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## Section S1. Synthesis and general characterization

Synthesis of $\mathbf{C s}_{4} \mathbf{M o}_{5} \mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{22}$ : All reagents for synthesis were commercially available and used as received. Polycrystalline samples of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ were synthesized via solid-state reactions of the stoichiometric starting components ( 0.02 mol ) of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(99.0 \%), \mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ (99.5\%) and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(99.5 \%)$. The sample was placed in an alumina crucible and heated to $500{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slowly in air, held for 3 d with several intermediate grindings and mixings. Purity was confirmed by PXRD. The single crystals of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ were grown by spontaneous crystallization from the melt of polycrystalline samples $(5 \mathrm{~g})$. The reaction was completed in a platinum crucible under air by applying the following temperature program: heating up to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in air, holding at this temperature for 24 h , cooling slowly down to $450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}\right)$, before rapid cooling to RT. Several colorless block crystals were separated mechanically from the crucible for the further structural characterization.

General characterization: PXRD data were collected on a Bruker D2 PHASER diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.5418 \AA)$ at room temperature. The $2 \theta$ range was $5-70^{\circ}$ with a step size of $0.02^{\circ}$ and a fixed counting time of $1 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{step}$. Vibrational spectra were obtained at room temperature via a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. The sample was mixed thoroughly with dried KBr ( 5 mg of the sample, 500 mg of KBr ). It was collected in a range from 400 to 4000
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ with a resolution of $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The UV-Vis-NIR optical diffuse reflectance spectrum was measured at room temperature with a Shimadzu SolidSpec-3700DUV spectrophotometer. Data were collected in the wavelength range $250-2600 \mathrm{~nm}$. The reflectance spectrum was converted to absorbance with the Kubelka-Munk function. ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ Thermal analysis was carried out on NETZSCH STA 449C instrument at a temperature range of $40-800{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ in an atmosphere of flowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

## Section S2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data collection, structure solution and refinement procedures

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer using monochromatic Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ). A colorless block crystal of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ (dimensions 0.047 $\mathrm{mm} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.165 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was glued on to a glass fiber at room-temperature. A total of 7424 reflections were collected of which 2833 were independent in the range $2.30<\theta<27.77^{\circ}$. The reduction of data was carried out with the Bruker Suite software package. Face-indexed absorption correction was performed numerically with the program SADABS. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 2}$ The crystal structure of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ was solved by direct methods using the SHELXTL program package with anisotropic displacement parameters for all atoms. ${ }^{53}$ The structure was examined using the Adsym subroutine of PLATON to assure that no additional symmetry could be applied to the models. ${ }^{\text {S4 }}$ Crystal data and structure refinement information are given in Table S1. Selected bond lengths for $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ are listed in Table S 2 .

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1425.28 |
| Temperature | 296(2) K |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | C222 ${ }_{1}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | 6.622(5) |
| $b / \AA$ | 20.485(15) |
| $c / \AA$ | 17.748(13) |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | 2408(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 3.932 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 8.715 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 2544 |
| Crystal size / mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.165 \times 0.10 \times 0.047$ |
| $\Theta$ range for data collection | 2.30 to $27.77^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-8 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 7,-23 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 26,-23 \leq 1 \leq 19$ |
| Reflections collected | 7424 |
| Independent reflections | 2833 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0406]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.77$ | 99.4 \% |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2833 / 0 / 153 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.009 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0314, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0483$ |
| Final R indices (all data) ${ }^{[a]}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0383, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0503$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / $\cdot \cdot \AA^{-3}$ | 0.971 and -0.905 |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.03(2) |

${ }^{[a]} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma \|\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right||\Sigma| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}} \mid$ and $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w} \mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{4}\right]^{1 / 2}$ for $\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$.

Table S2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ for $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$.

| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.960(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $3.378(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $2.960(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $1.694(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $2.973(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.704(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.973(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(10) \# 11$ | $1.903(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $3.068(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $1.906(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | $3.068(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3$ | $2.328(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 2$ | $3.280(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.485(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 3$ | $3.280(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.699(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $3.439(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $1.699(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 2$ | $3.439(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.910(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $2.919(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1$ | $1.910(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11) \# 4$ | $2.972(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12) \# 2$ | $2.267(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | $2.987(5)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12) \# 3$ | $2.267(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11) \# 2$ | $3.203(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $1.692(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $3.324(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $1.708(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $3.353(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $1.923(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 6$ | $3.174(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | $1.930(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 7$ | $3.174(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 6$ | $2.263(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 4$ | $3.249(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $2.364(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 8$ | $3.249(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.500(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10) \# 9$ | $3.318(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.526(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10) \# 2$ | $3.318(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12) \# 12$ | $1.529(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9) \# 10$ | $3.378(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.597(3)$ |
| Sm |  |  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1 -x+1,y,-z+1/2; \#2 x+1,y,z; \#3 -x,y,-z+1/2; \#4 x+1/2,-y+3/2,-z; \#5 x-1,y,z; \#6 $\mathrm{x}-1 / 2, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z} ; \# 7 \mathrm{x}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{y}+3 / 2,-\mathrm{z}$; \#8 $\mathrm{x}+1 / 2, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z}$; \#9 $\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+2,-\mathrm{z}$; \#10 x,-y+2,-z; \#11 x+1/2,y-1/2,z; \#12-x-1/2,y-1/2,-z+1/2.


Fig. S1 ORTEP drawing of the asymmetric unit of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at a $50 \%$ probability level.


Fig. S2 The polyhedra representation of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ along the [001] direction. The figure shows the crystallographic $2_{1}$ screw axis in the $c$ direction.

## Section S3. IR Spectroscopy



Fig. S3 IR Spectroscopy of as-synthesized $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$. Characteristic FTIR bands at 1170 and $1078 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirmed the presence of P-O-P bridges, and bands at 927 , 891,704 , and $559 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are characteristic of phosphomolybdate anion.

## Section S4. UV-Vis-NIR diffuse-reflectance spectroscopy



Fig. S4 UV-Vis-NIR diffuse-reflectance spectroscopy of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$.

## Section S5. Second-harmonic generation measurements

Second-harmonic generation (SHG) test was performed on a modified Kurtz-NLO system. ${ }^{\text {S5 }}$ About 100 mg of the powder samples were hand-pressed into a 1 cm diameter round box with two glass windows, which were irradiated with a pulsed infrared beam (1064 nm, $10 \mathrm{~ns}, 10$ kHz ) produced by a Q-switched Nd: YAG laser. A 532 nm filter was used to absorb the fundamental light and pass the visible light onto a photomultiplier. A digital oscilloscope was used to receive the laser SHG signal. Because the SHG efficiency has been shown to depend on particle size, ${ }^{\text {S6 }}$ polycrystalline samples were ground and sieved into distinct particle size ranges: $<20,20-38,38-55,55-88,88-105,105-150$, and $150-200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and the microcrystalline $\operatorname{KDP}(150-200 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ also served as a reference.

## Section S6. Out-of-center distortion and local dipole moment calculation for $\mathrm{Cs}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{M o}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$

Table S3. The direction and magnitude of the $\mathrm{MoO}_{6}, \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{CsO}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=10,6$, and 8) polyhedra in $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$

| species | octahedron distortion,$\Delta_{d}{ }^{[a]}$ |  | dipole moment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | direction | magnitude | $x$ (a) | $y$ (b) | $z(c)$ | magnitude |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | deby | $\begin{gathered} \times 10^{-4} \\ \mathrm{esu} \cdot \mathrm{~cm} \\ 1 \AA^{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $C_{2}$ [110] | 1.433 | -0.6743 | -6.118 | 2.090 | 6.501 | 108.0 |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $C_{2}$ [110] | 1.171 | 0 | 7.043 | 0 | 7.043 | 117.0 |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(3) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $C_{2}$ [110] | 1.302 | -1.196 | 0.2933 | 7.122 | 7.227 | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | - | - | 1.865 | -1.882 | -0.3181 | 2.669 | 44.33 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{23}\right]^{6-} \mathrm{unit}^{\text {[b] }}$ | - | - | 0 | -8.371 | 0 | 8.371 | 139.0 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{23}\right]^{6-}$ unit $^{[b]}$ | - | - | 0 | 8.371 | 0 | 8.371 | 139.0 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1) \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | - | - | 0 | -3.772 | 0 | 3.772 | 62.66 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | - | - | -1.465 | 1.282 | -0.6708 | 2.059 | 34.20 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3) \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | - | - | 1.372 | 0 | 0 | 1.372 | 22.78 |
| Asymmetric unit | - | - | -0.0988 | -3.154 | 8.223 | 8.808 | 146.3 |
| Unit cell | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{[\text {a] }}$ Octahedron distortion, $\Delta_{d}$ parameter, is defined as below:
$\Delta d=\frac{|(\mathrm{M}-01)-(\mathrm{M}-04)|}{\left|\cos \theta_{1}\right|}+\frac{|(\mathrm{M}-02)-(\mathrm{M}-05)|}{\left|\cos \theta_{2}\right|}+\frac{|(\mathrm{M}-03)-(\mathrm{M}-06)|}{\left|\cos \theta_{3}\right|}$
where the pairs $(\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 4),(\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 5)$, and $(\mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{O} 6)$ are the oxygen atoms that constitute the octahedron and are located in opposite positions from each other.
$\theta_{1}=\angle \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O} 4, \theta_{2}=\angle \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O} 5$, and $\theta_{3}=\angle \mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O} 6$
${ }^{[b]}$ Representation of two opposite directions of the POM units

## Section S7. Comparison of the DSC curves



Fig. S5 Comparison of the DSC curves for $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{22}$. The second run performed right after the first run.
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