 4 | $w R_{2}{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.2050 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.755 | $R_{1}{ }^{[a]}$ (all data) | 0.0847 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 4.566 | $w R_{2}{ }^{[b]}$ (all data) | 0.2164 |
| $\theta 1{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.16 to 63.72 | Largest diff. peak and hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.994 and -0.846 |

$$
{ }^{[\mathrm{a}]} R_{1}=\Sigma \| F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| ;{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]} w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

Table S2 Selected bond lengths / A and bond angles / ${ }^{\circ}$ of $\mathbf{1}$.

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.917(4) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.936(4) | Cu3-O6 | 2.273(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 11 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.944(4) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 13$ | 1.946 (5) | Cu3-O17 | $1.932(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.965(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 1.996 (4) | Cu3-O1 | 1.930 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.994(4) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 9$ | 1.996 (4) | Cu3-O14 | 1.943(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 2.280(4) | Cu2-07A | 2.323(4) | Cu3-O10A | 1.976 (5) |
| Cu1-O6 | 2.712(4) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 2.354(5) | O17-Cu3-O1 | 173.7(2) |
| O2-Cu1-O11A | 178.41(18) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 13$ | 176.5(2) | O17-Cu3-O14 | 88.3(2) |
| O2-Cu1-O1 | 84.38(17) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 83.07(16) | O1-Cu3-O14 | 95.1(2) |
| O11A-Cu1-O1 | 96.46(17) | O13-Cu2-O1 | 93.7(2) | O17-Cu3-O10A | 84.1(2) |
| O2-Cu1-O3 | 92.38(15) | O2-Cu2-O9 | 97.27(17) | O1-Cu3-O10A | 95.03(19) |
| O11A-Cu1-O3 | 87.31(16) | O13-Cu2-O9 | 85.9(2) | O14-Cu3-O10A | 153.6(2) |
| O1-Cu1-O3 | 159.03(17) | O1-Cu2-O9 | 179.64(19) | O17-Cu3-O6 | 92.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 94.04(11) | O2-Cu2-O7A | 86.94(17) | O1-Cu3-O6 | 81.28(17) |
| O11A-Cu1-O2A | 84.49(15) | O13-Cu2-O7A | 91.7(2) | O14-Cu3-O6 | 102.3(2) |
| O1-Cu1-O2A | 99.98(16) | O1-Cu2-O7A | 93.27(17) | O10A-Cu3-O6 | 103.32(18) |
| O3-Cu1-O2A | 100.92(15) | O9-Cu2-07A | 86.87(18) | Cu3-O1-Cu1 | 111.7(2) |
| O2-Cu1-O6 | 95.55(15) | O2-Cu2-O5 | 86.07(16) | $\mathrm{Cu} 3-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 118.9(2) |
| O11A-Cu1-O6 | 86.01(15) | O13-Cu2-O5 | 95.9(2) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 93.88(18) |
| O1-Cu1-O6 | 69.94(15) | O1-Cu2-O5 | 97.12(16) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 97.39(17) |
| O3-Cu1-O6 | 89.84(14) | O9-Cu2-O5 | 82.79(18) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 137.38(19) |
| O2A-Cu1-O6 | 165.27(13) | O7A-Cu2-O5 | 166.69(16) | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 117.41(17) |
| Symmetry codes: A) $-z+1,-x+1,-y+1$; B) $-y+1,-z+1,-x+1$. |  |  |  |  |  |

