Cyclic Peptide-Based Redox-Active Model of Rubredoxin

Aurélie Jacques,^a Martin Clémancey,^a Geneviève Blondin,^a Vincent Fourmond,^b Jean-Marc Latour^{*a} and Olivier Sénèque^{*a}

a) Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie des Métaux, Equipe de Physicochimie des Métaux en Biologie, UMR CEA-CNRS-UJF 5249,CEA-Grenoble, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France. b) Laboratoire de Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines, CNRS – Aix Marseille Université, UMR 7281, 31 chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402 Marseille, France.

olivier.seneque@cea.fr

Supporting Information

Peptide synthesis: The linear precursor Alloc-Lys(Ac-Thr(tBu)-Cys(Trt)-Pro-Lys(Boc)-Cys(Trt)-Gly-Asn(Trt)-Glu(OtBu)-Lys(Boc)-Gly)-Lys(Boc)-Cys(Trt)-Gly-Trp(Boc)-^DPro-Pro -Cys(Trt)-O-2Cl-Trt was assembled manually by solid-phase peptide synthesis on 2chlorotrityl chloride resin using Fmoc chemistry with PyBOP/DIEA coupling. The Alloc protecting group was removed by reacting the beads with $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (0.4 eq.) and $PhSiH_3$ (25 eq.) in dry DCM under argon for 1 hour. The reaction was repeated once. Then the beads were washed with DMF (×4), DMF/H₂O 99:1, DMF (×2), DMF/DIEA 200:1, DMF (×2), DMF/sodium diethyldithiocarbamate 200:1 (w/w), DMF (×2), DCM (×2). The peptide was cleaved from the resin by treatments with DCM/TFA 99:1 for 2 minutes (×4). Each washing was neutralized with pyridine/MeOH 1:5 (5 mL). The combined washings were concentrated under reduced pressure and precipitated with petroleum ether. The solid was dissolved in DCM and pyridinium salts were extracted with water. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to dryness. Cyclization was performed in dilute DCM solution (0.25 mM) with PyBOP (3 eq.)/DIEA (6 eq.) coupling. Removal of side chains protecting groups was performed in a TFA/TIS/H₂O/DDT (18 mL:0.6 mL:0.6 mL:600 mg) mixture. After 1.5 hours on stirring, the solution was evaporated to yield yellow oil which was precipitated with Et₂O. The crude peptide was purified by RP-HPLC (PurospherStar RP18e 5 μ m C18 particles, 50 mm \times 25 mm, solvent A = H₂O/TFA 99.9:0.1, solvent B = CH₃CN/H₂O/TFA 90:10:0.1, flow rate 30 mL/min, gradient 5 to 70% B in 28 minutes) to yield L_{ZR} as a white powder after freeze-drying. Analytical RP-HPLC (PurospherStar RP18e 5 µm C18 particle, 150 mm × 4.6 mm, gradient 5 to 100% B in 18 minutes) were performed at 1.0 mL/min with UV monitoring at 214 nm. t_R (analytical) = 11.26 min. ESI-MS: m/z calculated: 980.44 $[M+2H^+]^{2+}$, 653.96 $[M+3H^+]^{3+}$, found: 980.5(2) $[M+2H^+]^{2+}$, 654.3(2) $[M+3H^+]^{3+}$.

UV-Vis and CD titrations: UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 35 spectrophotometer. CD spectra were recorded on an Applied Photophysics Chirascan spectropolarimeter or on a Biologic MOS-450 AF-CD spectropolarimeter. UV-Vis and CD spectrometers are equipped with a thermo-regulated cell holder. All buffer or metal solutions were prepared with MilliQ water (Millipore) and purged with argon. Buffer solutions were treated with Chelex 100 resin (Biorad) to remove metal traces. Fe²⁺ titrations were performed with Fe(SO₄)₂(NH₄)₂·6H₂O under inert atmosphere in rubber-sealed quartz cells as previously described,^{1, 2} except that all solutions were prepared in a glovebox (MBRAUN) under argon atmosphere. Additions of metal ion solutions in the cell were also performed in the glovebox. Fe²⁺ apparent binding constants were measured by competition experiments with HEDTA in 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.0 as previously described for Zn²⁺ but with UV-Vis monitoring of the LMCT bands around 320 nm.^{1, 2} The K_{app} value of HEDTA for Fe²⁺ was calculated using published³ values of p K_a and log β_{11} (Fe²⁺) for HEDTA (p $K_a = 1.60, 2.61, 5.38, 9.87; \log \beta_{11} = 12.2$). All protonation constants were corrected upward by 0.11 to account for 0.1 M ionic strength as stated by Martell and Smith.^{3, 4}

Mössbauer spectroscopy: ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra have been recorded at 4.2 K either on a low field Mössbauer spectrometer equipped with a Janis SVT-400 cryostat or on a strong-field Mössbauer spectrometer equipped with an Oxford Instruments Spectromag 4000 cryostat containing an 8 T split-pair superconducting magnet. Both spectrometers were operated in a constant acceleration mode in transmission geometry. The isomer shifts are referenced against that of iron metal at room temperature. The analysis of the data was performed with the software package WMOSS (WEB Research, Edina, MN, www.wmoss.org). The ⁵⁷Fe^{II}·L_{ZR} (1.17 mM, HEPES 20 mM pH 7.5) sample was prepared in the glovebox by mixing 0.9 equiv. of ⁵⁷Fe(SO₄)₂(NH₄)₂·6H₂O with L_{ZR} and adjusting the pH to 7.5. For the Mössbauer measurements of the oxidized form, a ⁵⁷Fe^{II}·L_{ZR} sample (1.72 mM, HEPES 100 mM pH 7.55, TCEP 17.2 mM) was prepared and exposed to air. The samples were then frozen into liquid nitrogen.

EPR spectroscopy: Spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMX (9.5 GHz) EPR spectrometer equipped with an ESR 900 helium flow cryostat (Oxford Instruments) between 4.9 and 20 K under non-saturating conditions. No resonances were detected above 250 mT.

Electrochemistry. Electrochemistry was performed in an anaerobic chamber (Jacomex, France) with a residual concentration of O_2 below 1.5 ppm, using an Autolab PGSTAT 128N potentiostat with a standard 3-cell electrode, using a platinum grid as counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode as reference electrode. The working electrode was a gold disc (diameter 1 mm) that we cleaned by repetitively cycling in a 0.1 M H₂SO₄ aqueous solution

and then left to incubate overnight in a 1 mM ethanolic solution of *p*-mercaptobenzoic acid. We checked that the intensity of the oxidation wave is proportional to the square root of the scan rate, thereby showing that we address species that diffuse freely in solution (Fig. S2). We determined a diffusion coefficient of about 1.1 10^{-6} cm²s⁻¹ for the L_{ZR} complex, comparable with that found for small proteins such as cytochrome c_6 .⁵



Fig. S1 Analytical HPLC chromatogram of L_{ZR}.



Fig. S2 UV-Vis titration of L_{ZR} 44 μ M by Fe²⁺, HEPES buffer 20 mM pH 7.5, TCEP 250 μ M, 298 K (path length = 1.0 cm). All spectra were corrected for dilution and the spectrum of the *apo*-peptide was subtracted to each spectrum.



Fig. S3 Mössbauer spectra (vertical bar) of an ⁵⁷Fe solution of $Fe^{II} \cdot L_{ZR}$ in HEPES buffer after exposition to air in the presence of TCEP. Spectra were recorded at 4.2 K with magnetic fields ranging from 0.06 T to 7 T applied parallel to the γ -beam. Spectra can be deconvoluted in 3 components: $Fe^{II} \cdot L_{ZR}$ component (blue simulation line) accounting for 41 % of total intensity, $Fe^{III} \cdot L_{ZR}$ (red simulation line) component accounting for 51 % of total intensity, and an impurity accounting for 6 % of total intensity. Mössbauer parameters of this third component (S=0 ground state with isomer shift of 0.37 mm.s⁻¹ and quadrupolar splitting of 0.97 mm.s⁻¹) are in agreement with an iron-oxo species.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013



Fig. S4 Absorbance (491 nm) monitoring of the stability of $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \cdot L_{ZR}$ (42 μ M) under air in HEPES buffer 20 mM pH 7.5, TCEP 750 μ M, 298 K (path length = 1.0 cm). The absorbance was recorded every 30 minutes over 50 hours after air oxidation of $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} \cdot L_{ZR}$.



Fig. S5 Plot of the peak current for the oxidation peak as a function of the square root of Fv/RT. For a purely diffusive system, this is expected to yield a straight line whose equation is given by $i = F p r^2 C (D F v/RT)^{1/2}$ β where *r* is the radius of the electrode disc, *F* the faraday constant, *D* the diffusion coefficient of the complex, *C* the concentration of the complex, *v* the scan rate, and β is either 0.4463 or 0.351 depending on whether the electrochemical system is fully reversible or only quasi-reversible.⁶

References.

- 1. O. Sénèque, E. Bonnet, F. L. Journas and J.-M. Latour, Chem. Eur. J., 2009, 15, 4798-4810.
- 2. O. Sénèque and J.-M. Latour, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 17760-17774.
- 3. R. M. Smith, A. E. Martell and R. J. Motekaitis, *Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes* Database. NIST Standard Reference Database 46, 2001.
- 4. A. E. Martell and R. M. Smith, Critical Stability Constants, Plenum Press, New York, 1974.
- 5. V. Fourmond, B. Lagoutte, P. Setif, W. Leibl and C. Demaille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 9201-9209.
- 6. R. S. Nicholson and I. Shain, Anal. Chem., 1964, 36, 706-723.