Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for:

Constitutional self-selection from dynamic combinatorial libraries in aqueous solution through supramolecular interactions

Jordi Solà*, Maria Lafuente, Joan Atcher and Ignacio Alfonso*

Dr. J. Solà, M. Lafuente, J. Atcher and Dr. I. Alfonso Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Modelling. Institute of Advanced Chemistry of Catalonia, IQAC-CSIC Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034, Barcelona, Spain. Fax: (+34)932045904 E-mail: <u>ignacio.alfonso@iqac.csic.es</u> jordi.sola@iqac.csic.es

Table of Contents:

General characteristics	S3
Synthesis of the building blocks (Fig. S1-9)	S4
Synthetic scheme	S4
Step i: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [4a,b]	S5
Step ii: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [5a,b]	S6
Step iii: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [6a,b]	S7
Step iv: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [1a,b]	S8
NMR spectra, HRMS (ESI+) spectra and HPLC traces of [1a,b]	S10
Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries (Fig. S10-15)	S17
General procedure for the preparation and HPLC analysis of the DCLs	S17
Mixture of 1a+2 and 1a+2+3a	S17
Mixture of 1b+2 and 1b+2+3a	S18
Mixture of 1a+2 with different concentrations of 3a	S18
Mixture of 1a+2+3b-g	S19
Mixture of 1a+2+3a+3f+3g	S19
Mixture of 1a + 2 + 3a at non-equimolar proportions of 1a and 2	S20
Mass Spectrometry	S21
General procedure for the analysis of the DCLs by HRMS	S21
Mixture of 1a + 2	S21
Mixture of 1a + 2 + 3a	S24
Mixture of 1b+2	S26
Mixture of 1b+2+3a	S29
Mixture of 1a+2+3b	S30
Mixture of 1a+2+3c	S 34
Mixture of 1a+2+3d	S36
Mixture of 1a+2+3e	S 38
Mixture of 1a+2+3f	S41
Mixture of 1a+2+3g	S42
Reversibility test (Fig. S16)	S45
Synthesis and NMR characterization of 1a23a (Ia) (Fig. S17-22)	S47
Molecular Modelling of 1a23a (Ia) (Fig. S23)	S54

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

General: Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Aldrich, Fluka or Merck) and were used without further purification. Flash chromatographic purifications and preparative reversed-phase purifications were performed on a Biotage[®] Isolera PrimeTM equipment. TLCs were performed using $6x3 \text{ cm SiO}_2$ precoated aluminium plates (ALUGRAM[®] SIL G/UV₂₅₄).

Reversed-Phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC) analyses were performed on a Hewlett Packard Series 1100 (UV detector 1315A) modular system using:

- i) For the characterization of [**1a,b**]: a reversed-phase X-Terra C_{18} (15 x 0.46 cm, 5 µm) column. (CH₃CN + 0.07% TFA and H₂O + 0.1% TFA) mixtures at 1 mL/min were used as mobile phase and the monitoring wavelengths were set at 220 and 254 nm.
- ii) For the analysis of the DCLs: a reversed-phase kromaphase C_{18} (25 x 0.46 cm, 5µm) column. (CH₃CN + 20 mM HCOOH and H₂O + 20 mM HCOOH) mixtures at 1 mL/min were used as mobile phase and the monitoring wavelength was set at 254 nm.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopic experiments were carried out on a Varian INOVA 500 spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C) and a Varian Mercury 400 instrument (400 MHz for ¹H and 101 MHz for ¹³C). The chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to trimethylsilane (TMS), and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz).

pH measurements were performed at room temperature on a Crison GLP21 pH-meter with the electrodes Crison 50 14T (\geq 10 mL samples) and PHR-146 Micro (<10 mL samples).

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) analyses were carried out at the IQAC Mass Spectrometry Facility, using a UPLC-ESI-TOF equipment: [Acquity UPLC[®] BEH C_{18} 1.7 mm, 2.1x100 mm, LCT Premier Xe, Waters]. (CH₃CN + 20 mM HCOOH and H₂O + 20 mM HCOOH) mixtures at 0.3 mL/min were used as mobile phase.

SYNTHESIS OF THE BUILDING BLOCKS

The tritylsulfanyl acetic acid was prepared as previously described.¹ Also the compound [2] was synthesized as previously reported.² The compounds [3a-g] were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich, Iris Biotech).

Synthetic scheme of [1a,b]



Related references:

A. P. Kozikowski, Y. Chen, A. Gaysin, B. Chen, M. A. D'Annibale, C. M. Suto and B. C. Langley, *J. Med. Chem.*, **2007**, *50*, 3054-3061.
K. R. West, K. D. Bake and S. Otto, *Org. Lett.*, **2005**, *7*, 2615-2618.





[4a]: Fmoc-Asn(Trt)-OH (4.24 g, 7.11 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (16 mL) and both dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC, 2.231 g, 10.81 mmol) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt, 1.252 g, 9.27 mmol) were added over the solution. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C. A solution of *m*-phenylenediamine (334 mg, 3.09 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) was added over the mixture through a cannula. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 60 hours,

after which complete conversion of the starting material was observed by TLC (Rf AcOEt/Hexane, 1:1 (v:v): 0.58). The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was diluted with DCM, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography using hexane: AcOEt as eluent (from 30% to 50% AcOEt) to give 2.047 g of [4a] (52% yield) as a white solid. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for $C_{82}H_{68}N_6O_8$ $[M+H]^+$ (m/z): 1265.5171, found: 1265.5183. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.77$ (brs, 2H, NH), 7.81 - 7.66 (m, 5H, CH_{Ar}), 7.61 - 7.51 (m, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.38 (t, J = 7.5Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.32 – 7.04 (m, 37H, CH_{Ar}), 6.97 (s, 2H, NH), 6.54 (brs, 2H, NH), 4.68 (brs, 2H, C*H), 4.51 - 4.32 (m, 4H, CH₂), 4.20 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH), 3.16 (d, J =15.7 Hz, 2H, CH₂C*H), 2.66 (dd, J = 15.7, 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH₂C*H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.8 (2 x CO), 169.0 (2 x CO), 156.4 (2 x CO), 144.2 (6 x C_{Ar}), 143.8 (2 x C_{Ar}), 143.8 (2 x C_{Ar}), 141.4 (2 x C_{Ar}), 141.4 (2 x C_{Ar}), 138.0 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.3 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 128.7 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 128.2 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 127.9 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 127.3 (6 x CH_{Ar}), 127.3 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 125.3 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 120.1 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 116.3 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 111.7 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 71.2 (2 x C), 67.5 (2 x CH₂), 52.2 (2 x C*H), 47.2 (2 x CH), 38.9 (2 x CH₂C*H).



[4b]: this compound was obtained as described above starting from the Fmoc-Ser(*t*Bu)-OH. The residue was purified by flash chromatography using hexane: AcOEt as eluent (from 25% to 40% AcOEt, Rf AcOEt/Hexane, 3:2 (v:v): 0.83) to give 1.05 g of [4b] (43% yield) as a white solid. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₅₀H₅₄N₄O₈ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 839.4014, found: 839.4029. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.80 (brs, 2H, NH), 7.96 (s, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.77 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.62

(d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.41 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.32 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.29–7.20 (m, 3H, CH_{Ar}), 5.87 (brs, 2H, NH), 4.44 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 4.35 (brs, 2H, CH₂C*H), 4.25 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH), 3.92 (brs, 2H, CH₂C*H), 3.45 (t, J = 8.7

Hz, 2H: C*H), 1.28 (s, 18H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 168.5 (2 x CO), 156.2 (2 x CO), 143.9 (4 x C_{Ar}), 141.4 (4 x C_{Ar}), 138.4 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.9 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 127.9 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 127.2 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 125.2 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 120.2 (4 x CH_{Ar}), 115.5 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 111.0 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 75.1 (2 x C), 67.3 (2 x CH₂), 61.9 (2 x CH₂C*H), 54.8 (2 x C*H), 47.3 (2 x CH), 27.6 (6 x CH₃).

Step ii: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [5a,b]



О

 NH_2

Н

[5a]

CONHTrt





821.3712. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄): δ = 7.93 (s, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.38 – 7.31 (m, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.30 – 7.10 (m, 31H, CH_{Ar}), 3.77 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 5.5 Hz, 2H, C*H), 2.77 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 5.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄): δ = 174.6 (2 X CO), 172.5 (2 x CO), 145.9 (6 x C_{Ar}), 140.0 (2 x C_{Ar}), 130.1 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 130.0 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 128.7 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 127.8 (6 x CH_{Ar}), 117.0 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 112.8 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 71.7 (2 x C), 54.0 (2 x C*H), 42.3 (2 x CH₂).



[**5b**]: 522 mg of [**5b**] (99% yield) were obtained as described above starting from the [**4b**]. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₂₀H₃₄N₄O₄ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 395.2653, found: 395.2672. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.54 (s, 2H, NH), 7.91 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.39–7.35 (m, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.29–7.23 (m, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 3.67 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 3.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.62–3.55 (m, 4H, 2H x C*H + 2H x CH₂), 2.00 (brs, 4H, NH₂), 1.21 (s, 18H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.6 (2 x CO), 138.6 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.6 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 115.0 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 110.4 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 73.8 (2 x C),

63.8 (2 x CH₂), 56.0 (2 x C*H), 27.7 (6 x CH₃).







NaHCO₃ and dried under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography using hexane: AcOEt as eluent (from 40% to 60% AcOEt) to give 343 mg of [**6a**] (73% yield) as a white solid. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₉₄H₈₀N₆O₆S₂ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 1453.5654, found: 1453.5665. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.84$ (s, 2H, NH), 7.60 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.54 – 7.00 (m, 65H, 2H x NH + 63H x CH_{Ar}), 6.91 (s, 2H, NH), 4.49 (td, J = 7.5, 3.0 Hz, 2H, C*H), 3.05 (ABq, $\delta_A = 3.02$, $\delta_B = 3.08$, J = 15.7Hz, 4H, CH₂STrt), 2.96 – 2.83 (m, 2H, CH₂C*H), 2.39 (dd, J = 15.7, 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂C*H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 170.7$ (2 x CO), 169.0 (2 x CO), 168.3 (2 x CO), 144.2 (6 x C_{Ar}), 144.1 (6 x C_{Ar}), 138.11 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.7 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 129.2 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 128.7 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 128.3 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 128.2 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 127.3 (6 x CH_{Ar}), 127.1 (6 x CH_{Ar}), 116.3 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 111.8 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 71.1 (2 x C), 67.9 (2 x C), 50.7 (2 x C*H), 38.4 (2 x CH₂C*H), 36.2 (2 x CH₂STrt).



[**6b**]: this compound was obtained as described above starting from [**5b**]. The residue was purified by flash chromatography using hexane: AcOEt as eluent (from 35% to 45% AcOEt, Rf AcOEt/Hexane, 2:3 (v:v): 0.27) to give 612 mg of [**6b**] (51% yield) as a white solid. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₆₂H₆₆N₄O₆S₂ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 1027.4497, found: 1027.4492. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.68 (s, 2H, NH), 7.79 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH_{Ar}), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 12H, CH_{Ar}), 7.25–7.18 (m, 9H, CH_{Ar}), 7.10 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H, NH), 4.24–4.18 (m, 2H, C*H), 3.71 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 4.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂O^tBu), 3.20–3.08 (m, 6H, 2H x CH₂O^tBu + 4H x

C<u>H</u>₂STrt), 1.22 (s, 18H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 168.7 (2 x CO), 168.2 (2 x CO), 144.1 (6 x C_{Ar}), 138.4 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.8 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 129.7 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 128.3 (12 x CH_{Ar}), 127.1 (6 x CH_{Ar}), 115.5 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 110.9 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 75.0 (2 x C), 68.0 (2 x C), 61.0 (2 x <u>C</u>H₂C*H), 53.5 (2 x C*H), 36.2 (2 x CH₂), 27.6 (6 x CH₃).

Step iv: Experimental procedure for the synthesis of [1a,b]







[1a]: [6a] was dissolved in DCM (1 mL) and 5.5 mL trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 313 of μL of triisobutylsilane (TIS) and 152 µL of 1,2ethanedithiol (EDT) were added rapidly and under stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to stirr at room temperature for 40 min, after which the solvents were partially evaporated using a N₂ flow. Diethyl ether was added over the reaction mixture and the product was filtered off and washed with diethyl ether. The product was purified using reversed-phase flash chromatography (gradient: from 5% to 30% CH₃CN in H₂O) and 37.8 mg of

[1a] (52% yield) were obtained as a white solid. HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₁₈H₂₄N₆O₆S₂ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 485.1277, found: 485.1271. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 9.97 (s, 2H, N<u>H</u>COC*H), 8.34 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, C*HN<u>H</u>CO), 7.93 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.36 (s, 2H, NH₂), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.24 – 7.15 (m, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 6.91 (s, 2H, NH₂), 4.67 (app q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H, C*H), 3.17 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 4H, C<u>H</u>₂SH), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H, SH), 2.62 – 2.41 (m, 4H, C<u>H</u>₂C*H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 171.1 (2 x CONH₂), 169.5 (2 x COC*H), 169.4 (2 x COCH₂), 139.1 (2 x C_{Ar}), 128.6 (1 x C_{Ar}), 114.6 (2 x C_{Ar}), 110.9 (1 x C_{Ar}), 50.9 (2 x C*H), 37.1 (2 x CH₂C*H), 27.0 (2 x CH₂SH).



[1b]: 76.4 mg of [1b] (90% yield) were obtained as described above starting from [6b]. RP-HPLC (gradient: from 5% to 30% CH₃CN in H₂O). HRMS (ESI+) calcd. for C₁₆H₂₂N₄O₆S₂ [M+H]⁺ (m/z): 431.1059, found: 431.1042. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄): δ = 7.92 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.36– 7.31 (m, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.28–7.23 (m, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 4.56 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H, C*H), 3.92–3.82 (m, 4H, C<u>H</u>₂OH), 3.28 (s, 4H, C<u>H</u>₂SH). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄): δ = 173.0 (2 x <u>C</u>OCH₂), 170.2 (2 x <u>C</u>OC*H), 139.6 (2 x C_{Ar}), 129.9 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 117.3 (2 x CH_{Ar}), 113.4 (1 x CH_{Ar}), 62.8 (2 x CH₂OH), 57.2 (2 x C*H), 27.9 (2 x CH₂SH).



Figure S1: ¹H (400 MHz, 298 K in DMSO- d_6) and gCOSY (400 MHz, 298 K in DMSO- d_6) spectra of [1a].



Figure S2: ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHSQC (400 MHz, 298 K in DMSO- d_6) and ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHMBC (400 MHz, 298 K in DMSO- d_6) spectra of [1a].



Figure S3: ¹³C (101 MHz, 298 K in DMSO-*d*₆) spectrum of [**1a**].



Figure S4: HPLC of [1a] (2 min at 5% CH₃CN in H₂O, then linear gradient from 5% to 100% CH₃CN over 18 min).



Figure S5: Experimental (lower trace) and simulated (upper trace) ESI-TOF mass spectra for $[M+H]^+$ of [1a].



Figure S6: ¹H (400 MHz, 298 K in MeOD- d_4) and gCOSY (400 MHz, 298 K in MeOD- d_4) spectra of [1b].



Figure S7: ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHSQC (400 MHz, 298 K in MeOD- d_4) and ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHMBC (400 MHz, 298 K in MeOD- d_4) spectra of [**1b**].



Figure S8: HPLC of [1b] (2 min at 5% CH₃CN in H₂O, then linear gradient from 5% to 100% CH₃CN over 18 min).



Figure S9: Experimental (lower trace) and simulated (upper trace) ESI-TOF mass spectra for $[M+H]^+$ of [1b].

DYNAMIC COMBINATORIAL LIBRARIES

General procedure for the preparation and HPLC analysis of the DCLs

A 66.7 mM BIS-Tris methane buffer was prepared by dissolving 1.39 g of the free amine in 100 mL of milli-Q water and adjusting the pH of the solution to 6.5 by the addition of HCl (aq).

The reaction mixtures were prepared by dilution of individual stocks of the building blocks (BBs) **1a**, **1b**, **2**, **3a-g**. For those experiments to be compared, the reaction mixtures were prepared by dilution of a stock mixture of the BBs, ensuring no differences in concentration between the reaction mixtures of the same batch. Unless otherwise specified, the conditions for the generation of the DCLs were: 0.5 mM of the di- and tripodal BBs **1a**, **1b** and **2** in a 50 mM BIS-Tris methane buffer (pH 6.5) with 25% DMSO. The concentrations of the monopodal BBs **3a-g** are specified for each of the experiments.

After complete oxidation of the free thiols (24 hours at room temperature) the reaction mixtures were analysed by HPLC. The HPLC samples were prepared by adding 40 μ L of the corresponding reaction mixture to 65 μ L of a solution of 89% H₂O, 10% CH₃CN and 1% TFA. Eluent used: 2 min at 5% CH₃CN in H₂O, then linear gradient from 5% to 40% CH₃CN over 48 min.



Mixture of 1a+2 and 1a+2+3a

Figure S10: HPLC traces of the mixture 1a+2 in the absence of any monopodal BB (a), and in the presence of 2.5 mM of 3a (b).

Mixture of 1b+2 and 1b+2+3a



Figure S11: HPLC traces of the mixture 1b+2 in the absence of any monopodal BB (a), and in the presence of 2.5 mM of 3a (b).

Mixture of 1a+2 with different concentrations of 3a



Figure S12: HPLC traces of the mixture of 1a+2 in the presence of 100 mM (a), 20 mM (b), 5 mM (c) and 0.5 mM (d) of **3a**.



Figure S13: HPLC traces of the mixture of 1a+2 in the presence of 2.5 mM of 3a (a), 3b (b), 3c (c), 3d (d), 3e (e), 3f (f) and 3g (g).

Mixture of 1a+2+3a+3f+3g



Figure S14: HPLC traces of the mixture of **1a+2+3a+3f+3g** (each monopodal BB at 1.0 mM).

Mixture of 1a+2+3b-g

Mixture of 1a+2+3a at non-equimolar proportions of 1a and 2



Figure S15: HPLC traces of the mixture of **1a**+**2**+**3a** at 0.5 mM, 1.0 mM and 4.0 mM respectively (a), and at 1.0 mM, 0.5 mM and 3.5 mM respectively (b).

MASS SPECTROMETRY

General procedure for the analysis of the DCLs by HRMS

The HRMS (UPLC-ESI-TOF) samples were prepared by adding 20 μ L of the corresponding reaction mixture to 40 μ L of a solution of 89% H₂O, 10% MeCN and 1% TFA. Eluent used: 2.5 min at 5% CH₃CN in H₂O, then linear gradient from 5% to 50% CH₃CN over 27.5 min.



Mixture of 1a+2 (0.5 mM each, pH.6.5)

8.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 20.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 9.00 19.00

Identification of the products:





[**1a**₃] Retention time: 13.67 min.

Exact Mass: 1446.3127





 $[1a_2-2_2]$ 997.6169 998.0779 100 Retention time: 16.17 min. Calculated Chemical Formula: [M+H]²⁻ 998.1104 997.6116 997.1065 $C_{72}H_{80}N_{18}O_{30}S_{10} \\$ 100] 998.6091 Found 999.1092 Exact Mass: 999.6090 1996.2495 1000 1002 1003 1004 m/z 100 999.6326 100 Calculated 999.1293 1000.1326 999.6287 [M+H]²⁺ 100 1000.6330 Found 1001.1339 1001.6270 1004 m/z 1002 1003 997 àas áac 100









[**1a-2-3a**] Retention time: 11.17 min. 1117_1289 100 NH₂ ▼ 0 1118.1289 1119.1289 Calculated он 1120.1289 1121.1289 [M+H][.] 1117,1323 1118.1305 1119.1315 о Ц οн Found но 1120.1281 1121.1326 1121 S-S 1120 1122 1123 1124 1125^{m/z} ΗN o Ó NH 1119.1445 F 1120.1445 || 0 NH₂ 1121.1445 Ó Calculated 1122.1445 Chemical Formula: 1119,1543 [M+H]+ $C_{39}H_{46}N_{10}O_{17}S_6$ 1120.1598 1121.1533 Found 1122.1450 1123.1492 Exact Mass: 1125^{m/z} 1123 1117 1118 1119 1120 1122 1124 1118.1367



Mixture of **1b**+**2** (0.5 mM each, pH.6.5)



Identification of the products:

[**2**₂] (previously identified) Retention time: 9.55 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{36}H_{36}N_6O_{18}S_6$

Exact Mass: 1032.0410



[**1b-2**₂] Retention time: 11.50 and 11.90 min

Exact Mass: 1460.1235





 $[1b_3-2_2]$ Retention time: 17.22 min



1158

1159

1160

Found

100

1158.1207

1161.1462 1161.6807 1162.2015 1162

116

1164

1166 m/z

1165





[**2-3a**₃] (previously identified) Retention time: 2.22 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{27}H_{36}N_6O_{15}S_6$

Exact Mass: 876.0563





Mixture of 1a+2+3b (0.5, 0.5 and 2.5 mM, pH.6.5)









 $[2_2 - 3b_2]$ Retention time: 8.18 min.



[**1a**₂] (previously identified) Retention time: 10.32 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{36}H_{44}N_{12}O_{12}S_4$

Exact Mass: 964.2084





Mixture of 1a+2+3c (0.5, 0.5 and 2.5 mM respectively, pH.6.5)

Identification of the products:



Retention time: 9.65 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{22}H_{32}N_6O_8S_4$ Exact Mass: 636.1164



638.1246

639.1198

640.1215 | 640

Found

642

641

643 m/z



[**1a₂-2-3c₃**] Retention time: 14.40 min.





Mixture of 1a+2+3d (0.5, 0.5 and 2.5 mM respectively, pH.6.5)





 $[1a_2-2-3d]$ Retention time: 16.62 min.



1584.2222



Mixture of 1a+2+3e (0.5, 0.5 and 2.5 mM respectively, pH.6.5)





[**1a-3e**₂] Retention time: 8.73 min.



S-39



[**1a-2-3e**₃] Retention time: 13.97 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{41}H_{48}N_{10}O_{18}S_6$











[**1a**₂] (previously identified) Retention time: 13.37 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{36}H_{44}N_{12}O_{12}S_4$

Exact Mass: 964.2084



Mixture of 1a+2+3f (0.5, 0.5 and 2.5 mM respectively, pH.6.5)





[**2-3g**₃] Retention time: 9.53 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{30}H_{42}N_6O_{15}S_6$





[**2**₂] (previously identified) Retention time: 12.38 min.

Chemical Formula: $C_{36}H_{36}N_6O_{18}S_6$

Exact Mass: 1032.0410



REVERSIBILITY TEST

Individual stocks of [1a, 2, 3a] were prepared (4 mM in DMSO for 1a and 2, and 20 mM in milli-Q water for 3a) (see scheme S1). From these, a **pre-equilibrated reaction mixture** was prepared by adding 40 μ L of [1a] and [2] to 200 μ L of a 66.7 mM BIS-Tris methane buffer (pH 6.5). The **individual stock** of [3a] was stored at -80 °C. After 24 hours, the **reaction mixture** A was prepared by adding 30 μ L of the **individual stock** of [3a] to 210 μ L of the **pre-equilibrated reaction mixture**.



Scheme S1: Preparation of the reaction mixtures of the reversibility test.

Simultaneously, the **control reaction mixture B** was prepared by mixing 30 μ L of each **individual stock** with 150 μ L of a 66.7 mM BIS-Tris methane buffer (pH 6.5). After 24 hours, the **reaction mixture A**, the **control reaction mixture B** and the **pre-equilibrated reaction mixture** were analysed by HPLC (see figure S16).

Finally, the **reaction mixture C** was prepared by adding 0.35 equivalents of Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride $(TCEP \cdot HCl)^3$ to the completely oxidised **reaction mixture A**. The substoichiometric amount of TCEP allowed the partial reduction of the disulphides present in the mixture. After the reoxidation of the generated free thiols, 24 hours later, the **reaction mixture C** was analysed by HPLC (see figure S16).

Related references:

3. J. A. Burns, J. C. Butler, J. Moran, and G. M. Whitesides, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 2648-2650.



Figure S16: HPLC traces of the **control reaction mixture B** (a), the **pre-equilibrated reaction mixture** (b), the **reaction mixture A** (c) and the **reaction mixture C** (d).



For the synthesis of the trimer **1a23a** (Ia), [1a] (4.8 mg, 0.010 mmol), [2] (5.2 mg, 0.010 mmol) and [3a] (2.4 mg, 0.20 mmol) were dissolved in 2 mL of MeOH and 1 mL of milli-Q water. Then, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.0 by the addition of NaOH (aq). After 6 days at room temperature, complete oxidation of the starting reagents was observed by HPLC. The MeOH was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was lyophilized. The resulting white solid was purified by reversed-face flash chromatography (gradient: from 5% to 15% CH₃CN in H₂O) and 3.8 mg of pure [Ia] (34% yield) were obtained as a white solid. HRMS (ESI-) calcd. for C₃₉H₄₆N₁₀O₁₇S₆ [M-H]⁻ (m/z): 1117.1289, found: 1117.1323. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, H₂O:DMSO-d₆ (85:15): $\delta = 8.69$ (s, 2H, H_C), 8.62 (s, 1H, H₄), 8.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H_B), 8.46 (d, J $= 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_{\text{A}}$), 8.38 (d, $J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}_{\text{E}}$), 8.29 (d, $J = 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_2$), 7.58 (s, 2H, H_D), 7.20 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H₁₈), 6.94 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 6.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H₁₆), 6.76 (s, 2H, H_D), 4.05 - 4.00 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 4.01 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 2H, H₉), 3.47 (dd, J = 15.2 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, H₈), 3.45 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H₉), 3.35 (dd, J =9.9 Hz, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 3.32 (dd, J = 10.7 Hz, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 3.19 (dd, J =15.2, 11.0 Hz, 1H, H₈), 3.04 (dd, J = 15.2, 9.3 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 2.99 (dd, J = 15.8, 9.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₃, 2.93 – 2.82 (m, 2H, H₁₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O:DMSO- d_6 (85:15)): $\delta =$ 174.6 (CO, C₁₃), 172.1 (CO, C₁₀), 169.6 (CO, C₁₄), 167.2 (CO, C₁₉), 166.8 (CO, C₅), 137.0 (C, C₁₅), 134.0 (C, C3), 133.4 (C, C1), 129.7 (CH, C₄), 129.65 (CH, C₁₆), 129.60 (CH, C₂), 116.3 (CH, C₁₇), 111.5 (CH, C₁₈), 55.8 (CH, C₆), 54.4 (CH, C₂₀), 51.0 (CH, C₁₁), 53.4 (CH, C₂₄), 45.0 (CH₂, C₈), 41.2 (CH₂, C₉), 39.5 (CH₂, C₂₂), 37.9 (CH₂, C₂₃), 35.9 (CH₂, C₁₂).



Figure S17: ¹H (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO- d_6 (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) spectrum of **Ia** (8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5), and expansion of the amide region (9.0 – 6.5 ppm).



Figure S18: Expansion of the aliphatic region (4.3 - 2.3 ppm) of ¹H (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO-*d*₆ (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) and ¹H 2D TOCSY (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO-*d*₆ (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) spectra of **Ia** (8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5).



Figure S19: ¹H NOESY (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO- d_6 (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) and ¹H ROESY (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO- d_6 (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) spectra of **Ia** (8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5).



Figure S20: ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHMBC (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO- d_{6} (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) and ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ gHSQC (500 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO- d_{6} (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) of Ia (8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5).



Figure S21: Expansion of the amide region (9.0 - 6.5 ppm) of ¹H (400 MHz, 298 K in H₂O:DMSO-*d*₆ (85:15), with water suppression by excitation sculpting scheme) spectrum of **Ia**. Top: 8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. Bottom: after acidification with TFA, pH ~2. The differences in chemical shift for protons H_A, H_E (moving downfield) and H₄ (moving upfield) are consistent with an unfolding of the proposed conformation for **1a23a**.



Figure S22: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, 298K) with water suppression by excitation sculpting for **1a23a**. Top: H₂O:DMSO- d_6 (85:15, 8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5). Bottom: H₂O:DMSO- d_6 (75:25, 8.5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5). Subtle changes are appreciated, in a more organic solvent the folded structure of **1a23a** is more favored as can be seen by downfield shift for protons H₄ and H₂.

MOLECULAR MODELLING OF 1a23a (Ia)

All the theoretical calculations were performed with Spartan 06 software operating in a Dell workstation. Monte Carlo conformation searches were performed without restrictions by generating 10000-20000 geometries, which were minimized subsequently using the MMFFaq force field. This version of the force field takes into account water solvent as a continuum medium. This force field has proved to be the most suitable for the conformational analysis of pseudopeptide and peptoid molecules.⁴ The obtained local minima were ordered following the corresponding MMFFaq energies. The process was repeated several times starting from different initial geometries to ensure mapping all the conformational space. The corresponding conformational searches of the same molecule starting from different geometries rendered identical results. This fact ensures the fidelity and reliability of the results from this conformational analysis. We considered all the carboxylic groups as carboxylate anions and the amine function of the pendant cysteine as the ammonium cation. The global minimum for the 1a23a (Ia) compund is shown in Figure 2 of the manuscript. The superposition of the energetically accessible local minima found for this molecule is shown in figure S23.



Figure S23: Top and side views of the superposition of the accessible local minima for **1a23a** (Ia). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Related references:

4. (a) W. Brandt, T. Herberg, L. Wessjohann, *Biopolymers (Protein Science)*, **2011**, *96*, 651-667; (b) C. F. Rodriquez, G. Orlova, Y. Guo, X. Li, C.-K. Siu, A. C. Hopkinson, K. W. M.Siu, J. Phys. Chem. B **2006**, *110*, 7528-7537; (c) E. F. Strittmatter, E. R. Williams, J. Phys. Chem. A **2000**, *104*, 6069-6076; (d) M. D. Beachy, D. Chasman, R. B. Murphy, T. A. Halgren, R. A. Friesner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 5908-5920.