# **Supporting Information**

## Label-free Si Quantum Dots as Photoluminescence Probe for Glucose Detection

Yinhui Yi, Jianhui Deng, Youyu Zhang\*, Haitao Li and Shouzhuo Yao

Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Traditional Chinese Medicine Research (Ministry of Education), College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, PR China

10

5

### **Instruments and chemicals**

SiQDs synthesis was conducted on a CHI660A electrochemical workstation (CHI Instrument Inc., USA). The electrochemical system composed of silicon wafer with 5.0 cm length (area  $\sim 5 \text{ cm}^2$ ) as anode and carbon rod (diameter of 5 mm) as cathode. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

- 15 images, high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and electron diffraction (ED) patterns were collected on a JEOL-1230 transmission electronic microscope (JEOL, Japan). Fourier transforms infrared (FTIR) spectra were collected on a Nicolet Nexus 670 FTIR instrument (Nicolet Instrument Co., USA). UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra were recorded on a UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimazu Co., Japan) and an F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi Co.,
- 20 Japan), respectively.

D-glucose and Glucose oxidase (GOx, 200 U mg<sup>-1</sup>) were purchased from Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Carbon rods (diameter of 5 nm) were purchased from Shanghai Moyang electronic and carbon Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Silicon wafer (phosphorus-doped (p-type),  $\$\Omega$  resistivity) and phosphomolybdic acid (POM) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

- 25 Anhydrous ethanol (analytical grade), hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 30%) were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent. Human serum samples were provided by the hospital of Hunan Normal University (Changsha, China). All other chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade. Except the specific statement, the detection buffer was PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 5 mM sodium phosphate). Milli-Q ultrapure water (Millipore, ≥ 18 MΩ cm) was used throughout.
- 30

#### **Experimental section**

**Synthesis of SiQDs:** Photoluminescence SiQDs were synthesized by the POM-assisted electrochemical etching of bulk Si.<sup>1</sup> 0.015g POM was dissolved in 35 mL anhydrous ethanol, and then 35 35 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 10 mL HF were added under stirring. Till the solution became transparent, silicon

wafer and carbon rod (length of 5 cm) were immerged in, of which silicon wafer worked as anode and carbon rod served as cathode. Amperometric i-t Curve was selected, the current density was kept in the range of 4-10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. After etching about 1 hour, large amounts of SiQDs formed on the surface of silicon wafer. Without complicated centrifugation and filtration, just ultrasonication fracturing the 5 etched silicon wafer in absolute ethanol, and then SiQDs with excellent fluorescence is obtained.

The procedure for the determination of the fluorescence quantum yields: Photoluminescence (PL) quantum yields of the silicon quantum dots were obtained by using the comparative method of Williams et al.<sup>2</sup> The quantum yield of SiQDs,  $Q_x$ , is calculated according to the following equation:

10 
$$Q_X = Q_R \cdot \frac{A_R}{A_X} \cdot \frac{F_X}{F_R} \cdot \left(\frac{n_X}{n_R}\right)^2$$

where  $Q_R$  is the quantum yield of the standard, A is the absorbance of the solution, *F* is the corrected emission intensity and n is the average refractive index of the solution. Subscripts R and X refer to the reference and SiQDs, respectively. Quinine sulfate is used as the standard for the quantum yield correction. Fletcher<sup>3</sup> reported that the relative fluorescence quantum yield of quinine sulfate have no

- 15 unexplainable deviations from a constant value with the excitation range set from 240 to 400 nm. The maximum excitation wavelengths of silicon quantum dots are at ~360 nm. Therefore, we chose 360 nm as the excitation wavelength. The quinine sulfate ( $Q_R = 0.54$ ) was dissolved in 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (refractive index ( $n_R$ ) of 1.33) and the SiQDs was dissolved in absolute ethanol ( $n_X$ = 1.003).<sup>4</sup> To minimize re-absorption effects, the absorbances of SiQDs and quinine sulfate solution were adjusted
- 20 never exceed 0.1 at the excitation wavelength. The measurement results are as follows:  $A_R$ =0.053,

 $A_x=0.045$ ,  $F_X=2259$ ,  $F_R=8729$ ,  $\frac{n_X}{n_R}=0.7541$ . The PL quantum yields of SiQDs were up to ~9.4%.

As shown in Fig. 7S, the linear response of PL quantum yields of the SiQDs versus concentrations of glucose was obtained as well.

- 25 Analytes sensing by PL detection: For all tests and reactions, the experiments were repeated at least three times to ensure the accuracy of the measurement. All of the PL spectra were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The emission spectra were recorded under a fixed excitation wavelength of 360 nm at a scan rate of 20 nm/s. For the study of the quenching effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on SiQDs, SiQDs was diluted with 5 mM phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.4), and a certain volume of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>
- 30 solution was added into the diluted SiQDs solution. For the detection of glucose, 30 μL of GOx (0.2 mg/mL) was mixed with 400 μL diluted SiQDs solution, then the glucose solutions with different concentrations were added into the SiQDs/GOx mixture, and then the mixed solution was diluted with PBS to 600 μL. The mixture was incubated at 40 °C for 30 min and measured by using fluorescence

spectrophotometer.

**Analysis of glucose in serum samples:** 30 μL of GOx (0.2 mg/mL), 400 μL diluted SiQDs solution and 60 μL serum samples were added to a 1.5 mL calibrated test tube. The mixture was diluted with 5 PBS to 600 μL, mixed thoroughly, and incubated in a water bath of 40 °C for 30 min. Then, the mixture was taken out from the water bath and allowed to cool to room temperature for 5 min for PL measurements at an excitation wavelength of 360 nm.

**Data Normalization Method:** In order to make the data referenceable, all of the PL spectra were 10 normalized. The peak intensity of PL spectra at 435 nm was selected as a standard. The normalized intensity was the ratio of I and I<sub>0</sub>, where I and I<sub>0</sub> are the PL intensities of the SiQDs in the presence and absence of analytes, respectively. For investigating the effect of pH, temperature and time to the stability of SiQDs, the normalized intensity was obtained by using the maximum intensity of measurement as reference.





30

**Figure S1** Typical TEM (A), HRTEM (B) and ED (C) images of SiQDs. (D) The normalized PL spectra of SiQDs at different excitation wavelength. (E) UV-vis absorption and excitation spectra (solid line) and emission spectra (dot line) of SiQDs; the inset shows the photo of SiQDs illuminated by UV light of 365 nm.



Figure S2 FTIR spectra of SiQDs



**Figure S3** Effects of temperature (A), pH (B) and photostability (C) on the normalized PL intensity of SiQDs solution.

5



Figure S4 The normalized PL spectra of SiQDs (black line), SiQDs in the presence of 0.55 mM glucose (red line) and 10 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> GOx (green line), blue line shows the PL apectra of SiQDs 15 containing 10 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> GOx after the addition of 0.55 mM glucose. All of the spectra were recorded after mixing the components for 30 min.



Figure S5 Effects of GOx concentration (A) temperature (B), pH values (C) and incubation time (D) on the quenched efficiency of the SiQDs in the presence of 0.55 mM glucose containing 10  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> 35 GOx.



**Figure S6** (A) The normalized PL spectra of SiQDs upon stepwise addition of  $H_2O_2$  (from top to 10 bottom, 0, 35, 150, 300, 450, 600, 800, 1000, 1300, 1600  $\mu$ M). (B) The linear response of the quenching efficiency (I<sub>0</sub> - I) /I<sub>0</sub> of the SiQDs versus concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ . I<sub>0</sub> and I are the PL intensities of the SiQDs in the absence and presence of  $H_2O_2$ , respectively.



Figure S7 PL quantum yields of the SiQDs versus the concentration of glucose.

30

Method	Enzyme immobilization	Linear range	Detect limit	Reference
Mn-doped ZnS	Covalent conjugation	$10 - 100 \ \mu M$	3 μΜ	5
QDs/Glucose Oxidase				
CdTe/CdS QDs/Glucose	Not needed	$1.8-1000\;\mu M$	1.8 µM	6
Oxidase				
CdSe/ZnS QDs/glucose	Biotin-avidin conjugation	Not given	10 µM	7
dehydrogenase				
CdTe QDs /glucose	Covalent conjugation	$5.0-1000\;\mu M$	0.10 µM	8
oxidase				
CdTe QDs/glucose oxidase	assembly	0.5 – 16 mM	0.5 mM	9
multilayer film				
SiQDs /glucose oxidase	Not needed	$5-650\;\mu M$	0.68 µM	This work

#### Table S1 Comparison of different nanoparticle-based methods for fluorescence detection of glucose.

#### **5 References**

1 Z. Kang; Y. Liu; C. H. A. Tsang; D. D. D. Ma; X. Fan; N.-B. Wong and S.-T. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 661.

- 2 A. T. R. Williams; S. A. Winfield and J. N. Miller, Analyst, 1983, 108, 1067.
- 3 A. N. Fletcher, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1969, 9, 439.
- 10.4 Q.-L. Zhao; Z.-L. Zhang; B.-H. Huang; J. Peng; M. Zhang and D.-W. Pang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5116.
  - 5 P. Wu; Y. He; H.-F. Wang and X.-P. Yan, Anal. Chem., 2010, 82, 1427.
  - 6 M. Hu; J. Tian; H.-T. Lu; L.-X. Weng and L.-H. Wang, Talanta, 2010, 82, 997.
  - 7 L. Bahshi; R. Freeman; R. Gill and I. Willner, Small, 2009, 5, 676.
- 15.8 L. Cao; J. Ye; L. Tong and B. Tang, Chemistry A European Journal, 2008, 14, 9633.
  - 9 X. Li; Y. Zhou; Z. Zheng; X. Yue; Z. Dai; S. Liu and Z. Tang, Langmuir, 2009, 25, 6580.