Electronic Supplementary Information

for

POSS-containing Red Fluorescent Nanoparticles for Rapid Detection of Aqueous Fluoride Ions

Fanfan Du, Yinyin Bao, Bin Liu, Jiao Tian, Qianbiao Li, and Ruke Bai*

CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, P. R. China 230026.

E-mail: <u>bairk@ustc.edu.cn</u>

Tel: 0086-551-3600722; Fax: 0086-551-3631760

Experimental Section

Aminopropylheptakis (isobutyl) POSS (POSS-NH₂, 97%) was obtained from Hybrid Plastics. 3,4:9,10-Perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Anionic compounds such as $[Bu_4N]^+CI^-$, $[Bu_4N]^+Br^-$, $[Bu_4N]^+I^-$, $[Bu_4N]^+HCOO^-$, NaNO₃, NaOAc and NaH₂PO₄ were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Co.. $[Bu_4N]^+F^-$ (TBAF, 1 M in THF) was purchased from J&K Chemical Co.. They were used without further purification. Monopotassium salt of 3,4:9,10-perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride and dodecyl-PAI were synthesized according to literatures (*Dyes and Pigments*, 2006, **69**, 118). All other reagents and solvents were purchased commercially and used without further purification.

POSS-PAI: Monopotassium salt of 3,4:9,10-perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (1.0 g, 2.2 mmol) and aminopropylheptakis (isobutyl) POSS (1.92 g, 2.2 mmol) were suspended in water (50 mL). Propanol (50 mL) was added and the mixture was then stirred at 90 °C. Hydrochloric acid was added to stop the reaction after 12 h. The turbid liquid was filtered using a sand core funnel with the aid of a vacuum, and then

washed by methanol. The filter residue was dissolved in chloroform and insoluble substance was subsequently filtered off. The yellow solution was rotary concentrated and further purified on a silica gel column using CH₂Cl₂ as eluent, affording POSS-PAI as red solid (0.63 g, yield: 23%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400 MHz): δ = 8.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 4.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 1.91-1.79 (m, 9H, β -CH₂ and CH × 7), 0.97-0.93 (m, 42H, CH₃ × 14), 0.61-0.57 (m, 16H, SiCH₂ × 8) (Fig. S2); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃; 100 MHz): δ = 163.0, 159.9, 136.4, 133.8, 133.6, 131.9, 131.4, 129.4, 126.8, 126.6, 124.1, 123.9, 123.2, 119.08, 43.0, 25.7, 23.9, 22.5, 22.4, 9.8 (Fig. S3). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2956-2873, 1763, 1734, 1694, 1656, 1590, 1505, 1403, 1316, 1229, 1110, 811, 741, and 486. Anal. Calcd for (C₅₅H₇₇NO₁₇Si₈)n: C 52.89%; H 6.21%; N 1.12%. Found: C 52.81%; H 6.29%; N 1.11%.

POSS-PBI-PEO: POSS-PAI (0.25 g, 0.2 mmol), methoxypolyethylene glycol amine (0.6 g, 0.3 mmol, $M_w = 2$ kDa) and dioxane (50 mL) were vigorously stirred under nitrogen at 120 °C for 12 h. After the reaction, the organic solution was rotary concentrated and further purified on a silica gel column using CH₂Cl₂/methanol (V/V = 40/1) as eluent (0.56 g, yield: 87%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400 MHz): $\delta = 8.64$ (m, 4H, ArH), 8.59 (m, 4H, ArH), 4.46 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, PEO-NCH₂), 4.20 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, POSS-NCH₂), 3.87-3.53 (m, 178H, PEO-CH₂), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.88-1.79 (m, 9H, β -CH₂ and CH × 7), 0.97-0.93 (m, 42H, CH₃ × 14), 0.61-0.57 (m, 16H, SiCH₂ × 8) (Fig. S4); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃; 100 MHz): $\delta = 163.5$, 163.3, 134.7, 134.5, 131.5, 131.4, 129.5, 129.4, 126.5, 123.5, 123.3, 123.2, 123.1, 72.9, 72.1, 70.7, 70.5, 70.4, 70.2, 68.0, 59.1, 43.0, 39.4, 25.8, 24.0, 22.6, 22.5, 9.9 (Fig. S5).



Figure S1. Synthesis procedure of the PBI-bridged amphiphilic polymer POSS-PBI-PEO.

Characterization

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE II spectrometer (resonance frequency of 400 MHz for ¹H) operated in the Fourier transform mode. CDCl₃ and TMS were used as the solvent and internal standard, respectively.

Fourier-transfer infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR). FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Vector-22 FTIR instrument and were collected at 64 scans with a spectral resolution of 4 cm^{-1} .

Elemental analyses. Elemental analyses were performed on a VARIO ELIII C, H, and N analyzer.

Ultraviolet-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-vis). UV-Vis spectra of solutions were recorded with a Pgeneral UV-Vis TU-1901 spectrometer.

Photoluminescence (PL) Spectroscopy. Emission spectra of solutions were measured by an F-4600 PL Spectrometer. The quantum yield of the NPs in aqueous solution was determined according to the following equation:

$$\Phi_u = \Phi_s \frac{F_u A_s n_u^2}{F_s A_u n_s^2}$$

Where Φ is quantum yield; *F* is integrated area under the corrected emission spectra; *A* is absorbance at the excitation wavelength; *n* is the refractive index of the solution; the subscripts *u* and *s* refer to the unknown and the standard, respectively. Rhodamine B in ethanol (5.0 µg/mL) was used as the standard.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). TEM observations were conducted on an H-7650 transmission electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. The sample for TEM observations was prepared by placing 10 μ L of micellar solution on copper grids. Dynamic Laser Light Scattering (DLS). A commercial spectrometer (ALV/DLS/SLS-5022F) equipped with a multi-tau digital time correlator (ALV5000) and a cylindrical 22 mW UNIPHASE He-Ne laser ($\lambda_0 = 632$ nm) as the light source was employed for DLS measurements. Scattered light was collected at a fixed angle of 90° for duration of 10 min.



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of POSS-PAI in CDCl₃.



Figure S3. ¹³C NMR spectrum of POSS-PAI in CDCl₃.



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of POSS-PBI-PEO in CDCl₃.



Figure S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum of POSS-PBI-PEO in CDCl₃.



NPs self-assembled from POSS-PBI-PEO in aqueous solution

Figure S6. Hydrodynamic radius distributions obtained for hybrid nanoparticles self-assembled from 0.5 g/L aqueous solution of POSS-PBI-PEO before and after the addition of 1 mM TBAF.



Figure S7. TEM image obtained for hybrid nanoparticles self-assembled from 0.5 g/L aqueous solution of POSS-PBI-PEO.

Responsive behavior of POSS-PAI to F⁻ ion in THF



Figure S8. The distinct optical responses of POSS-PAI upon addition of 10 equiv of F^{-} in THF: [POSS-PAI] = 20 μ M.



Figure S9. a) Absorbance spectra of POSS-PAI in THF (20 μ M) with different F⁻ ion concentrations. b) Ratiometric calibration curves A₀₋₀/A₄₉₆ and A₀₋₁/A₀₋₀ as a function of F⁻ ion concentrations.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013



Figure S10. a) Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 450 \text{ nm}$) of POSS-PAI in THF (20 μ M) with different F⁻ ion concentrations. b) Fluorescence intensity at 530 nm and 568 nm as a function of F⁻ ion concentrations.

Mechanism

¹H and ¹⁹F NMR measurements were performed to demonstrate the reaction between POSS cages and F⁻ ions (Figure S11). The ¹H NMR spectrum of POSS-PAI reveals a broad peak at 0.6 ppm corresponding to Si-*CH*₂ of POSS and two doublets at 8.70 and 8.63 ppm corresponding to PAI protons, indicating that POSS-PAI exists in monomeric form at a high concentration of 1.0×10^{-3} M in CDCl₃. After the reaction of POSS-PAI with 20 equiv of F⁻ ion, both the two signals mentioned above disappear. This suggests the disappearance of POSS groups and the the formation of π - π stacking between PAI units. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of TBAF displays a strong singlet at -129 ppm corresponding to the F⁻ ion. The reaction of F⁻ ion with POSS-PAI caused an new signal at -115 ppm, which indicates the formation of covalent F-Si bonds. These results confirm that the sensitive and selective reaction between POSS and F^- ion occurs.



Figure S11. a) ¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectra of POSS-PAI (1.0×10^{-3} M) in CDCl₃ with addition of 20 equiv TBAF (1 M in THF). The two ¹H NMR peaks marked with * are ascribed to THF. b) ¹⁹F NMR (400 MHz) spectra of TBAF (2.0×10^{-2} M) in CDCl₃ with addition of 1/20 equiv TBAF.



Figure S12. Titrations of POSS-PAI (1.0×10^{-3} M in CDCl₃) with TBAF recorded by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. A₁₁₅ and A₁₂₉ refer to the integral area of 115 and 129 ppm, respectively.



Influence of pH on the fluorescence of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs

Figure S13. The influence of pH value of water on the fluorescence of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs.

Absorption spectra of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs upon the addition of F⁻



Figure S14. Absorption spectra of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs (5 μ M) before and after the addition of F (1 mM) in aqueous solution.

Excitation spectrum of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs



Figure S15. Excitation spectrum of POSS-PBI-PEO NPs (30 μ M) in aqueous solution ($\lambda_{em.} = 660$ nm).