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## 1 Experimentel Part

### 1.1 General Information

Caution: ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ is a weak $\beta^{-}$emitter. All experiments have to be done in laboratories approved for working with low-level radioactive materials.

All reactions were carried out under an inert $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ (Oak Ridge) and all other chemicals were of reagent grade and used without further purification. $\operatorname{Bis}(3,5-$ dimethyl-1 $H$-pyrazol-1-yl)acetic acid (Hbpza*) ${ }^{1}$, 1, $1^{\prime}, 1$ "-methanetriyltris(3,5-dimethyl1 H pyrazole) (tpzm*) $)^{2}$, as well as 1,3,5-trimethyl-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \text { tach }\right)^{3}$ have been prepared according to literature procedures. FT-IR spectra were measured as KBr pellets on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two spectrophotometer. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\operatorname{tpzm}{ }^{*}\right)\right] \mathrm{Br}$ was recorded on a Varian Mercury 200 MHz spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$, and ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR spectra of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\right.$ Me 3 tach $\left.)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ were recorded on a Bruker DRX500 $500 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were proton decoupled. Chemical shifts of ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ are referenced relative to the signal of a 0.1 M solution of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(\delta=0)$. HPLC analyses were performed on a Merck Hitachi LaChrom L 7100 pump coupled to a Merck Hitachi LaChrom L7200 tunable UV detector and a radiodetector, separated by a Teflon tube, which causes about a $0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~min}$ delay compared to $\mathrm{Uv} / \mathrm{vis}$ detection. Uv/vis detection was performed at 250 nm . The detection of radioactive ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ complexes was performed with a Berthold LB506 radiodetector equipped with a BGO-X gamma cell. Separations were achieved on a Macherey-Nagel C18 reversed-phase column (Nucleosil $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2504 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using a gradient $\mathrm{MeCN} / 50 \mathrm{mM}$ TEAP as eluent, and flow rates of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Gradient: $\mathrm{t}=0-3 \mathrm{~min}: 0 \%$ MeCN; 3-3.1 min: $0-25 \% \mathrm{MeCN} ; 3.1-9 \mathrm{~min}: 25 \% \mathrm{MeCN} ; 9-9.1 \mathrm{~min}: 25-34 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$; 9.1 - $12 \mathrm{~min}: 34 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$; $12 .-12.1 \mathrm{~min}: 34-100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}, 12.1-15 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$; $15-15.1 \mathrm{~min}: 100-0 \% \mathrm{MeCN} ; 15.1-18 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$.
1.2 Syntheses of ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ complexes

### 1.2.1 Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\right.$ (bipy) Br$]$

$\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right](40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bipy $(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 3 mL ), and the solution was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature. The product precipitated from the reaction solution as a yellow powder. Yield: $31 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$.
IR(KBr): 3104(w), 3056(w), 1597(m), 1495(m), 1471(m), 1443(m), 1311(m), 1248(w), 1226(w), 1174(w), 1156(w), 1072(w), 1063(w), 904(vs), 884(vs), 773(m), 728(m), 666(w), $656(\mathrm{w}), 648(\mathrm{w}) 452(\mathrm{w})$ and $421(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Data are in agreement with literature values.

### 1.2.2 Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\right.\right.$ bpza* $\left.\left.^{*}\right)\right]$

$\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right](36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Hbpza ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol ) were dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 3 mL ), and the solution was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature. The product precipitated from the reaction solution as a yellow powder. Yield: 33 mg (93\%).
IR (KBr): 1699(vs), 1675(vs), 1558(w), 1463(m), 1364(m), 1332(w), 1314(w), 1260(w), 1083(w), 1049(m), 904(vs), 896(vs), 821(w), 810(w), 765(w), 756(w), 715(w), 664(w), $651(\mathrm{w}), 636(\mathrm{w}), 484(\mathrm{w}), 464(\mathrm{w}), 426(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Data are in agreement with literature values.

### 1.2.3 Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\right.$ tpzm* $\left.)\right] \mathrm{Br}$

$\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right](36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and tpzm* $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 3 mL ) and the solution was stirred for 3 h at ambient temperature. The product was precipitated from the reaction solution by the addition of ether as a yellow powder. Yield: $38 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$.
IR(KBr): 3118(w), 2971(w), 1564(m), 1462(m), 1413(m), 1391(m), 1303(m), 1262(m), 1049(m), 905(vs), 895(vs), 858(m), 808(m), 788(w), 704(m), 668(w), 629(w), 533(w), 521(w), 493(w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hpz}), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ $2.54 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Data are in agreement with literature values.
1.2.4 Synthesis of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right.\right.$ tach $\left.)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\left([3]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\right)$
$\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right.\right.$ tach $\left.)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ was synthesized in an inert nitrogen atmosphere (glove box, Schlenck technique is possible, but with lower yield).
$\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right](40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an excess $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tach $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 1 ml of dry THF. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in 1 ml dry THF and the suspension was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture immediately changed
color from colorless to orange-brown and a yellow solid precipitated. The reaction mixture was filtered quickly to avoid the formation of side products. The yellow powder was washed with $2 \times 0.2 \mathrm{ml}$ dry diethylether and was dried under vacuum. The obtained crude product still contained small amounts of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$. Because of the high moisture sensitivity of $[3]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$, no further purification steps have been performed. Consequently, no yield (\%) and technetium content can be given. Yield: 13 mg . IR(KBr): 3440(m), 3372(m), 2981(m), 2955(m), $1616(\mathrm{w}), 1465(\mathrm{~m}), 1414(\mathrm{w}), 1381(\mathrm{w}), 1260(\mathrm{~m}), 1172(\mathrm{w}), 1104(\mathrm{~s}), 1003(\mathrm{~m}), 917(\mathrm{vs}), 902(\mathrm{vs})$, 839(m), 721(w), 694(w), 541(w), 525(w), $509(\mathrm{w}), 473(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-$ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{3}\right): \delta=4.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.07 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $40.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 67.60 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}\left(113 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right): \delta=362\left(v_{1 / 2}=913 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ( $v_{1 / 2}=52 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

```
1.3 Synthesis of [ }\mp@subsup{}{}{99m}\mp@subsup{\textrm{TcO}}{3}{}(\mathrm{ tacn )]}\mp@subsup{}{}{+
```


### 1.3.1 Polymer synthesis

Triphenylphosphine, polymer bound ( 0.5 g , loading: $\approx 3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$, Sigma-Aldrich) was suspended in DMF 6 ml and swollen for 3 h . The solvent was filtered and a solution of benzyl bromide ( $7.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.9 \mathrm{ml}$ ) in DMF ( 6 ml ) was added. The resulting suspension was reacted for 24 h . The suspension was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The obtained orange powder was dried in vacuo.

### 1.3.2 $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\operatorname{tacn})\right]^{+}$

Method a) A vial containing 10 mg methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide, polymer bound, 200-400 mesh (Sigma-Aldrich) was tightly closed and flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 min . 1,4,7triazacyclononane trihydrochloride ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $1 \mathrm{ml}\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$ eluate and neutralized with 1 M NaOH . The solution was added to the polymer and the mixture was heated for 1 h at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was cooled and the resin filtered. Yield: $64 \%$, radiochemical purity $\geq 97 \%$.

Method b) A vial containing 10 mg benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromid, polymer bound, was tightly closed and flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 min . 1,4,7-triazacyclononane trihydrochloride $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $1 \mathrm{ml}\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$eluate and neutralized with 1 M NaOH . The solution was added to the polymer and the mixture was heated for 1 h at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was cooled and the resin filtered. Yield: $88 \%$, radiochemical purity $>99 \%$.

### 1.3.3 $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{styrSO}_{3}\right)(\right.$ tacn $\left.)\right]$ synthesis ("one-pot")

A vial containing 10 mg benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromid, polymer bound, was tightly closed and flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 min . 1,4,7-triazacyclononane trihydrochloride ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ mmol ) and 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $1 \mathrm{ml}\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$ eluate and neutralized with 1 M NaOH . The solution was added to the polymer and the mixture was heated for 1 h at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was cooled and the resin filtered. Yield: $40 \%$, radiochemical purity $>93 \%$.

## 2 Supporting Information

2.1 Homogenous $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\operatorname{tacn})\right]^{+}$formation

Table ESI 2.1.1: Comparison of the $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\operatorname{tacn})\right]^{+}$formation by using different phosphonium salts to activate $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$.

| Phosphonium salt | Yield of ${ }^{99 m} \mathbf{T c O}_{\mathbf{3}}$ (tacn) $]^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Bz}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Et}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu} u_{4}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) \mathrm{I}$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{BzCOOMe}\right) \mathrm{Br}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{PPhF}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{I}$ | $20 \%$ |

2.2 Heterogeneous $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\text { tacn })\right]^{+}$formation


Figure ESI 2.2.1: HPLC trace (TEAP gradient) of the reaction solution before (dashed line) and after the reaction (solid line) of [ $\left.{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$with $\mathrm{PS}-\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right] \mathrm{I}$ and tacn $\left(1 \mathrm{~h}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}=\right.$ 7). Yield: $64 \%\left(3 \%\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right.$remained in solution).


Figure ESI 2.2.2: HPLC trace (TEAP gradient) of the reaction solution before (dashed line) and after the reaction (solid line) of $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$with $\mathrm{PS}-\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right] \mathrm{I}$ and tacn $\left(10 \mathrm{~min}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\mathrm{pH}=7$, microwave reaction.). Yield: $78 \%\left(0.5 \%\left[\mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right.$remained in solution $)$.


Figure ESI 2.2.3: $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\text { tacn })\right]^{+}$yield vs. 1,4,7-triazacyclononaen concentration. Reaction conditions: $1 \mathrm{ml}\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$eluat, 15 mg PS-[ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right] \mathrm{I}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (thermal heating).


Figure ESI 2.2.4: $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\operatorname{tacn})\right]^{+}$yield vs. $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$concentration. Reaction conditions: 1 $\left.\mathrm{ml}{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$eluat, 13 mg PS-[ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Bz}\right] \mathrm{Br}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (thermal heating).
$2.3\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{styrSO}_{3}\right)(\right.$ tacn $\left.)\right]$ ("one-pot")


Figure ESI 2.3.1: HPLC trace ( $\gamma$-detection, TEAP gradient) of the "one-pot" reaction, before (dashed line) and after the reaction (solid line) of $\left[{ }^{99 m} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$with $\mathrm{PS}-\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{Bz}\right] \mathrm{I}$, tacn, and sodium 4-vinylbenzenesulfonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{styrSO}_{3}\right)\right.$, $\left.1 \mathrm{~h}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}=7\right)$. Yield: $40 \%(4 \%$ $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$and $3 \%\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\text { tacn })\right]^{+}$remained in solution). In comparison to other HPLC traces in this report the [ $\left.{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$peak shifted to 9 min , because of a new HPLC column,
$2.4{ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMRs of the $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tach}\right)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\left([3]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\right)$ synthesis


Figure ESI 2.4.1: ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ before (black line, $v_{1 / 2}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and after the addition of 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PBr}_{2}$ (red line, $v_{1 / 2}=229 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).


Figure ESI 2.4.2: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR of the reaction solution (THF) of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1 / 2}=110 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.


Figure ESI 2.4.3: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR of the reaction solution (THF) of [ $\left.{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$, 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PBr}_{2}$, and an excess $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tach. The signal at $25.51\left(v_{1 / 2}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ can be assigned as $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$. In this reaction the mixed anhydride $\left\{\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{BrP-O}-{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\right\}$ has been transformed into $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right.\right.$ tach $\left.)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\left([3]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$.


Figure ESI 2.4.4: ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR of compound $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tach}\right)\right]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\left([3]\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\right)$. Integral rate $\left.1: 1\left(\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tach}\right)\right]^{+}:{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right)$.
2.5 Stability studies of $\left[{ }^{99(\mathrm{~m})} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\text { tacn })\right]^{+}$


Figure ESI 2.5.1: ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMRs of a solution of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\text { tacn })\right]^{+}$dissolved in saline $(\mathrm{pH} 7$, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) at a) $\left.\mathrm{t}=0 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \mathrm{t}=4 \mathrm{~h}$, and c) $\mathrm{t}=7 \mathrm{~h}$.


Figure ESI 2.5.2: Formation of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$in a solution of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\operatorname{tacn})\right]^{+}$dissolved in saline $\left(\mathrm{pH} 7,95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, distinguished by integration of the ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR signals at $\mathrm{t}=0,4\left(18 \%\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right.$ ), and $7 \mathrm{~h}\left(29 \%\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right)$.


Figure ESI 2.5.3: HPLC traces ( $\gamma$-detection, TEAP gradient) of the reaction solution of the $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\mathrm{tacn})\right]^{+}$synthesis $\left(\mathrm{pH} 7,95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at a$\left.) \mathrm{t}=0 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \mathrm{t}=1 \mathrm{~h}$, and c$) \mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{~h}$. In comparison to ohter HPLC traces in this report the $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}$peak got shifted to 10 min , because of a new HPLC column,


Figure ESI 2.5.4: Formation of $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]$ in the reaction solution of the $\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}(\mathrm{tacn})\right]^{+}$ synthesis $\left(\mathrm{pH} 7,95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, distinguished by HPLC at $\mathrm{t}=0\left(1 \%\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right), 1\left(12 \%\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]^{-}\right)$, and $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(15 \%\left[{ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{TcO}_{4}\right]\right)$.

## 3 Crystallographic Details

Crystallographic data were collected at $183(2) \mathrm{K}$ with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ) using a graphite monochromator on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur system with a Ruby detector. A Suitable crystal was covered with oil (Infineum V8512, formerly known as Paratone N), mounted on top of a glass fiber and immediately transferred to the diffractometer. The program suite CrysAlis Pro was used for data collection, semi-empirical absorption correction, and data reduction. ${ }^{4}$ More details on data collection and structure calculations are contained in table ESI2. The structure was solved with direct methods using SIR97 ${ }^{5}$ and was refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELXL-97. The refinement has been done with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The positions of the hydrogen atoms have been calculated using the 'riding model' option of SHELXL97. ${ }^{6}$

Table ESI 3.1: Data collection and structure calculation details.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[99 \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tach}\right)\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TcOBr}_{4}\right][\mathrm{TcO} 4] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \\ & {[3]_{2}\left[\mathrm{TcOBr}_{4}\right][\mathrm{TcO} 4] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Br}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Tc}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 1234.95 |
| Space group | P $\overline{1}$ |
| Temperature / K | 183 |
| $a / \AA$ Å | 10.6731 (3) |
| $b / \AA$ | 12.5422 (4) |
| $c / \AA$ | 13.5332 (3) |
| $\alpha /^{\circ}$ | 109.775 (3) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 97.616 (2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.984 (3) |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 6.65 |
| Z | 2 |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 1662.62 (8) |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 2.459 |
| Crystal description | orange block |
| $\Theta$ range [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 2.8 to 30.5 |
|  | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15$ |
| Index ranges | $-17<=\mathrm{k}<=17$ |
|  | $-19<=1<=15$ |
| Refl collected | 34931 |
| Indep refl | 10118[R(int) $=0.040$ ] |
| Refl obs I > $2 \sigma$ (I) | 9012 |
| Compl to theta | $99.7 \%$ to $30.51^{\circ}$ |
| Max. and min. transm | 0.398 and 0.196 |
| Restraints / param | 0 / 367 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.06 |
| Final diff $\rho_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-/} \AA^{3}\right)$ | 1.05 and -1.45 |
| R1 ${ }^{\text {a,c } \mathrm{c}}$ | 0.029 |
| wR2 ${ }^{\text {b, c }}$ | 0.069 |
| CCDC | 976753 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{R} 1=\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\| /\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\| ;{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2=\left[w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} /\left(w \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} ;{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sig}(\mathrm{I})$ |



Figure ESI 3.1: Crystal structure of $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right.\right.$ tach $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{TcOBr}_{4}\right][\mathrm{TcO} 4] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

Table ESI3:Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for $\left[{ }^{99} \mathrm{TcO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right.\right.$ tach $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{TcOBr}_{4}\right][\mathrm{TcO} 4] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

| Tc1-O1 1.697 (2) | Tc2-O4 1.692 (2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tc1-O2 1.684 (3) | Tc2-O5 1.694 (2) |
| Tc1-O3 1.687 (2) | Tc2-O6 1.700 (2) |
| Tc1-N1 2.265 (2) | Tc2-N4 2.279 (2) |
| Tc1-N2 2.274 (2) | Tc2-N5 2.280 (2) |
| Tc1-N3 2.281 (2) | Tc2-N6 2.276 (2) |
| O1-Tc1-O2 109.32 (14) | O4-Tc2-O5 109.64 (12) |
| O1-Tc1-O3 108.84 (12) | O4-Tc2-O6 108.83 (12) |
| O2-Tc1-O3 109.06 (13) | O5-Tc2-O6 109.62 (11) |
| N1-Tc1-N2 60.12 (8) | N4-Tc2-N5 59.84(8) |
| N1-Tc1-N3 60.40 (9) | N4-Tc2-N6 60.18 (8) |
| N2-Tc1-N3 59.85 (9) | N5-Tc2-N6 60.12 (8) |
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