# A reagentless thermal post-synthetic rearrangement of an allyloxytagged metal-organic framework

Andrew D. Burrows,<sup>a</sup> Sally O. Hunter,<sup>b</sup> Mary F. Mahon<sup>a</sup> and Christopher Richardson\*<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW 2522, Australia

# **Table of Contents**

1	General Experimental	2
2	Synthesis of 2-allyloxy-biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid, H <sub>2</sub> L <sup>1</sup>	3
3	Additional TG-DTA data	6
4	Additional Powder X-ray Diffraction data	6
5	Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography	7
6	<sup>1</sup> H NMR Digestion spectra	10

## **1** General Experimental

All chemicals used were of analytical grade and purchased from either Sigma Aldrich or Ajax Finechem Pty Ltd. 4-Bromo-3-hydroxy benzoic acid was prepared via the method of Buehler *et al.*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained using a Varian Mercury VX-300-MHz NMR spectrometer, data collection was performed using VNMR 6.1c software on a SUN Solaris 9 operating system operating at 300 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 75.5 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protio peaks at 7.26 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and 2.50 ppm (*d*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were referenced to the solvent peaks at 77.0 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and 39.6 ppm (*d*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO).

PXRD patterns were obtained using a GBC-MMA X-Ray Diffractometer equipped with Visual XRD 122D version 3.04 and Traces version 6.6.10 diffraction screen processing software and accessories. Samples were mounted on 1" SiO<sub>2</sub> substrates and measured using a 2 $\theta$  angle range of 3-30 with a step size of 0.02° at 1° per minute. Single crystal X-ray analysis was carried out on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer using Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation.

TGA-DTA traces were obtained using a Shimadzu DTG-60 simultaneous Thermogravimetry and Differential Thermal Analyser, fitted with a FC-60A Flow Rate controller and TA-60WS Thermal Analyser. Measuring parameters of 5 or 10 °C per min under nitrogen flow (20 cm<sup>3</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>) were used. Data analysis was performed on Ta60 version 2.20 software system.

IR spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu IRAffinity-1 FTIR, fitted with a MIRacle 10 single reflection ATR accessory. Data collection was performed on Shimadzu IRsolution version 1.50. Each background and sample reading was given 20 scans.

High – Resolution ESI spectra were obtained using a Waters Xevo Quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer at the University of Wollongong or on a Bruker MicroTOF spectrometer at the University of Bath.

For <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis MOF samples (~10 mg) were digested by adding 35% DCl in  $D_2O$  (3  $\mu$ L) and DMSO (500  $\mu$ L) and sonicating until a homogeneous solution was obtained.

Elemental microanalysis was performed by the Microanalytical Unit at the Australian National University using a Carlo Erba 1106 automatic analyser or by Alan Carver at the University of Bath using a CE-440 Elemental Analyser.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Buehler, C. A.; Harris, J. O.; Shacklett, C.; Block, B. P., The action of formaldehyde on m-hydroxybenzoic acid. II. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1946**, *68* (4), 574-577.

2 Synthesis of 2-allyloxy-biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid, H<sub>2</sub>L<sup>1</sup>



Scheme S1. (i) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MeOH (ii) AcCl, triethylamine (iii) 4-carboxyphenyl boronic acid, [PdBr<sub>2</sub>(dppf)], DMF/K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (iv) MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (v) allyl bromide, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/acetone (vi) NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub>, MeOH

Methyl 4-bromo-3-hydroxybenzoate



Concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1 mL) was added dropwise to 4-bromo-3-hydroxy benzoic acid (2.37 g, 10.9 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) while stirring and then the mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the syrupy residue taken up in EtOAc (50 cm<sup>3</sup>), washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 15 cm<sup>3</sup>) and brine (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to reveal the product as a colourless solid. Yield 2.43 g (96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 3.86 (3 H, s), 7.28 (1 H, dd, *J* = 8.20, 2.05 Hz), 7.56 (1 H, d, *J* = 2.05 Hz), 7.67 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.20 Hz), 10.78 (1 H, s).

Methyl 3-acetoxy-4-bromobenzoate



Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.60 cm<sup>3</sup>, 11.8 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of methyl 4-bromo-3-hydroxybenzoate (2.43 g, 10.7 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) cooled to ice bath temperature. While stirring, acetyl chloride (1.3 cm<sup>3</sup>, 11.8 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was left to stir for 30 min. The reaction mixture filtered to remove precipitated byproduct, diluted with dichloromethane (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) and washed with 0.25 M HCl (25 cm<sup>3</sup>), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 25 cm<sup>3</sup>), and brine, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to dryness by rotary evaporation. Yield 2.66 g (93%).  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 2.38 (3 H, s), 3.91 (3 H, s), 7.77 (1 H, dd, *J* = 8.20, 1.76 Hz), 7.81 (1 H, d, *J* = 2.05 Hz), 7.89 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.49 Hz);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75.5 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 20.68, 52.46, 121.96, 124.78, 128.17, 130.74, 133.42, 148.25, 165.49, 168.28.

2'-Acetoxy-4'-(methoxycarbonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylic acid



Methyl 3-acetoxy-4-bromobenzoate (2.65 g, 9.7 mmol), 4-carboxyphenyl boronic acid (1.78 g, 10.7 mmol), PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf)·CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.103 g, 2 mol%) and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (2.46 g, 10.7 mmol) were dissolved in nitrogen-purged DMF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> at 95 °C for 4 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (~150 cm<sup>3</sup>) and acidified with 1 M HCl to a pH of less than 2. The precipitate that formed was then collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water and placed in an oven at 80 °C to dry. Yield 1.95 g. This material was used in the next reaction with no further purification.

Dimethyl 2-hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-dicarboxylate



Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added drop wise to a stirred solution of 2'-acetoxy-4'-(methoxycarbonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylic acid (1.95 g) in MeOH (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). This mixture was heated at reflux overnight. Some of the product precipitated during this time and was collected by vacuum filtration (1.10 g). The solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation and the residue taken up in EtOAc (75 cm<sup>3</sup>). This organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $4 \times 15$  cm<sup>3</sup>), brine (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The EtOAc was then removed by rotary evaporation to give a dark residue. This was taken up in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and passed through a silica gel plug eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc (9–1, Rf ~0.50). After removal of the solvent by rotary evaporation, the white solid was triturated with a minimum amount of MeOH, separated by filtration and washed sparingly with fresh MeOH to provide an additional 0.58 g. After confirmation by TLC and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy that they were the same compound, this product was combined with the original precipitate. Yield 1.68 g (60% over two steps). Found: C, 67.1; H, 4.90.  $C_{16}H_{14}O_5$  requires C, 67.1; H, 4.93.  $\delta_H$  (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.86 (3 H, s), 3.88 (3 H, s), 7.46 (1 H, d, *J* = 7.99 Hz), 7.50 (1 H, dd, *J* = 7.99, 1.38 Hz), 7.59 (1 H, d, J = 1.38 Hz), 7.75 (2 H, d, J = 8.56 Hz), 8.01 (2 H, d, J = 8.53 Hz), 10.22 (1 H, s(br)); δ<sub>C</sub> (75.5 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 52.22, 52.26, 116.66, 120.34, 128.37, 128.99, 129.47, 130.37, 130.80, 131.15, 142.29, 154.65, 166.00, 166.16. m/z (ESI) ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 309.0727 [C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+Na]<sup>+</sup> requires 309.0739).

Dimethyl 2-(allyloxy)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-dicarboxylate



Allyl bromide (1.08 g, 8.4 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of dimethyl 2-hydroxy-[1,1'biphenyl]-4,4'-dicarboxylate (0.735 g, 2.6 mmol) and anhydrous potassium carbonate (0.704 g, 5.1 mmol) in acetone (~30 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the reaction mixture was left to stir overnight. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub>O (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). This solution was then washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 20 cm<sup>3</sup>), brine and dried over Page **4** of **10**  anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solution was reduced to dryness by rotary evaporation and the product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (Rf ~0.25 (1–1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–Pet. Ether)) and crystallised from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH. Yield 0.76 g (90%). Found: C, 69.6; H, 5.56. C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub> requires C, 69.9; H, 5.56. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.94 (6 H, s), 4.61 (2 H, dt, *J* = 4.89, 1.59 Hz), 5.23 (1 H, dq, *J* = 10.60, 2.97, 1.47 Hz), 5.33 (1 H, dq, *J* = 17.26, 3.30, 1.71 Hz), 5.98 (1 H, qt, *J* = 17.26, 10.60, 4.86 Hz), 7.41 (1 H, d, *J* = 7.90 Hz), 7.65 (3 H, m), 7.72 (1 H, dd, *J* = 7.90, 1.50 Hz), 8.09 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.56 Hz);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75.5 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 52.12, 52.27, 69.22, 113.50, 117.40, 122.41, 129.25, 129.54, 130.72, 130.90, 132.48, 134.41, 155.32, 166.69, 166.97.

2-Allyloxy-biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid  $[H_2L^1]$ 



1 M NaOH (6 mL, 6 mmol) was added to dimethyl 2-(allyloxy)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-dicarboxylate (0.75 g, 2.3 mmol) in a solvent mixture of MeOH–THF (1–1, 15 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the mixture stirred for 6 hours. The solution was reduced to dryness by rotary evaporation and the residue taken up in H<sub>2</sub>O (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) and filtered. After dilution of the filtrate with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) acidification with 1M HCl precipitated a white solid and this was collected by filtration, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 5 \text{ cm}^3$ ) and oven dried (80 °C). Yield 0.65 g (94%). Found: C, 66.6; H, 4.62. C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·½H<sub>2</sub>O requires C, 66.4; H, 4.92. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz; DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 4.66 (2 H, dt, *J* = 4.42, 1.71 Hz), 5.21 (1 H, dq, *J* = 10.63, 3.39, 1.74 Hz), 5.30 (1 H, dq, *J* = 17.29, 3.42, 1.62 Hz), 6.01 (1 H, qt, *J* = 17.29, 10.63, 4.74 Hz), 7.47 (1 H, d, *J* = 7.78 Hz), 7.64 (4 H, m), 8.00 (2 H, d, *J* = 8.41 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (300 MHz; DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 68.66, 113.37, 116.94, 122.16, 129.10, 129.56, 129.76, 130.78, 131.82, 133.26, 133.29, 141.61, 154.96, 166.99, 167.17. *m/z* (ESI) 297.0746 ([M–H+]. [C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>5</sub> – H]– requires 297.0763).

#### 3 Additional TG–DTA data



**Figure S1.** TG—DTA curves and temperature protocol for heating dried **1** up to 260 °C and holding for 20 minutes; blue curve represents the TGA; the red curve represents the DTA; the green line represents the heating protocol.

#### 4 Additional Powder X-ray Diffraction data



Figure S2 PXRD patterns for 1 (bottom) and 3 (top). The pattern for 3 has been increased in intensity by a factor of three for clarity.

### 5 Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

Identification code	k08adb06
Empirical formula	C57 H50 N2 O18 Zn4
Formula weight	1312.47
Temperature	150(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/m
Unit cell dimensions	a = 26.0930(15)Å alpha = 90.00
	$b = 21.5800(15)$ Å beta = $92.593(4)^{\circ}$
	c = 17.2380(19)Å gamma = 90.00
Volume	9696.6(14) Å <sup>3</sup>
Ζ	4
Density (calculated)	0.899 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Absorption coefficient	1.021 mm <sup>-1</sup>
F(000)	2680
Crystal size	0.25 x 0.225 x 0.10 mm
Theta range for data collection	3.65 to 23.25°
Index ranges	-28<=h<=28; 0<=k<=23; 0<=l<=19
Reflections collected	6941
Independent reflections	6941 [R(int) = 0.0000]
Reflections observed (>2sigma)	3005
Data Completeness	0.967
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.763 and 0.646
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>
Data / restraints / parameters	6941 / 53 / 349
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	0.912
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0963  wR2 = 0.2619
R indices (all data)	$R1 = 0.1662 \ wR2 = 0.2908$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.643 and -0.518 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>

#### Notes:

The asymmetric unit in this structure comprises one full occupancy zinc atom (Zn2), two half occupancy zinc atoms (Zn1, Zn3), one half-occupancy oxygen centre (O1), one complete  $L^1$  ligand moiety (based on C1), and another half of a  $L^1$  ligand (atoms O2, O3, C15, C19, C22, C25 and C26 in the latter exhibit half-occupancy), a DMF ligand with half-occupancy (based on O10), and an additional half-occupancy oxygen atom (O9).

All aforementioned half-occupancy atoms, with the exception of the DMF moiety bearing O10 are located on a mirror plane intrinsic to the space-group symmetry. The complete DMF fragment (O10) straddles this mirror plane and as such, the occupancy observed for atoms thereof is a result of disorder. Atoms C16, C17, C20 and C21 are present at half-occupancy also, as a result of similar symmetry-related disorder. Of particular note is that three atoms of the pendant allyloxy group attached to C18 (and necessarily with 50% occupancy) were readily located. U(eq) values increase as the chain extends from the ligand backbone (in the order O11, C27, C28), and the last carbon of this arm could not be located due to the progressive smearing of the electron density observed as the distance from the ligand backbone increases.

Atom O12 is the first atom of the allyloxy functionality on the complete ligand present in the motif. Unfortunately, due to rotational disorder of the phenyl groups about the long axis of this ligand backbone, the electron density beyond O12 is smeared to an extent whereby a credible disorder model could not be constructed.

Data quality and the considerable disorder present also precluded resolution of additional connectivity to O9, but it is a reasonable assumption based on our experience with other similar structures that this atom belongs to another DMF ligand at half occupancy.



Diffraction data for this sample was no exception to the well documented issues inherent to crystallography on porous MOF samples. Mosaicity was high, there was evidence of diffuse scattering and a rapid decline of reflection intensities were noted above the 15-16° Bragg angle region (the presented model arises from data with a max resolution of 0.9 Å). The impact of these factors is immediately obvious in the ESD values associated with the unit cell parameters, which are quoted herein as output from the integration software. Comparative ESD values on crystals of molecular compounds, using the same diffractometer and software, are routinely observed to be smaller by one order of magnitude.

Notwithstanding these limitations, this model affords clear and significant structural information. The gross MOF framework is unambiguous, and the SBU core is well defined. The ligand backbones are well resolved and despite the disorder present, there is credible crystallographic evidence for the pendant groups. We note that in many other MOF structures, pendant groups are not found at all.

ADP restraints applied in the refinement of this structure are confined to the disordered regions. While we are aware that many of the ellipsoid shapes could be 'improved' by adding additional ADP restraints to the carbon atoms, it is a deliberate choice not to include them. This is because, in the first instance, we make no detailed claims about the bond distances, angles or torsions present in this structure. In addition, the ellipsoid shapes give a visual indication for the presence of the disorder mentioned above.

In an effort to address the residual electron density within the structure, the SQUEEZE algorithm was employed. This suggested the presence of a 'void' channel parallel to the *c*-axis with a 'centre of gravity' proximate to the origin in the unit cell. The formulation of the model presented is  $C_{48}H_{30}NO_{17}Zn_4$  (twice the asymmetric unit contents). The formulation based on O9 contributing to a DMF ligand and inclusion of unresolved atoms from the ligand pendant groups is  $C_{57}H_{50}N_2O_{18}Zn_4$ . The difference between these two formulae is  $C_{11}H_{20}NO$  – with an electron count of 101 (i.e. 404 electrons per unit cell). SQUEEZE calculates the total electron count in the unit cell voids to be 851. This suggests that there may be some diffuse solvent present also. However, we have not included any in the formulation, as the data quality is not of sufficient calibre to make any credible assertions.

6 <sup>1</sup>H NMR Digestion spectra



Figure S3 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in  $d_{6}$ -DMSO solutions of (a) H<sub>2</sub>L<sup>1</sup>; (b) [Zn<sub>4</sub>O(L<sup>1</sup>)<sub>0.33</sub>(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2.47</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>0.17</sub>] **2**; and (c) [Zn<sub>4</sub>O(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>0.45</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2.55</sub>] **3**. The signals of the allyl and furan ring protons are indicated with arrows on the spectra and denoted on the structures above.