Supporting Information for:

Long-term stability for cobalt-based dyesensitized solar cells obtained by electrolyte optimization

Jiajia Gao^a, Muthuraaman Bhagavathi Achari^a, Lars Kloo^{*a}

^a Division of Applied Physical Chemistry, Center for Molecular Devices, Department of Chemistry, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-100 44, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: Larsa@kth.se.

Experimental

Reagents

Acetonitrile (99.8%), 4-*tert*-butylpyridine (TBP, 96%) and $LiClO_4$ (99.99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The dye D35 and tris(2, 2'-bipyridine-2N, N') cobalt(II) bis(tetracyanoborate) (Co(bpy)₃[B(CN)₄]₂) and tris(2, 2'-bipyridine-2N, N') cobalt(III) tris(tetracyanoborate) (Co(bpy)₃[B(CN)₄]₃) were purchased from Dyenamo AB, Sweden. TiO₂ pastes (DSL 18NR-T and WER2-O) were obtained from Dyesol Ltd. All chemicals were used without further purification.

Device fabrication

The details of fabrication of solar cell devices have been reported previously.^{S1} A 2.0-2.5 µm thick layer of diluted TiO₂ paste (60 wt % TiO₂ paste [DSL 18NR-T, Dyesol] was mixed with 36 wt % terpineol and 4 wt % ethyl cellulose) was screen-printed with an active area of 0.5×0.5 cm² on a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrate (Pilkington, TEC15), which was pre-treated by first immersing them into a 40 mM aqueous TiCl₄ solution at 70 °C for 30 min, then washed with water and ethanol and finally sintered in an oven. Subsequently, a scattering layer [WER2-O, Dyesol] was screen-printed and a final film thickness of 5-5.5 um was obtained. The sintering process was conducted in ambient atmosphere according to the temperature gradient program: heating to 325 °C in 25 min, left at that temperature for 30 min and then heated further to 500 °C in 25 min, and finally cooled after being kept at the highest temperature for 30 min. Afterwards, the electrodes were treated by an aqueous TiCl₄ solution and rinsed once again, as described above. In the cooling step, when the prepared working electrodes had reached 80 °C, they were immersed into a 0.25 mM D35/ethanol dye bath solution kept in the dark for around 15 hours. The platinized counter electrodes (Pilkington, TEC15) were prepared by depositing 20 µl of 4.8 mM H₂PtCl₆ isopropanol solution to a pre-drilled FTO glass; the Pt films were sintered in air at 400 °C for 30 min. The sensitized electrodes and platinized counter electrodes were assembled into a sandwich-type structure using a 25 µm hot-melt Surlyn frame (Solaronix). The electrolyte was injected through a pre-drilled hole in the counter electrode under air pressure, and the hole was afterwards sealed with a 50 µm thermoplastic sheet and a glass coverslip.

Photovoltaic characteristics

A Newport solar simulator (model 91160-1000) was used to give an irradiation of up to 100 mW cm⁻² with AM 1.5 spectral distribution, which was calibrated using a certified reference solar cell (Fraunhofer ISE) before use. The current-voltage diagrams and characteristics including the overall conversion efficiency (η), short-circuit current density (J_{sc}), open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) and fill factor (ff) of the solar cells were recorded using a computerized Keithley model 2400 source/meter unit. In order to reduce the scattered light from the edge of the glass electrodes of the dyed TiO₂ layer and to ensure light falling on the active area of the device, light-shading metal mask (0.7 × 0.7 cm²) was applied on the top of the cell.

Electrochemical impedance measurements

Impedance measurements were conducted with Autolab PGstat12 potentiostat with an impedance module. The frequency was swept from 10^5 Hz to 0.1 Hz using 20 mV AC amplitude, with illumination provided by a 5W white Luxeon LED. Impedance was recorded under dark conditions with a bias applied voltage. Resistances and capacitances were analyzed by the software Z-View using an equivalent circuit model as commonly used.

Tool-box measurements

Extracted charge (Q_{oc}) , electron lifetime (τ_e) and transport time measurements were performed using a white LED (Luxeon Star 1W) as the light source. The methods of measurement have been reported previously.^{S2, 3} Voltage and current traces were recorded using a 16-bit resolution digital acquisition board (National Instruments) in combination with a current amplifier (Stanford Research Systems SR570) and a custom-made system using electromagnetic switches. Transport time and lifetime were determined by monitoring the photocurrent and the photovoltage transients at different light intensities upon applying a small square-wave modulation to the base light intensity. The photocurrent and photovoltaic responses were fitted using first-order kinetics in order to obtain the time constants. Extracted charge measurements were performed by illuminating the cell for 10 seconds under open-circuit conditions and then turning off the lamp to let the voltage decay to a certain value V. The cell was then short-circuited, and the current was measured under 0.1 s and integrated to obtain $Q_{oc.}$

Stability tests

Long-term stability tests were performed under continuous illumination (ATLAS Suntest XLS) at approximately 100 mW cm⁻² using a 400 nm UV cut-off filter with a temperature of 60°C in the sample compartment. Photovoltaic characteristics of the cells were determined out of the compartment at selected intervals in order to obtain the trend of changes over time.



Fig. S1 Efficiency variation of DSCs during a stability test under light-soaking conditions (1 sun illumination, 60 °C). Acetonitrile-based electrolytes with different concentrations of $Co(bpy)_3^{2+}/Co(bpy)_3^{3+}$: AN-Lo-L, 0.22 M/0.05 M (blue, square); AN-Hi0-L, 0.30 M/0.05 M (green, circle); AN-Hi1-L, 0.40 M/0.05 M (pink, triangle); AN-Hi-L, 0.30 M/0.15 M (red, diamond); AN-Hi2-L, 0.30 M/0.20M (orange, star).





Fig. S2 (a) Extracted charge under open-circuit conditions against V_{oc} and (b) electron lifetime in the TiO₂ conduction band against extracted charge of DSCs fabricated with the electrolytes **AN-Lo-L** (blue) and **AN-Hi-L** (red); recorded immediately (solid), 100 hours (dash) and 268 hours (dash dot) after fabrication during the stability test.



Fig. S3 The changes over time of (a) the charge-transfer resistance, R_{CT} , (b) diffusion resistance, R_{dif} and (c) charge-transfer resistance at the counter electrode, R_{CE} extracted from EIS data for DSCs based on the electrolytes **AN-Lo-L** (blue) and **AN-Hi-L** (red) measured during the stability test.



(a)



Fig. S4 Variations of V_{oc} , J_{sc} , *ff* for DSCs based on the electrolytes: **AN-Hi-L** (red, circle), **AN-Hi**(cyan, star) during the stability test under light-soaking conditions (1 sun illumination, 60 °C).







Fig. S6 Variation of the charge-transfer resistance, R_{CT} , extracted from EIS data of DSCs based on the **AN-Hi**-type electrolytes containing TBP of different concentration: 0 M (black, circle), 0.2 M (red, square), 0.5 M (blue, triangle), 0.7 M (green, diamond) measured during the stability test (Inset: Initial R_{CT} with various TBP concentrations.)

Table S1 Initial *J-V* characteristics of DSCs assembledwith various electrolytes containing differentconcentrations of TBP and measured under simulatedsunlight (AM 1.5 G, 1000 W/m²)

Electrolytes	AN-Hi	AN-Hi-type ^a			AN-Hi-L	AN-Lo-L
TBP concentration (mol/L)	0.2	0	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.2
J _{sc} (mA cm ⁻²)	10.3	2.2	9.5	9.7	11.6	10.8
$V_{oc}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$	0.88	0.61	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.91
ſſ	0.63	0.52	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.59
η (%)	5.7	0.7	5.3	5.5	6.4	5.8

 a **AN-Hi** and **AN-Hi**-type electrolytes only differ in the concentration of TBP. Other components are the same.

Table S2 *J-V* characteristics of DSC device containing TBPfree **AN-Hi**-type electrolyte measured at different stages of stability test.

		0 h	100 h	268 h	720 h	1000 h
	Ţ					
	J_{sc} (mA cm ⁻²)	2.2	5.7	6.9	9.3	9.5
s	$V_{oc}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$	0.61	0.64	0.62	0.61	0.59
1	ſſ	0.52	0.62	0.61	0.61	0.62
е	η (%)	0.7	2.2	2.6	3.5	3.5
1						

References

S1 M. Bhagavathi Achari, V. Elumalai, N. Vlachopoulos, M. Safdari, J. Gao, J. M. Gardner and L. Kloo, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2013, **15**, 17419-17425.

- S2 S. M. Feldt, E. A. Gibson, E. Gabrielsson, L. Sun, G. Boschloo and A. Hagfeldt, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2010, **132**, 16714-16724.
- S3 J. Nissfolk, K. Fredin, A. Hagfeldt and G. Boschloo, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2006, 110, 17715-17718.