[Supporting Information]

# Synthesis of copolymerized porous organic frameworks with high gas storage properties

Cuiving Pei,<sup>a</sup> Teng Ben,<sup>\*b</sup> Yanqiang Li,<sup>a</sup> and Shilun Qiu<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis & Preparative Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China; <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China;

E-mail: sqiu@jlu.edu.cn; tben@jlu.edu.cn

# Table of Contents

1. High pressure gas storage apparatus	S3
2. Instruments	S4
3. Materials	<b>S</b> 6
4. Experimental section	<b>S</b> 7
5. Investigation of topology structure of C-POFs	S9
5-1. PXRD of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs	S9
5-2. FTIR of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs	S10
5-3. <sup>13</sup> C CP/MAS NMR spectra of C-POFs	S11
5-4. PSD of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs	S12
5-5. Cumulative pore volume of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs	S12
6. Investigation of stability	S13
6-1. TGA experiment of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs	S13
7. N <sub>2</sub> sorption measurements	S14
8. Low-pressure gas storage measurements	S15
8-1. Low-pressure hydrogen uptake and $Q_{stH2}$	S15
8-2. Low-pressure methane uptake and Q <sub>stCH4</sub>	S15
8-3. Low-pressure carbon dioxide uptake and Q <sub>stCO2</sub>	S16
9. High-pressure gas storage measurements	S17
9-1. High-pressure hydrogen uptake	S17
9-2. High-pressure methane uptake	S18
9-3. High-pressure carbon dioxide uptake	S18
10. References	S19

# 1. High pressure gas storage apparatus



Fig. S1. Apparatus used in high pressure gas storage measurements

## 2. Instruments

#### 2-1. Low-pressure N<sub>2</sub> sorption measurements

The N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm measurements were performed on a Micromeritics Tristar II 3020 surface area and pore size analyzer. After the removal of volatile entities by decanting, the samples were activated in a dynamic vacuum at 200 °C overnight. Before measurement, the samples were degassed using the "degas" function of the surface area analyzer at 200 °C for 12 h. A sample of 50 mg and a UHP-grade nitrogen (99.999 %) gas source were used in the nitrogen sorption measurements at 77 K and were maintained as liquid nitrogen throughout the whole measurement. Oil-free vacuum pumps and oil-free pressure regulators were used for the measurements to prevent the contamination of the samples during the degassing process and isotherm measurements.

#### 2-2. PXRD measurements

The PXRD measurements were performed using a SHIMADZU XRD-6000 X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Ka radiation, 40 kV, 30 mA, and a scanning rate of 2  $^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> (20). The sample holder is made with Al.

## 2-3. NMR experiments

The NMR experiments were performed on a Varian Infinity-plus 400 spectrometer operating at a magnetic field strength of 9.4 T. The resonance frequencies at this field

strength were 100.6 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. A Chemagnetics 5 mm triple-resonance MAS probe was used to acquire the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra with a spinning rate of 8 kHz. The chemical shift of <sup>13</sup>C was externally referenced to hexamethylbenzene.

## 2-4. TGA and FTIR measurements

The thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed using a SHIMADZU DTG-60 thermal analyzer at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in a dry air atmosphere. The FTIR spectra (KBr) were measured using a SHIMADZU IRAffinity-1 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer.

## 2-5. Low-pressure gas adsorption measurements

Samples of a known weight (50 mg) were loaded into preweighed sample tubes that were then sealed to prevent exposure to air and atmospheric moisture during the transfer and weighing. To remove adsorbed materials from the surface, the samples were first heated in the sample tubes in a vacuum until the pressure in the manifold reached 100 mtorr or less, and were then kept at 200 °C under a dynamic vacuum of less than 50 mtorr for at least 10 h. The low-pressure H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption measurements (up to 1 bar) were performed on a Micromeritics Tristar II 3020 surface area and pore size analyzer. After evacuation, the tubes containing the degassed samples were precisely weighed again to obtain the mass of the evacuated samples. Ultra-high-purity grade H<sub>2</sub>,  $CO_2$  (99.999 % purity), and  $CH_4$  (99.99 % purity) gases were used for the adsorption measurement. The free space was measured using He (99.999 % purity) on the assumption that the He would not be adsorbed at any of the studied temperatures. The H<sub>2</sub> isotherms at 77 K were measured in a liquid nitrogen bath, the H<sub>2</sub> isotherms at 87 K were measured in a liquid argon bath, and the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  isotherms at 273 K were measured in an ice-water bath.

## 2-6. High-pressure gas adsorption measurements

The high-pressure  $H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  adsorption isothermal measurements were performed using home-made equipment according to Zhou's method.<sup>[1]</sup> Scientific research-grade gases were used with a purity of 99.999 %. Before measurement, the samples were fully degassed at 200 °C for at least 10 hours. About 350 mg of sample was used in each case. The  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  uptake was measured at 298 K and the  $H_2$ uptake was measured at 77 K.

#### 3. Materials

Tris(4-bromophenyl)amine, bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)nickel (0) (Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>), 1,5cyclooctadiene (cod), N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and 2,2'-bipyridyl were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane was synthesized according to a previously reported procedure<sup>[2]</sup>.

## 4. Experimental section

## General C-POFs synthesis

Tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane and tris(4-bromophenyl)amine (4:1, 3:2, 2:3, 1:4, mol/mol, respectively) were dissolved in dehydrated DMF (0.01 M) to prepare the monomer mixture solution. Cod (2.0 mL, 16.1 mmol, dried over CaH<sub>2</sub>) was added to a solution of Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (4.41 g, 16.1 mmol) and 2,2'-bipyridyl (2.51 g, 16.1 mmol) in cold dehydrated DMF (50 mL), and the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 1 h. The monomer mixture solution was added to the resulting solution at 80 °C, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature overnight to obtain a deep purple suspension. After cooling to room temperature, concentrated HCl was added to the mixture until it turned into a green suspension. After filtration, the residue was washed with  $H_2O$ , EtOH (5  $\times$  30 mL), and CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5  $\times$  30 mL) and dried in a vacuum to achieve the copolymer in the form of an off-white powder (94 % - 98 % yield). Elemental analysis calcd (%) for JUC-Z7 (C<sub>23.6</sub>H<sub>15.2</sub>N<sub>0.2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>, C 93.80, H 5.05, N 1.16; Found, C 93.13, H 5.41, N 1.45; FTIR (KBr): ύ = 3026, 1595, 1491, 1253, 994, 796, 754, 516; JUC-Z8 (C<sub>22.2</sub>H<sub>14.4</sub>N<sub>0.4</sub>)<sub>n</sub>, C 92.66, H5.03, N 2.31; Found, C 92.40, H 5.36, N 2.24; FTIR (KBr): ύ = 3026, 1595, 1484, 1273, 1004, 806, 734, 537; JUC-Z9(C<sub>20.8</sub>H<sub>13.6</sub>N<sub>0.6</sub>)<sub>n</sub>, C 91.51, H 5.02, N 3.47; Found, C 91.19, H 5.33, N 3.49; FTIR (KBr): ύ = 3036, 1595, 1491, 1275, 993, 806, 745, 526; JUC-Z10

(C<sub>19.4</sub>H<sub>12.8</sub>N<sub>0.8</sub>)<sub>n</sub>, C 90.37, H 5.00, N 4.62, Found, C 90.61, H 5.17, N 4.21; FTIR (KBr): ύ = 3026, 1595, 1481, 1273, 993, 817, 734, 516.

# 5. Investigation of topology structure of C-POFs



# 5-1. PXRD of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs

Fig. S1. The observed PXRD pattern of activated PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs





**Fig. S2.** FTIR spectra of PAF-1 (black), JUC-Z2 (red), and the C-POFs (JUC-Z7 in purple, JUC-Z8 in blue, JUC-Z9 in cyan, JUC-Z10 in olive) from 400-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (A) and 400-2000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (B)

# 5-3. <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS NMR spectra of C-POFs



**Fig. S3.** <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS NMR spectra of the C-POFs, Asterisks indicate spinning sideband peaks

5-4. PSD of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs



Fig. S4. PSD of PAF-1, JUC-Z2, and the C-POFs



5-5. Cumulative pore volume of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs

Fig. S5. Cumulative pore volume of PAF-1, JUC-Z2, and the C-POFs

# 6. Investigation of stability

# 6-1. TGA experiment of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs



Fig. S6. TGA plot of PAF-1 (black), JUC-Z7 (purple), JUC-Z8 (blue), JUC-Z9 (cyan),

JUC-Z10 (green), and JUC-Z2 (red) in dry air at a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>

# 7. N<sub>2</sub> sorption measurements



JUC-Z9 (cyan), JUC-Z10 (green), and JUC-Z2 (red): (solid symbols, adsorption; open symbols, desorption); (B)  $S_{BET}$  of PAF-1, JUC-Z2 and C-POFs (the experimental data are in blue, the calculated physical mixture data are in yellow, and the JUC-Z10-Mix is in purple)

Fig. S7. (A) N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms of PAF-1 (black), JUC-Z7 (purple), JUC-Z8 (blue),

## 8. Low-pressure gas storage measurements



8-1. Low-pressure hydrogen uptake and  $Q_{stH2}$ 

Fig. S8. (A) Hydrogen adsorption (filled symbols) and desorption (empty symbols) isotherms of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2 at 77 K; (B)  $Q_{st}$  for H<sub>2</sub> of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2.

## 8-2. Low-pressure methane uptake and QstCH4



Fig. S9. (A) Methane adsorption (filled symbols) and desorption (empty symbols) isotherms of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2 at 273 K; (B)  $Q_{st}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2



8-3. Low-pressure carbon dioxide uptake and  $Q_{stCO2}$ 

**Fig. S10.** (A) Carbon dioxide adsorption (filled symbols) and desorption (empty symbols) isotherms of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2 at 273 K; (B) Q<sub>st</sub> for CO<sub>2</sub> of PAF-1, C-POFs and JUC-Z2.

## 9. High-pressure gas storage measurements



# 9-1. High-pressure hydrogen uptake

Fig. S11. Excess H<sub>2</sub> uptake of JUC-Z7 (black), JUC-Z8 (purple), JUC-Z9 (blue), JUC-

Z10 (olive) and JUC-Z2 (red) at 77 K.

## 9-2. High-pressure methane uptake



Fig. S12. Excess CH<sub>4</sub> uptake of JUC-Z7 (black), JUC-Z8 (blue), JUC-Z9 (purple), JUC-

Z10 (olive) and JUC-Z2 (red) at 298 K.



# 9-3. High-pressure carbon dioxide uptake

Fig. S13. Excess CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of JUC-Z7 (black), JUC-Z8 (purple), JUC-Z9 (blue), JUC-

Z10 (olive) and JUC-Z2 (red) at 298 K.

## **10. Reference**

- 1. W. Zhou, H. Wu, M. R. Hartman and T. Tildirim, J. Phys. Chem. C., 2007, 111, 16131.
- M. Grimm, B. Kirste and H. Kurreck, Angew. Chem., 1986, 98, 1095; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1986, 25, 1097.