Electronic Supplementary Information

Modulation of argentophilic interaction by bridging amine ligand: tuneable photoluminescence by excitation energy or temperature

Ji Zheng, Ya-Dong Yu, Fang-Fang Liu, Bao-Yu Liu, Gang Wei and Xiao-Chun Huang* Department of Chemistry, Shantou University, Guangdong, 515063, P. R. China. E-mail: xchuang@stu.edu.cn

Contents

Experimental Section	
Materials and physical measurements	S3
Synthesis of the complexes	S3
Crystal Data Section	S4
Table S1 Data summary	S5
Table S2 Bond lengths and angles	S6
Structural Description Section	S9
The analysis of coordination environment around Ag atoms	S9
Fig. S1 The coordination environments around Ag atoms	S9
Table S3 The comparison of geometry and the MBO	S10
Table S4 The selected bond lengths, bond angles and MBO of complex 2-4	S10
The supramolecular interactions and packing patterns	S12
Table S5 Supramolecular interactions of 1 and 2	S12
Fig. S2 Supramolecular interactions of (a) 1 and (b) 2	S12
Fig. S3 The packing view of 1 (a) and 2 (b)	S12
<i>Fig. S4 The 1D chain of 3 (a) and 4 (b)</i>	S13
Table S6 Hydrogen bonds of 3 and 4	S13
Fig. S5 The packing view of 3 (a) and 4 (b) through hydrogen bonds	S14
Physical Measurement Section	S15
Fig. S6 PXRD patterns	S15
Fig. S7 The room-temperature solid state UV-Vis absorption spectra	S16
Fig. S8 The photographs of crystals	S16
Fig. S9 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of 1	S17
Fig. S10 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of 2	S17
Fig. S11 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of 3	S18
Fig. S12 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of 4	S18
Fig. S13 Selected luminescence decay of 1	<i>S19</i>
Fig. S14 Selected luminescence decay of 2	<i>S19</i>
Fig. S15 Selected luminescence decay of 3	S20
Fig. S16 Selected luminescence decay of 4	S20
Table S7 The emission life times ($ au$) of 1-4	S21
Fig. S17 The luminescent spectra of 1 before and after grinding	S21

Fig. S18 CIE for 1-4	S22
Fig. S19 The room temperature solid state photoluminescent spectrum of 1	S22
DFT Calculation Section	S22
Computation details	S22
The assignment analysis of LE absorptions for complex 1-4	S23
Fig. S20 The simulated UV-Vis absorption spectra	S25
Table S8 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 1 by TD-DFT	S25
Table S9 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 2 by TD-DFT	S26
Table S10 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 3 by TD-DFT	S28
Table S11 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 4 by TD-DFT	S30
Fig. S21 Selected molecular orbitals for complex 1	\$32
Fig. S22 Selected molecular orbitals for complex 2	\$32
Fig. S23 Selected molecular orbitals for complex 3	\$33
Fig. S24 Selected molecular orbitals for complex 4	\$33
Table S12 Orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals for 1	S34
Table S13 Orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals for 2	S34
Table S14 Orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals for 3	\$34
Table S15 Orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals for 4	S34
Table S16 Orbital energy of HOMO, LUMO, and HOMO-LUMO gaps	S35
Fig. S25 SOMOs for the T_1 state of complex 1	\$35
Table S17 Orbital energy levels of SOMOs of T_1 state for 1	S35
Table S18 The orbital compositions of 1 based on different models	\$35
Table S19 The orbital compositions of 2 based on different models	836
Table S20 The orbital compositions of S_0 states of 3 and 4	S36
Table S21 The orbital compositions of T_1 states of 3 and 4	S36
References	S36

Experimental Section

Materials and Physical Measurements

All the commercially available reagents were used as received without further purification. Microwave-assisted solvothermal syntheses were carried out in a microwave oven (Initiator 8 EXP, 2450 MHz frequency, Biotage Corp). UV-Vis absorption spectra were collected by Lambda 950 UV/Vis spectrometer (KBr pellets). Photoluminescent properties were determined by a Edinburgh Analytical instrument FLS920. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Avatar 360 FTIR spectrometer in the range of 4000-400cm⁻¹ (KBr pellets). The phase purity of each complex was checked by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) with Cu Kα radiation (1.5418 Å) on a Rigaku D/M-2200T automated diffractometer.

Synthesis of the complexes:

[Ag₂(Hpidc)(NH₃)₂] (**1**): A mixture of AgNO₃ (0.2 mmol, 0.0340 g), H₃pidc (0.1 mmol, 0.0233 g), MeCN (6.0 mL) and aqueous ammonia (25%, 1.0 mL) was sealed in a 15-mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave, heated at 120 °C for three days, and then slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 6 °C/h. Pale yellow block crystals of **1** were obtained in *ca*. 85% yield based on H₃pidc. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₀H₁₁Ag₂N₅O₄: C 24.97, H 2.31,N 14.56; found: C 24.87, H 2.26,N 14.63; IR (KBr): IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3319(m), 3170(m), 1557(s), 1598(s), 1478(s), 1416(s), 1366(s), 1262(m), 1200(s), 1146(m), 1117(m), 1001(m), 865(m), 798(w), 628(w)_o

 $[Ag_2(Hpidc)(NH_3)_2]_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (2): A solution of AgNO₃ (1.0 mmol, 0.170 g) in MeCN (5.0 mL) was added to a solution of H₃pidc (0.05 mmol, 0.117 g) in aqueous ammonia (25%, 10 mL). The resulting clear solution was left standing in the dark for several hours to give colorless needle crystals in *ca*. 85% yield based on H₃pidc. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₀H₂₆Ag₄N₁₄O₁₀ : C 24.07, H 2.63, N 19.65; found: C 24.03, H 2.67, N 19.61 IR (KBr): IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3319(m), 3170(m), 1557(s), 1598(s), 1478(s), 1416(s), 1366(s), 1262(m), 1200(s), 1146(m), 1117(m), 1001(m), 865(m), 798(w), 628(w)

 $[Ag_2(Hpidc)(en)]_2$ (3): A mixture of AgNO₃ (0.3 mmol, 0.0510 g), H₃pidc (0.1 mmol, 0.0233 g), MeCN (24.0 mL) and 0.5 mL of 1,2-ethylenediamine anhydrous was sealed in a 60-mL Teflon-lined autoclave. The mixture was heated at 120 °C by microwave under autogenous pressure for 140 min, and then cooled to room temperature. Colorless columnar crystals of **3** were

obtained in *ca.* 45% yield based on H₃pidc. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₂H₁₃Ag₂N₅O₄: C 28.43, H 2.58, N 13.81; found: C 28.41, H 2.54, N 13.84; IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3319(m), 3170(m), 1631(s), 1590(s), 1507(s), 1411(s), 1362(s), 1262(m), 1150(s), 1117(m), 997(m), 843(m), 794(m), 706(w), 623(w).

[Ag₄(Hpidc)₂(pn)₂] (**4**): A mixture of AgNO₃ (0.1 mmol, 0.0170 g), H₃pidc (0.5 mmol, 0.0117 g), MeCN (3.0 mL), H₂O(3.0 mL) and 1,3-propanediamine (98%, 5 drops) was sealed in a 15mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and heated at 100 °C for 24 h, and then slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 2 °C/h. Yellow block crystals of **4** were obtained in *ca*. 55% yield based on H₃pidc. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₆H₃₀Ag₄N₁₀O₈: C 29.97, H2.90, N 13.44; found: C 29.94, H2.92, N 13.46; IR (KBr): IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3319(m), 3141(m), 1631(s), 1577(s), 1503(s), 1461(s), 1366(s), 1258(m), 1117(s), 1001(m), 847(m), 789(m), 740(m), 702(w), 619(w)₀

Crystal Data Section

All single crystals of these four complexes were carefully selected under an optical microscope and glued to thin glass fibers. Structural measurements were performed on a computer-controlled Siemens Smart CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Absorption corrections were applied by using the multiscan program SADABS. Structural solutions and full-matrix least-square refinements based on F^2 were performed with the SHELX-97 program packages, respectively. Anisotropic thermal parameters were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. All the hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. Crystal data as well as details of data collection and refinements for the complexes are summarized in Table S1. Selected structural characteristics are given in Table S2.

	1 (298k)	1 (100k)	2 (298k)
Empirical formula	$C_{10}H_{11}Ag_2N_5O_4$	$C_{10}H_{11}Ag_2N_5O_4$	$C_{20}H_{26}Ag_4N_{14}O_{10}$
Formula weight	480.98 480.98		997.99
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.4687(8)	10.4635(4)	6.8790(8)
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.6970(10)	12.5188(3)	11.7678(14)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.0554(8)	11.0115(4)	17.321(2)
β (deg)	115.1220(10)	115.202(4)	92.741(2)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1330.491(17)	1305.10(8)	1400.5(3)
Ζ	4	4	2
Temperature (K)	298(2)	100(2)	298(2)
$D_{\text{calcd}}(\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3})$	2.401	2.448	2.367
$R_{ m int}$	0.0224	0.0227	0.0280
GOF	1.107	1.089	1.003
$R_1^{a}[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0327	0.0326	0.0306
wR_2^{b} (all data)	0.0843	0.1312	0.0958
$\rho_{\rm fin}$ (max/min) (eÅ ⁻³)	0.859/-0.505	1.724/-0.895	0.497/-0.641
	2 (100k)	3	4
Empirical formula	$C_{20}H_{26}Ag_4N_{14}O_{10}\\$	$C_{24}H_{26}Ag_4N_{10}O_8$	$C_{26}H_{30}Ag_4N_{10}O_8\\$
Formula weight	997.99	1014.03	1042.08
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/c$
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.7585(2)	8.9949(4)	14.2975(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	11.7522(5)	14.1156(6)	11.9979(6)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.2702(6)	11.5353(6)	19.3757(7)
β (deg)	92.669(3)	104.168(5)	109.377(4)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1370.24(9)	1420.07(11)	3135.4(2)

 Table S1 Crystallographic data and structure refinement of 1 - 4.

Ζ	2	2	4
Temperature (K)	100(2)	298(2)	298(2)
$D_{\text{calcd}}(\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3})$	2.419	2.371	2.208
$R_{ m int}$	0.0350	0.0413	0.0375
GOF	1.003	1.018	0.999
$R_1^{a}[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0288	0.0398	0.0754
wR_2^{b} (all data)	0.0651	0.0939	0.2342
$\rho_{\rm fin}({\rm max/min})({\rm e}{\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.646/-0.864	0.600/-0.713	3.572/-2.295

^a $R_1 = \sum |F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0|;$ ^b $wR_2 = \{ [\sum w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_0^2)^2] \}^{1/2};$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, where $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2]/3$ for all data.

Table S2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) of $1 \sim 4$.

Complex 1 (298k)				
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	3. 571(6)	Ag(1)-N(5)	2.128(4)	
Ag(1)-N(2)	2.137(3)	Ag(1)-N(1)	2.663(4)	
Ag(1)-O(3)	3.009(3)	Ag(2)-N(4)	2.136(4)	
Ag(2)-N(3)	2.163(3)	Ag(2)-O(1)	2.593(3)	
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(2)	167.87(14)	N(1)-Ag(1)-O(3)	131.94(10)	
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(1)	120.96(13)	N(4)-Ag(2)-N(3)	168.98(14)	
N(2)-Ag(1)-N(1)	69.00(12)	N(4)-Ag(2)-O(1)	116.22(14)	
N(5)-Ag(1)-O(3)	107.10(12)	N(3)-Ag(2)-O(1)	71.24(11)	
N(2)-Ag(1)-O(3)	63.31(11)			
	Complex 1 (100k)			
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	3.515(6)	Ag(1)-N(5)	2.131(5)	
Ag(1)-N(2)	2.140(4)	Ag(1)-N(1)	2.679(5)	
Ag(1)-O(3)	2.977(3)	Ag(2)-N(4)	2.136(5)	
Ag(2)-N(3)	2.152(4)	Ag(2)-O(1)	2.601(4)	
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(2)	168.19(17)	N(1)-Ag(1)-O(3)	132.31(13)	
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(1)	120.16(16)	N(4)-Ag(2)-N(3)	169.24(17)	
N(2)-Ag(1)-N(1)	68.95(16)	N(4)-Ag(2)-O(1)	115.26(15)	

N(5)-Ag(1)-O(3)	107.54(14)	N(3)-Ag(2)-O(1)	71.26(13)
N(2)-Ag(1)-O(3)	63.83(14)		
	Complex	x 2 (298k)	
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	3.054(6)	Ag(1)-N(3)	2.147(4)
Ag(1)-N(4)	2.158(4)	Ag(1)-O(4)	2.675(4)
Ag(2)-N(5)	2.141(4)	Ag(2)-N(2)	2.164(4)
Ag(2)-N(1)	2.485(4)	Ag(2)-O(1)	3.145(4)
N(3)-Ag(1)-N(4)	172.72(15)	N(2)-Ag(2)-N(1)	71.88(13)
N(3)-Ag(1)-O(4)	69.71(12)	N(5)-Ag(2)-O(1)	106.16(14)
N(4)-Ag(1)-O(4)	117.43(14)	N(2)-Ag(2)-O(1)	60.20(11)
N(5)-Ag(2)-N(2)	163.33(16)	N(1)-Ag(2)-O(1)	131.68(11)
N(5)-Ag(2)-N(1)	122.13(15)		
	Complex	x 2 (100k)	
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	3.0131(5)	Ag(1)-N(3)	2.133(4)
Ag(1)-N(4)	2.143(4)	Ag(1)-O(4)	2.687(3)
Ag(2)-N(5)	2.142(4)	Ag(2)-N(2)	2.165(4)
Ag(2)-N(1)	2.476(4)	Ag(2)-O(1)	3.143(3)
N(3)-Ag(1)-N(4)	173.30(14)	N(2)-Ag(2)-N(1)	71.94(13)
N(3)-Ag(1)-O(4)	69.81(12)	N(5)-Ag(2)-O(1)	105.48(13)
N(4)-Ag(1)-O(4)	116.72(13)	N(2)-Ag(2)-O(1)	60.32(11)
N(5)-Ag(2)-N(2)	162.71(15)	N(1)-Ag(2)-O(1)	131.82(11)
N(5)-Ag(2)-N(1)	122.67(14)		
	Co	mplex 3	
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	2.947(7)	Ag(1)-Ag(1)#2	3.191(9)
Ag(1)-N(2)	2.211(4)	Ag(2)-N(3)	2.176(4)
Ag(1)-N(5)#1	2.207(4)	Ag(2)-N(4)	2.169(4)
Ag(1)-N(1)	2.555(5)	Ag(2)-O(3)	2.593(4)
Ag(1)-O(2)	3.229(4)		
N(2)-Ag(1)-N(5)#1	158.96(17)	N(1)-Ag(1)-O(2)	129.67(13)

N(2)-Ag(1)-N(1)	70.69(15)	N(3)-Ag(2)-N(4)	166.74(18)
N(5)#1-Ag(1)-N(1)	119.17(16)	N(3)-Ag(2)-O(3)	71.51(15)
N(2)-Ag(1)-O(2)	59.05(13)	N(4)-Ag(2)-O(3)	115.55(16)
N(5)#1-Ag(1)-O(2)	109.30(15)		
	Сог	mplex 4	
Ag(1)- $Ag(4)$	4.172(2)	Ag(2)-Ag(3)	3.8403(18)
Ag(3)-Ag(4)#1	3.2362(18)	Ag(1)-N(5)	2.122(8)
Ag(1)-N(10)	2.141(13)	Ag(1)-O(1)	2.589(9)
Ag(2)-N(2)	2.135(8)	Ag(2)-N(8)	2.145(10)
Ag(2)-O(7)	2.601(9)	Ag(3)-N(4)	2.133(9)
Ag(3)-N(7)	2.132(12)	Ag(3)-N(6)	2.814(11)
Ag(3)-O(4)	2.888(10)	Ag(4)-N(1)	2.142(9)
Ag(4)-N(9)	2.149(9)	Ag(4)-O(8)	2.813(11)
Ag(4)-N(3)	2.873(12)		
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(10)	169.5(4)	N(4)-Ag(3)-O(4)	65.7(3)
N(5)-Ag(1)-O(1)	71.8(3)	N(7)-Ag(3)-O(4)	105.2(4)
N(10)-Ag(1)-O(1)	111.7(4)	N(6)-Ag(3)-O(4)	129.3(3)
N(2)-Ag(2)-N(8)	173.4(4)	N(1)-Ag(4)-N(9)	173.4(4)
N(2)-Ag(2)-O(7)	71.3(3)	N(1)-Ag(4)-O(8)	66.6(3)
N(8)-Ag(2)-O(7)	113.7(4)	N(9)-Ag(4)-O(8)	110.8(3)
N(4)-Ag(3)-N(7)	170.8(4)	N(1)-Ag(4)-N(3)	65.4(3)
N(4)-Ag(3)-N(6)	65.5(3)	N(9)-Ag(4)-N(3)	117.8(4)
N(7)-Ag(3)-N(6)	122.9(4)	O(8)-Ag(4)-N(3)	131.3(3)

Symmetry code: #1 -x,-y,-z+1 (1); #1 -x,-y,-z (2); #1 -x,-y,-z+1 #2 -x+1,-y,-z+1(3); #1 x,-y+3/2, z+1/2 (4).

Structural Description Section





Fig. S1 The coordination environments around silver atoms in (a) **1**, (b) **2**, (c) **3** and (d) (e) **4**.

By analyzing the X-ray diffraction data of the complex **1**, both the N_{am} -Ag- N_{im} angles of Ag1 and Ag2 are near 180°, and the bond length of Ag- N_{py} is significantly longer than the Ag- N_{im} and Ag- N_{am} , suggesting that the coordinate geometry of Ag atoms should be linear two-coordinate (The N atom from imidazolyl and pyridinyl of Hpidc²⁻ are denoted as N_{im} and N_{py} , respectively. The N atom from auxiliary amine ligand is denoted as N_{am} . The O atom from carboxyl of Hpidc²⁻ is denoted as O_{COO}^- .).

After optimization (Table S3), the N_{am} -Ag- N_{im} angles are still nearly 180° and the Ag- N_{im} and Ag- N_{am} are much shorter than the Ag- N_{py} . Also, the Mayer Bond Order (MBO) of Ag- N_{im} and Ag- N_{am} are significantly larger than those of Ag- N_{py} as well as of Ag- O_{COO}^- . Overall, the Ag atoms of complex **1** are mainly linear two-coordinate but perturbed by N_{py} or O_{COO}^- atom (See DFT Calculation Section for computational details).

The coordination environments around Ag atoms of complex 2-4 are also analyzed according to

the crystal structures and the MBO calculated based on their crystal structures, respectively (Table S4-S6). Similar to 1, all the N_{am} -Ag- N_{im} angles are near 180°, especially for 4. What's more, the bond lengths of Ag- N_{im} and Ag- N_{am} are shorter than that of Ag- N_{py} and the MBO of Ag- N_{im} and Ag- N_{am} are significantly larger than that of Ag- N_{py} of as well as of Ag- O_{COO}^- .

In summary of this part, all the Ag atoms in complex 1-4 are mainly linear two-coordinate but perturbed by N_{py} or O_{COO-} atom.

before and after optimization and the MBO based on the optimized geometry.			
	Crystal Structure	Optimized Geometry	MBO
Ag1-N1	2.663(4)	2.923	0.1354
Ag1-N2	2.137(3)	2.144	0.4154
Ag1-N5	2.128(4)	2.213	0.4011
Ag1-O3	3.009(3)	2.636	0.2023
Ag2-N3	2.163(3)	2.170	0.4456

2.136(4)

2.593(3)

167.87(14)

168.98(14)

Ag2-N4

Ag2-01

N5-Ag1-N2

N4-Ag2-N3

2.215

2.368

165.08

176.40

0.4053

0.3255

None

None

Table S3 The comparison of geometry (bond length in Å and bond angle in °) of complex **1** before and after optimization and the MBO based on the optimized geometry.

Table S4 The selected bond length (in Å), bond angle (in $^{\circ}$) and MBO of complex 2-4.

Complex 2			
	Structural parameter	MBO	
Ag(1)-Ag(2)#1	3.054(6)	0.1065	
Ag2-N1	2.485(4)	0.2494	
Ag2-N2	2.164(4)	0.3772	
Ag2-N5	2.141(4)	0.3940	
Ag2-O1	3.145(4)	0.1073	
Ag1-N3	2.147(4)	0.4357	
Ag1-N4	2.158(4)	0.4063	
Ag1-O4	2.675(4)	0.2976	
N5-Ag2-N2	163.33(16)	None	
N3-Ag1-N4	172.72(15)	None	
Complex 3			
	Structural parameter	MBO	
Ag(2)-Ag(1)#1	2.947(7)	0.1028	
Ag1-N1	2.555(5)	0.2475	
Ag1-N2	2.211(4)	0.4009	
Ag1-N5	2.207(4)	0.3933	
Ag1-O2	3.229(4)	0.0831	

Ag2-N3	2.176(4)	0.4157
Ag2-N4	2.169(4)	0.3990
Ag2-O3	2.593(4)	0.2836
N2-Ag1-N5	158.96(17)	None
N3-Ag2-N4	166.74(18)	None
	Complex 4	
	Structural parameter	MBO
Ag1-Ag4	4.172(2)	None
Ag2-Ag3	3.8403(18)	None
Ag3-N6	2.814(11)	0.1501
Ag3-N4	2.133(9)	0.3998
Ag3-N7	2.132(12)	0.3888
Ag3-O4	2.888(10)	0.1759
Ag1-N5	2.122(8)	0.4535
Ag1-N10	2.141(13)	0.4163
Ag1-O1	2.589(9)	0.3184
N4-Ag3-N7	170.8(4)	None
N5-Ag1-N10	169.5(4)	None
Ag4-N3	2.873(12)	0.1553
Ag4-N1	2.142(9)	0.4177
Ag4-N9	2.149(9)	0.4140
Ag4-O8	2.813(11)	0.1840
Ag2-N2	2.135(8)	0.4497
Ag2-N8	2.145(10)	0.4306
Ag2-O7	2.601(9)	0.2730
N1-Ag4-N9	173.4(4)	None
N2-Ag2-N8	173.4(4)	None

Symmetry code: #1 -x,-y,-z (2); #1 -x,-y,-z+1 #2 -x+1,-y,-z+1(3).

The supramolecular interactions and packing patterns

	Intratetraunit Interactions (Å) (298k/100k)			Intertetraunit (2)	t Interactions Å) 8 K)
	Ag-Ag	π-π	Ag-π	Hydrogen Bond	π-π
1	3.571/3.515	3.582/3.500	3.161/3.140	3.005-3.147	None
2	3.054/3.013	3.667/3.601	3.654/3.586	2.793-3.408	3.851

 Table S5 Supramolecular interactions of 1 and 2.



Fig. S2 Supramolecular interactions of (a) 1 and (b) 2.



Fig. S3 The packing view of 1 (a) and 2 (b).



Fig. S4 The 1D chain of **3** (a) and **4** (b).

	Hydrogen bond	Bond Length (Å)	Bond Angle (°)
	N4-H4B…O1#1	3.195	170.83
	N5-H5A…O2#1	3.113	140.21
3	N4-H4C…O3#2	3.023	148.58
	N4-H4C…O4#2	3.229	126.33
	N7-H7B…N1 #1	3.412	169.83
	N7-H7B…N3 #1	3.139	117.31
	N7-H7C…O7 #2	2.911	162.39
	N8-H8B…O4 #2	2.987	171.46
4	N8-H8C…O6 #3	3.029	147.52
4	N9-H9B…N4 #4	3.305	166.18
	N9-H9C…O1 #5	2.976	165.37
	N10-H10A…O8 #5	2.934	164.98
	N10-H10B…O3 #6	3.067	153.84

Table S6 Hydrogen bonds of **3** and **4**.

Symmetry code: #1 -x,y-1/2,-z+3/2 #2 x,-y-1/2,z-1/2 (**3**); #1 x,-y+3/2,z-1/2 #2 -x,-y+1,-z #3 -x,y+1/2,-z+1/2 #4 x,-y+3/2,z+1/2 #5 -x+1,-y+1,-z+1 #6 -x+1,y+1/2,-z+1/2(**4**).



Fig. S5 The packing view of **3** (a) and **4** (b) through hydrogen bonds.



Fig. S6 Simulated (black) and experimental (red for as-synthesized and blue for after grinding) PXRD patterns of **1** (a), **2** (b), **3** (c) and **4** (d).



Fig. S7 The room-temperature solid state UV-Vis absorption spectra of H_3 pidc and complex 1 - 4.



Fig. S8 The photographs of complex 1 - 4 under ambient light.



Fig. S9 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of **1** at (a) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ nm; (b) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 280$ nm; (c) 15 K upon different excitation light; (d) 295 K upon different excitation light.



Fig. S10 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of 2 at (a) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ nm; (b) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 280$ nm; (c) 15 K upon different excitation light; (d) 295 K upon different excitation light.



Fig. S11 The temperature-dependant solid state photoluminescent spectra of **3** at (a) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ nm; (b) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 280$ nm; (c) 15 K upon different excitation light; (d) 295 K upon different excitation light.



Fig. S12 The temperature-dependent solid state photoluminescent spectra of **4** at (a) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ nm; (b) different temperature upon $\lambda_{ex} = 280$ nm; (c) 15 K upon different excitation light; (d) 295 K upon different excitation light.





(c) T = 15 K, $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 400$ nm



(d) T = 15 K, $\lambda_{ex} = 260$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 540$ nm

Fig. S13 Selected luminescence decay of 1 monitored at corresponding excitation/emission maxima at 15 K and 295 K.



Fig. S14 Selected luminescence decay of 2 monitored at corresponding excitation/emission maxima at 15 K and 295 K.



Fig. S15 Selected luminescence decay of **3** monitored at corresponding excitation/emission maxima at 15 K and 295 K.



Fig. S16 Selected luminescence decay of **4** monitored at corresponding excitation/emission maxima at 15 K and 295 K.

0,		/ 1				<u> </u>	2
		HE $(\tau/\mu s)$		LE (τ/ms)			
	λ (nm)	15 K	295 K	λ (nm)	15 K	λ (nm)	295 K
1	400	97.97	103.68	540	4.94	580	1.94
2	400	100.03	98.64	540	11.11	560	5.88
3	400	100.01	98.45	600	3.08	600	1.82
4	400	98.73	98.87	540	3.70	600	2.34

Table S7 Lifetimes (τ) of corresponding high energy emission (HE) peaks and low energy emission (LE) peaks for **1-4** in solid-state at 15 K and 295 K, respectively.



Fig. S17 The temperature-dependant luminescent spectra of **1** (a) before grinding and (b) after grinding.



Fig. S18 The emission color profiles in CIE-1931 (Commission Internationale d'Eclairage) chromaticity diagram based on the temperature-dependant luminescent spectra for **1-4** when excited by 250 nm light (a) and 280 nm light (b) at 15 K and 295 K.



Fig. S19 The room temperature solid state photoluminescent spectrum of **1** upon excitation light of various wavelengths when exposure to air.

DFT Calculation Section

Computation details

Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations were performed using PBE0 functional.^[S1] The Lanl2dz^[S2] effective core potential (ECP) was used for Ag, while the $6-31G(d,p)^{[S3]}$ basis set was applied for C, N, O, and H atoms. The UV-Vis spectra were simulated by TD-DFT^[S4] and the first 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet spin-allowed excited states were calculated for binuclear molecule for 1, dimer of binuclear molecules for 2, and trtranuclear molecules for 3 and 4. The simulated UV-Vis absorption data were further treated with

SWizard 4.6 [S5,S6] to give Table S8 – S11. In the TD-DFT calculation for 1 was based on its optimized geometry of S₀ state and the frequency calculation confirmed that it's the energy minimum geometry. In the TD-DFT calculations for 2-4 were all based on the tetranuclear units taken from their crystal structures at 298 K. The trials of optimizing these tetranuclear models were time-consuming and often leads to the unacceptable structural distortions despite of the selection of functional (PBE0, B3LYP, BLYP, M06, etc.) and basis set (Lan12dz for all the atoms or Lanl2dz for Ag and 6-31g** for C, N, O, H, etc.), indicating the interdimer interaction for 2 and intermolecular interactions for 3 and 4 are quite strong. However, the low energy parts of experimental UV-Vis spectra 1-4 were qualitatively simulated, suggesting that our calculation method and calculation models were qualitatively correct. The discussions of the assignments of absorption transitions, only the molecular orbitals based on above models are taken into consideration, and the MBO was calculated based on the same models. Nevertheless, in order to looking for the insight of low energy emission bands, the molecular orbital calculations of S_0 state were carried out for the dimers for 1 and 2 taken from the crystal structures at 298 K and 100 K, respectively, as well as the tetranuclear units by considering two adjacent molecules for 3 and 4. The SOMOs of T₁ states of all the above calculation models were also calculated by UPBE0 without optimizations, but the SOMOs of T1 state of binuclear molecule for 1 was calculated after optimized by UPBE0. The molecular orbital compositions were analyzed mainly by Hirshfeld method.^[S7, S8] The compositions of occupied molecular orbitals (excluding singly occupied molecular orbitals, SOMOs) were also calculated by the natural atomic orbital (NAO) method^[S9] using the NBO program (version 3.1)^[S10] as included in Gsussian 09. The composition data from both methods and Mayer bond order (MBO)^[S11] were obtained using MultiWFN 2.6.1^[S12]. The Gaussian 09 A. 02 software package^[S13] was used for all calculations.

The assignment analysis of low energy absorption band for complex 1-4

There are strong low energy absorption band centered at 300 nm – 325 nm for H₃pidc and **1-4**, and it seems that these low energy bands should only arise from intraligand (Hpidc2-) π - π or n- π transitions. However, the TD-DFT result show that Ag atoms play significant roles in the transitions involved in the low energy absorption bands.

The low energy parts of experimental UV-Vis spectra (not shorter than 280 nm for **1-3** and not shorter than 300 nm for **4**) of **1-4** were qualitatively simulated, suggesting that our calculation method and calculation models were qualitatively correct. We assign the origins of low energy band by the assignments of transitions of the simulated strongest absorption wavelength in this region.

The calculated strongest absorption peaks for **1** ($\lambda = 310.6 \text{ nm}, f = 0.1985$) arise from the following transitions: HOMO-1→LUMO (67%), HOMO→LUMO+1(18%), HOMO→LUMO+2(7%), which should be mainly ¹ILCT centred at Hpidc²⁻ with some contributions of ¹LMCT from Hpidc²⁻ to Ag and ¹LLCT from Hpidc²⁻ to auxiliary amine ligand.

The calculated strongest absorption peaks for 2 ($\lambda = 284.1$ nm, f = 0.0925) arise from the following transitions: H-2 \rightarrow L+5 (34%), H-7 \rightarrow L+3 (21%), H-2 \rightarrow L+6 (17%), H-5 \rightarrow L+3 (15%), which should be mainly ¹ILCT mixed with ¹LMMCT from Hpidc²⁻ to Ag-Ag bond and ¹LLCT.

The calculated strongest absorption peaks for 3 ($\lambda = 299.9$ nm, f = 0.1554) arise from the following transition: H-3 \rightarrow L+1 (82%), which should be mainly ¹ILCT mixed with ¹LMMCT from Hpidc²⁻ to Ag-Ag bond and ¹LLCT.

In summary of this part, the low energy strong absorption bands for **1-4** are assigned as ¹ILCT with some contributions from ¹LMCT (or ¹LMMCT) and ¹LLCT.



Fig. S20 The simulated UV-Vis absorption spectra of (a) complex **1**, (b) complex **2**, (c) complex **3**, (d) complex **4**.

No nm		oscillator	Assignment(H-HOMO I -I LIMO)
INO.	11111	strength	Assignment(II=HOMO,L=LOMO)
1	332.3	0.0000	H→L (93%)
2	316.1	0.0619	H→L+1 (70%), H-1→L (22%)
3	310.6	0.1985	H-1→L (67%), H→L+1(18%), H→L+2(7%)
4	304.3	0.0001	H-1→L+1 (96%)
5	293.0	0.0007	H→L+3 (66%), H→L+4 (17%), H→L (10%)
6	291.4	0.0194	H→L+2 (75%), H-2→L+2 (7%)
7	285.6	0.0004	H-1→L+2 (96%)
8	285.5	0.0792	H-1→L+3 (91%)
9	277.9	0.0002	H-2→L (44%), H→L+3 (25%), H→L+4 (17%), H-3→L (5%)
10	270.8	0.0001	H-3→L (56%), H-5→L (19%), H→L+4 (15%)
11	266.9	0.0004	H-2→L (42%), H→L+4 (35%), H-3→L (11%)
12	260.0	0.0079	H-2→L+1 (80%), H-3→L+1(10%)
13	257.3	0.0017	H-4→L+1 (90%)
14	256.4	0.0050	H-4→L (94%)
15	256.2	0.0001	H-5→L (43%), H-2→L+4 (15%), H-3→L (12%), H-2→L+3 (10%)
16	251.1	0.0080	H-3→L+1 (84%), H-2→L+1 (9%)
17	248.9	0.0003	H-2→L+3 (42%), H-5→L (14%), H-2→L+4 (12%), H-3→L (5%)
18	248.0	0.0028	H-2→L+2 (65%), H-3→L+2 (17%), H→L+2(11%)

Table S8 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 1 by TD-DFT.

19	246.1	0.0000	H-3→L+3(44%), H-3→L+4 (27%)	
20	243.9	0.0747	H-1→L+4 (76%), H-6→L (13%)	
21	240.2	0.0285	H-3→L+2 (49%), H-5→L+2 (25%), H-2→L+2 (15%)	
22	237.3	0.0012	H-2→L+4 (25%), H-3→L+4 (24%), H-5→L+3 (15%), H→L+5 (9%)	
23	234.6	0.0002	H→L+5 (70%)	
24	233.3	0.0021	H-2→L+3 (40%), H-2→L+4 (20%), H-5→L+3 (11%), H-3→L+4 (7%)	
25	222.1	0.0592	H-6→L (46%), H-8→L+1 (13%), H-1→L+4 (10%),	
23	255.1	0.0385	H-3→L+2 (9%), H-7→L (8%)	
26	231.7	0.0038	H-4→L+3 (53%), H-1→L+5 (28%), H-8→L+1 (10%)	
27	231.3	0.0007	H-4→L+2 (81%), H-6→L+2 (6%)	
28	231.0	0.0097	H-8→L (58%), H-9→L (10%), H-4→L+2 (7%)	
29	230.7	0.0047	H-1→L+5 (50%), H-4→L+3 (38%), H-8→L+1 (5%)	
20	228.0	0.0166	H-5→L+2 (38%), H-5→L+1 (14%), H-3→L+2 (13%),	
30	220.9	0.0100	H-6→L (10%), H→L+6 (7%), H-8→L+1 (5%)	
31	228.7	0.0001	H-6→L+1 (60%), H-7→L+1 (21%)	
32	227.8	0.0372	H-8→L+1 (43%), H-5→L+2 (15%), H-1→L+5 (15%), H→L+6 (9%)	
33	226.0	0.0091	H→>L+6 (52%), H-6→L (13%), H-5→L+1(10%), H-7→L (7%)	
34	225.6	0.0001	H-1→L+6 (92%)	
35	224.9	0.0110	H→L+7 (55%), H-3→L+3 (9%), H-2→L+5 (7%), H-5→L+3 (7%)	
36	224.6	0.0052	H-5→L+1 (68%), H→L+6 (10%), H-5→L+2 (9%)	
37	223.0	0.0020	H-3→L+3 (27%), H-5→L+3 (19%), H-3→L+4 (13%),	
57	223.9	0.0029	H→L+7 (13%), H-8→L (5%)	
38	222.5	0.0707	H-7→L (63%), H→L+6 (10%), H-1→L+7 (9%), H-6→L(5%)	
39	221.5	0.0002	H-9→L (56%), H-8→L (18%), H-5→L (5%)	
40	218.7	0.0000	H-6→L+2 (75%), H-4→L+2 (7%), H-7→L+2 (5%)	
41	216.6	0.0355	H-1→L+7 (60%), H-6→L+3 (21%), H-7→L (8%)	
42	213.7	0.0303	H-6→L+3 (44%), H-1→L+7 (22%), H-7→L+3 (10%), H→L+8 (6%)	
43	213.2	0.0007	H-7→L+1 (51%), H-7→L+2 (30%), H-6→L+1 (14%)	
44	212.7	0.0197	H-10→L (44%), H-4→L+4 (20%), H-11→L+3 (10%)	
45	212.1	0.0099	H-4→L+4 (34%), H→L+8 (32%), H-10→L (13%)	
16	211.5	0.0015	H-7→L+2 (48%), H-7→L+1 (17%), H-6→L+2 (10%),	
40	211.3	0.0013	H-6→L+1 (9%), H-1→L+8 (8%)	
47	210.7	0.1456	H-4→L+4 (33%), H→L+8 (27%), H-6→L+3(9%)	
48	210.7	0.0000	H-1→L+8 (78%), H-7→L+2 (6%)	
40	210.2	0.0004	H-5→L+4 (28%), H-8→L+3 (28%), H-5→L+3 (11%),	
49	210.3	0.0004	H-9→L+3 (11%), H-1→L+8 (7%)	
50	209.6	0.0005	H→L+9 (80%), H→L+8 (6%)	

Table S9 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 2 by TD-DFT.

No.	nm	oscillator strength	Assignment (H=HOMO,L=LUMO)
1	352.8	0.0008	H→L (46%), H-1→L+1 (33%), H→L+1 (7%), H-1→L (7%)
2	352.8	0.0044	H-1→L (46%), H→L+1 (34%), H→L (7%), H-1→L+1 (7%)

3	344.3	0	H-1→L+2 (38%), H-1→L+1 (36%), H→L (18%)		
4	344	0.0035	H→L+2 (38%), H→L+1 (35%), H-1→L (17%), H-1→L+3 (6%)		
5	332.4	0.0911	H-2→L+1 (80%), H-2→L+2 (13%)		
6	330.3	0	H-2→L (87%)		
7	328.6	0	H→L+3 (73%), H-2→L (6%)		
8	328	0.0046	H-1→L+3 (78%)		
9	325.1	0.0001	H-1→L+2 (48%), H→L (21%), H-1→L+1 (17%)		
10	324.9	0.0063	H→L+2 (51%), H-1→L (22%), H→L+1 (15%)		
11	321.7	0	H-3→L+1 (61%), H-2→L+3 (16%), H-3→L+2 (12%)		
12	319.5	0.0079	H-2→L+2 (78%), H-2→L+1 (14%)		
13	318.4	0	H-2→L+3 (75%), H-3→L+1 (8%)		
14	316.4	0.0146	H-3→L (88%)		
15	211.4	0	H-4→L (45%), H-5→L+1 (14%), H-3→L+2 (14%),		
15	511.4	0	H-7→L+1 (8%), H-6→L (7%), H-3→L+1 (6%)		
16	310	0.019	H-5→L (45%), H-6→L+1 (23%), H-4→L+1 (14%)		
17	200.2	0.0034	H-4→L+1 (32%), H-7→L (26%), H-6→L+1 (12%),		
17	309.2	0.0034	H→L+5 (8%), H-1→L+8 (7%)		
18	300.1	0	H-5→L+1 (21%), H-6→L (20%), H-7→L+1 (16%),		
10	309.1	0	H-4→L (10%), H→L+8 (9%), H-1→L+5(8%)		
10	306.7	0.0006	H→L+8 (22%), H-1→L+5 (17%), H-5→L+1 (13%),		
19	500.7	0.0000	H→L+3 (8%), H-7→L+1 (7%), H-1→L+9 (7%)		
20	306.6	0.006	H-1→L+8 (21%), H→L+5 (18%), H-6→L+1 (12%),		
20	500.0	0.000	H-1→L+3 (8%), H→L+9 (7%), H-4→L+1 (5%)		
21	305.9	0	H-3→L+2 (54%), H-7→L+1 (18%), H-3→L+1 (9%), H-5→L+1 (6%)		
22	303.4	0.0399	H-7→L (31%), H-3→L+3 (26%), H-4→L+2 (22%), H-4→L+1(9%)		
23	303.4	0	H-6→L (37%), H-7→L+2 (12%), H-5→L+2 (12%),		
23	505.4	0	H-4→L (7%), H-7→L+1 (7%)		
24	302.9	0.052	H-3→L+3 (35%), H-6→L+2 (19%), H-5→L (17%), H-7→L(7%)		
25	300.8	0	H-5→L+2 (24%), H-7→L+1 (17%), H-7→L+2 (14%), H-4→L (11%),		
23	500.0	Ū	H-5→L+1 (10%), H-4→L+3(7%), H-6→L (6%), H-3→L+1 (6%)		
26	300.5	0.0096	H-6→L+1 (23%), H-4→L+2 (15%), H-5→L+3 (13%),		
20	500.5	0.0070	H-4→L+1 (11%), H-5→L (11%), H-3→L+3 (10%), H-6→L+2 (10%)		
27	295.5	0	$H \rightarrow L+4 (25\%), H-1 \rightarrow L+6 (23\%), H-6 \rightarrow L+3 (19\%), H-4 \rightarrow L+3 (14\%)$		
28	295.2	0.0008	H-1→L+4(37%), H→L+6 (33%), H-7→L+3 (9%), H-1→L+7 (7%)		
29	294.1	0	$H \rightarrow L+4 (25\%), H-6 \rightarrow L+3 (24\%), H-4 \rightarrow L+3 (15\%), H-1 \rightarrow L+6 (12\%)$		
30	293.2	0.0105	H-7→L+3 (36%), H-5→L+3 (21%), H-1→L+4 (14%)		
31	291.5	0.006	H-6→L+2(24%), H-7→L (16%), H-4→L+2 (16%),		
51	271.0	0.000	H-8→L (8%), H-4→L+1 (7%), H-6→L+1 (5%)		
32	291.3	0	H-7→L+2(33%), H-5→L+2 (17%), H-7→L+1(10%), H-6→L (9%)		
33	289 7	0.0001	H-1→L+5 (33%), H→L+7 (24%), H→L+4 (12%),		
55	207.1	0.0001	H→L+8 (10%), H-1→L+9 (6%)		
34	289.6	0.0115	H→L+5 (29%), H-1→L+7 (22%), H-1→L+4 (9%),		
34 28	207.0	0.0115	H-1→L+8 (8%), H→L+9 (5%)		

25	2077	0.0116	H-5→L+3 (25%), H-2→L+5 (17%), H-7→L+3 (13%),
33	287.7	0.0110	H-2→L+6 (12%), H-4→L+1 (6%)
26	296.0	0	H-5→L+2 (21%), H-4→L (14%), H-5→L+1 (13%), H-4→L+3 (11%),
36 286.9	0	H-7→L+2 (9%), H-6→L (9%), H-6→L+3 (7%), H-7→L+1 (6%)	
37	286.4	0.0016	H-4→L+2(32%), H-6→L+2 (24%), H-5→L (12%),H-7→L (6%)
20	296.1	0	H-4→L+3 (41%), H-6→L+3 (24%),
38	280.1	0	H-7→L+2 (13%), H-5→L+2 (11%)
20	204.1	0.0025	H-2→L+5 (34%), H-7→L+3 (21%),
39	284.1	0.0923	H-2→L+6 (17%), H-5→L+3 (15%)
40	282.8	0	H-2→L+4 (68%), H-1→L+6 (8%), H-2→L+7 (6%)
41	281.6	0.0056	H→L+6 (42%), H-1→L+7 (26%), H-1→L+4 (10%)
42	281.4	0	H-1→L+6 (32%), H→L+7 (23%), H-2→L+4 (13%), H→L+4 (7%)
43	278.7	0	H-8→L+1 (36%), H-8→L+2 (12%), H-6→L+3 (9%), H-9→L+3 (7%)
44	276.8	0	H-3→L+5 (42%), H-3→L+6 (24%), H-8→L+1 (5%)
15	276.9	0.0069	H-9→L+1 (17%), H-8→L+3 (16%), H-9→L+2 (9%),
43	2/0.8	0.0008	H-6→L+5 (8%), H-1→L+4 (6%), H-7→L+8 (5%)
16	276.2	0.0027	H-2→L+6 (23%), H-1→L+7 (19%), H→L+5 (16%),
40	270.3	0.0027	H-2→L+5 (9%), H-1→L+8 (9%), H-1→L+4 (7%), H→L+6 (5%)
17	276 1	0	H→L+7 (23%), H-1→L+5 (17%), H→L+4 (12%), H→L+8 (10%),
4/	2/0.1	0	H-3→L+5 (8%), H-1→L+6 (7%), H-3→L+6 (5%)
40	274.9	0.0054	H-2→L+6 (36%), H-2→L+5 (20%), H-1→L+7 (7%), H-3→L+4 (6%),
48	2/4.8	0.0054	H→L+5 (6%), H-1→L+4 (5%), H-9→L+1 (5%)
49	273.3	0	H-2→L+7 (69%), H-2→L+4 (11%)
50	272.4	0.0055	H-3→L+4(50%), H-3→L+7(16%), H-8→L(9%)

Table S10 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 3 by TD-DFT.

No	nm	oscillator	Assignment(H-HOMO I -I UMO)			
INO.	11111	strength	Assignment(II-HOMO,L-LOMO)			
1	332.7	0.0318	H→L (81%), H-2→L (7%), H-1→L+1(6%)			
2	329.1	0.0000	H-1→L (65%),H→L+1 (23%)			
3	321.7	0.0000	H→L+1 (58%),H-1→L (29%)			
4	210.2	0.0815	H-1→L+1 (39%), H-2→L (17%), H→L (14%),			
4	318.2	0.0815	H-1→L+8 (6%), H→L+4 (6%)			
5	316.5	0.0532	H-2→L (64%), H-1→L+1 (28%)			
6	312.7	0.0000	H-3→L (71%), H-2→L+1 (12%), H→L+1 (7%)			
7	311.4	0.0000	H-2→L+1 (51%), H-3→L (23%)			
Q	207 1	0.0406	H→L+4 (27%), H-1→L+1 (18%), H-1→L+8 (16%),			
0	307.1	0.0400	H-2→L+4 (10%), H-2→L (6%)			
0	205.0	0.0000	H-1→L+4 (26%), H-2→L+1 (25%), H→L+8 (14%),			
9	303.9	0.0000	H-2→L+8 (9%), H-1→L+6 (5%)			
10	301.5	0.0000	H→L+2 (65%), H-1→L+3 (16%)			
11	301.1	0.0006	H→L+3 (58%), H-1→L+2 (25%)			
12	299.9	0.1554	H-3→L+1 (82%)			

13	294.9	0.0021	H-1→L+2 (34%), H-2→L+3 (29%), H→L+3(26%)	
14	294.7	0.0000	H-2→L+2 (34%), H-1→L+3 (33%), H→L+2(20%)	
15	290.3	0.0000	H-4→L (73%), H-5→L+1(15%)	
16	289.1	0.0188	H-5→L (67%), H-4→L+1 (21%)	
17	288.9	0.0000	H→L+5 (36%), H-7→L (28%), H-2→L+2 (6%)	
18	288.0	0.0045	H-6→L (60%), H-8→L+1 (6%), H-1→L+5 (5%)	
10	207 4	0.0151	H→L+4 (30%), H-2→L+4 (28%), H-2→L+3 (14%),	
19	287.4	0.0131	H-3→L+2 (8%), H-1→L+2 (6%)	
20	287.0	0.0000	H-7→L (27%), H-2→L+2 (18%), H→L+5 (17%),	
20	287.0	0.0000	H-1→L+3 (9%), H-3→L+3 (7%)	
21	284.6	0.0000	H-1→L+3 (26%), H-2→L+2 (22%), H→L+5 (18%),	
21	204.0	0.0000	H-7→L (11%), H→L+7 (8%)	
22	284.2	0.0092	H-2→L+3 (36%), H-1→L+2 (19%), H→L+4 (9%), H-6→L(6%)	
23	282.4	0.0000	H-6→L+1 (42%), H-3→L+3 (16%), H-7→L (7%), H-8→L (6%)	
24	282.2	0 0000	H-7→L+1 (42%), H-4→L+1 (12%), H-1→L+5 (8%),	
24	202.2	0.0009	H-3→L+2 (7%), H-9→L (5%)	
25	281.7	0.0030	H-1→L+5 (48%), H-6→L(9%), H→L+4 (7%),	
23	201.7	0.0039	H-1→L+2 (6%), H→L+6 (5%), H-2→L+6 (5%)	
26	281.3	0.0000	H-3→L+3 (31%), H-2→L+5 (19%), H-6→L+1 (12%)	
27	280.2	0.0027	H-3→L+2 (70%), H-7→L+1 (7%)	
28	279.0	0.0000	H-1→L+4 (31%), H→L+8 (18%), H→L+7 (16%), H-3→L+4 (6%)	
20	277.2	0.0000	H-2→L+5 (29%), H-3→L+3 (16%), H-2→L+7 (+14%),	
29	211.3	0.0000	H-5→L+1 (10%), H-1→L+6 (7%)	
30	276.3	0.0136	H-2→L+4 (26%), H-1→L+7 (17%), H-1→L+8 (16%),	
50	270.5	0.0150	H→L+9 (6%), H-7→L+1 (6%)	
31	275.5	0.0000	H→L+7 (35%), H-3→L+4 (31%), H-5→L+1 (12%), H→L+5 (6%)	
32	275.4	0.0136	H-4→L+1 (60%), H-5→L (19%), H-7→L+1 (7%)	
33	274.9	0.0000	H-5→L+1 (48%), H-4→L (14%), H-2→L+5 (11%), H-3→L+3 (7%)	
34	273.2	0.0054	H→L+6 (46%), H-3→L+5 (18%), H-1→L+5 (12%)	
35	271.2	0 0000	H-3→L+4 (28%), H→L+7 (16%), H-2→L+7 (13%),	
55	2/1.2	0.0000	H-1→L+4 (6%), H-3→L+3 (5%), H-7→L+4 (5%)	
36	269.6	0 0009	H-3→L+5 (47%), H→L+6 (9%), H-3→L+7 (9%),	
50	207.0	0.0007	H-2→L+6 (7%), H-1→L+7 (5%)	
37	269.3	0.0057	H-1→L+7 (27%), H-6→L+4 (16%), H-4→L+2 (12%),	
57	207.5	0.0027	H-5→L+3 (8%), H-7→L+8 (8%)	
38	268.4	0.0000	H-5→L+2 (34%), H-4→L+3 (32%)	
39	268.0	0.0034	H-4→L+2 (38%), H-5→L+3 (26%), H-6→L+4 (6%), H-3→L+5 (5%)	
40	267.9	0 0000	H-7→L+4 (16%), H-5→L+2 (14%), H-2→L+7 (13%),	
-10	207.9	0.0000	H-6→L+8 (12%), H-4→L+3 (10%), H-2→L+8 (5%), H-7→L+6 (5%)	
41	266.2	0 0000	H-1→L+6 (42%), H-2→L+5 (16%), H-1→L+4 (7%),	
	200.2	0.0000	H→L+8 (6%), H-2→L+7 (5%)	
42	265.8	0 0039	H-1→L+7 (17%), H-2→L+6 (16%), H-2→L+4 (14%),	
ΔT	205.0	0.0037	H-6→L+4 (9%), H-7→L+8 (8%)	

43 265.6	0.0000	H-2→L+7 (30%), H-8→L (11%), H→L+8 (9%),	
	203.0	0.0000	H-6→L+8 (6%), H-3→L+4 (6%)
44	263.7	0.0036	H-2→L+6 (42%), H-1→L+7 (6%), H-9→L (6%)
45	263.6	0.0000	H-2→L+8 (33%), H→L+8 (21%), H-1→L+6 (9%), H-6→L+2 (8%)
16	262 1	0.0000	H-8→L (37%), H-6→L+1 (16%), H-9→L+1 (7%),
40	205.4	0.0000	H-1→L+6 (7%), H-2→L+7 (7%)
47	262.5	0.0042	H-8→L+1 (31%), H-9→L(19%), H-7→L+1 (13%), H-7→L+2 (5%)
48	260.2	0.0118	H-3→L+7 (48%), H-3→L+5 (9%), H-7→L+2 (9%), H-5→L+4 (5%)
40	260.1	0.0000	H-3→L+6 (24%), H-6→L+2 (23%),
49	200.1	0.0000	H-7→L+3 (12%), H-8→L+3 (10%)
50	260.0	0.0144	H-7→L+2 (41%), H-6→L+3 (27%)

Table S11 First 50 lowest energy singlet-singlet excitation for complex 4 by TD-DFT.

No	222	oscillator	Assignment/II-IIOMO I -I UMO)
INO.	11111	strength	Assignment(H-HOMO,L-LOMO)
1	461.4	0.0000	H→L (99%)
2	435.6	0.0019	H-1→L (89%), H-2→L (10%)
3	406.5	0.0006	H→L+2 (60%), H→L+1 (29%)
4	405.5	0.0000	H-4→L (78%), H-2→L (15%)
5	396.7	0.0033	H-2→L (73%), H-4→L (13%), H-1→L (9%)
6	385.8	0.0001	H-3→L (91%), H-4→L (6%)
7	380.0	0.0000	H→L+1 (66%), H→L+2 (32%)
8	378.4	0.0382	H-1→L+2 (51%), H-1→L+1 (26%), H-2→L+2 (6%)
9	370.5	0.0027	H→L+4 (49%), H→L+5 (27%), H→L+3 (8%)
10	363.1	0.0009	H-1→L+1 (59%), H-1→L+2 (24%), H-2→L+1 (7%)
11	358.4	0.0002	H→L+3 (86%), H→L+5 (5%)
12	356.7	0.0567	H-5→L (88%)
12	252 (0.0041	H-2→L+2 (39%), H-2→L+1 (24%), H-1→L+4 (13%),
15	552.0	0.0041	H-1→L+2 (10%), H-1→L+5 (6%)
14	351.8	0.0023	H→L+6 (50%), H→L+4 (19%), H→L+5 (18%)
15	351.0	0.0035	H-3→L+2 (53%), H-3→L+1 (24%)
16	347.9	0.0002	H-7→L (87%)
17	346.2	0.0004	H→L+6 (37%), H→L+5 (36%), H→L+4 (20%)
18	344.7	0.0102	H-4→L+1 (67%), H-2→L+1 (10%), H-1→L+4 (8%)
19	344.2	0.0529	H-1→L+4 (37%), H-2→L+2 (11%), H-4→L+1 (11%), H-2→L+4 (6%)
20	339.3	0.0008	H-4→L+2 (79%), H-4→L+1 (6%)
21	227.2	0.0120	H-1→L+3 (32%), H-2→L+1 (18%), H-6→L (11%), H-1→L+2 (6%),
21	337.3	0.0120	H-2→L+2 (5%), H-8→L (5%)
22	225 0	0.0112	H-1→L+3 (49%), H-6→L (14%), H-2→L+1 (8%),
22	333.8	0.0112	H-8→L (7%), H-2→L+2 (6%)
23	333.8	0.0533	H-6→L (32%), H-2→L+1 (15%), H-2→L+2 (13%), H-8→L (13%),
23	555.8	0.0333	H-1→L+4 (7%), H-1→L+3 (6%)
24	330.6	0.0640	H-1→L+5 (57%), H-1→L+6 (12%), H-2→L+2 (5%)

25	326.9	0.0002	H-3→L+1 (59%), H-3→L+2 (28%)
26	325.5	0.0173	H-1→L+6 (33%), H-2→L+4 (29%), H-2→L+5 (17%), H-1→L+4 (7%)
27	323.4	0.0010	H-1→L+6 (40%), H-2→>L+4 (30%), H-1→L+5 (6%)
28	322.4	0.0006	H-3→L+4 (45%), H-3→L+5 (25%), H-1→L+6 (6%), H-3→L+3 (5%)
29	320.1	0.0006	H-4→L+4 (72%), H-2→L+3 (5%)
30	319.3	0.0004	H-8→L (46%), H-6→L (30%), H-5→L+1 (12%)
31	317.3	0.0415	H-5→L+1 (45%), H-5→L+2 (17%), H-2→L+3 (17%), H-8→L (10%)
32	317.0	0.0263	H-2→L+3 (62%), H-2→L+5 (6%), H-5→L+1 (6%)
33	312.5	0.0019	H-2→L+6 (30%), H-5→L+2 (26%), H-2→L+5 (17%), H-2→L+4 (6%)
34	312.1	0.0028	H→L+7 (73%), H-3→L+3 (9%)
25	211 4	0.0001	H-4→L+3 (30%), H-3→L+3 (29%), H→L+7 (13%),
33	311.4	0.0001	H-5→L+2 (8%), H-4→L+5 (6%)
26	211.2	0.0120	H-5→L+2 (31%), H-3→L+3 (17%),
30	311.2	0.0130	H-2→L+6 (15%), H-5→L+1 (12%)
37	310.4	0.0010	H-4→L+3 (38%), H-3→L+3 (27%), H-4→L+5 (8%)
38	308.0	0.0049	H-2→L+6 (28%), H-2→L+5 (24%), H-4→L+3 (10%), H-2→L+4 (6%)
39	307.8	0.0034	H-6→L+2 (34%), H-6→L+1 (19%)
40	306.8	0.0005	H-3→L+6 (52%), H-3→L+4 (14%), H-3→L+5 (11%)
41	306.0	0.0002	H-4→L+5(40%), H-4→L+3 (13%), H-4→L+8 (8%), H-2→L+6 (7%)
42	302.9	0.0004	H-3→L+5 (23%), H-3→L+4 (21%), H-3→L+6 (20%)
43	302.7	0.0005	H-7→L+1 (46%), H-10→L (33%)
4.4	201.2	0.0002	H-4→L+5 (13%), H-4→L+8 (13%), H-7→L+1 (11%),
44	501.5	0.0003	H-6→L+1 (7%), H-10→L (7%), H-5→L+4 (6%)
45	300.8	0.0074	H-1→L+7 (67%), H-2→L+7 (7%), H-5→L+4 (6%)
16	200.6	0.0002	H-4→L+5 (15%), H-3→L+5 (10%), H→L+15 (7%),
40	300.0	0.0002	H→L+8 (7%), H→L+11 (6%), H→L+12 (5%)
17	200.7	0.0057	H-5→L+4 (19%), H-8→L+1 (19%), H-1→L+7 (9%),
4/	299.7	0.0057	H-6→L+2 (7%), H-6→L+1 (7%)
48	296.9	0.0005	H-10→L (28%), H-7→L+1 (26%), H-4→L+8 (11%)
40	2012	0.0014	H-5→L+4 (39%), H-8→L+1 (11%), H-6→L+1 (11%),
49	296.3	0.0014	H→L+8 (9%), H-5→L+3 (6%)
50	295.7	0.0019	H→L+8 (63%)



Fig. S21 Selected molecular orbitals for complex **1** (isovalue=0.02). Orbital energies are given in the parenthesis.



Fig. S22 Selected molecular orbitals for complex **2** (isovalue=0.02). Orbital energies are given in the parenthesis.



Fig. S23 Selected molecular orbitals for complex **3** (isovalue=0.02). Orbital energies are given in the parenthesis.



Fig. S24 Selected molecular orbitals for complex **4** (isovalue=0.02). Orbital energies are given in the parenthesis.

	6 1	X	,		
	H-1	Н	L	L+1	L+2
Ag	0.93 (0.38)	26.30 (23.83)	4.84	39.71	42.22
NH ₃	0.02 (0.00)	1.62 (1.57)	0.34	50.48	48.26
Hpidc ²⁻	99.05 (99.62)	72.08 (74.60)	94.83	9.81	9.52

Table S12 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals of **1**. The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO).

Table S13 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals of **2.** The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO).

-		- ·			,	
	L	L+1	L+2	L+3	L+5	L+6
Ag	30.80	24.94	20.07	6.86	29.35	26.83
NH ₃	61.33	28.21	26.25	2.65	16.50	25.55
Hpidc ²⁻	7.87	46.85	53.68	90.49	54.15	47.62
	H-7	H-5	Н-2	H-1	Н	
Ag	4.34	4.52	1.44	7.85	6.68	
	(3.33)	(3.66)	(0.82)	(6.27)	(5.07)	
NH ₃	0.31	0.33	0.08	0.64	0.45	
	(0.22)	(0.23)	(0.06)	(0.52)	(0.35)	
Hpidc ²⁻	95.35	95.15	98.48	91.52	92.86	
	(96.45)	(96.11)	(99.11)	(93.21)	(94.58)	

Table S14 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals of **3.** The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO).

1		U	1	(,			
	H-3	H-2	H-1	Н	L	L+1	L+4	L+8
Ag	2.73	6.31	8.42	4.74	7.09	11.87	34.00	7.87
	(1.96)	(4.91)	(6.87)	(3.50)	/.98			
en	0.22	0.60	1.00	0.34	1.20	3.73	22.82	1.83
	(0.14)	(0.53)	(0.87)	(0.26)	1.29			
Hpidc ²⁻	97.05	93.10	90.58	94.92	00.72	94.40	12 10	00.21
	(97.90)	(94.56)	(92.26)	(96.24)	90.75	04.40	43.18	90.31

Table S15 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of selected molecular orbitals of **4.** The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO).

	Н-2	H-1	Н	L	L+2	L+5	L+6
Ag	1.84 (1.11)	1.04 (0.55)	5.36 (4.16)	4.50	10.39	13.32	34.40
pn	0.08 (0.04)	0.05 (0.03)	0.52 (0.38)	1.11	8.91	11.64	51.37
Hpidc ²⁻	98.08 (98.85)	98.91 (99.42)	94.12 (95.46)	94.40	80.70	75.04	14.24

(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	E(HOMO)	E(LUMO)	HOMO-LUMO gap
1	-5.651	-0.9963	4.655
2	-5.269	-1.074	4.195
3	-5.338	-0.8107	4.527
4	-4.573	-1.420	3.153

Table S16 Orbital energy (in eV) of HOMO, LUMO, and HOMO-LUMO gap for complex **1-4** (based on the calculation models in the simulation of UV-Vis spectra).



Fig. S25 Molecular orbital contours of higher singly occupied molecular orbital (HSOMO) and lower singly occupied molecular orbital (LSOMO) for the T_1 state of complex **1** (isovalue=0.02). Orbital energies are given in the parenthesis.

	6,			
	E(LSOMO)(eV)	E(HSOMO)(eV)	$\lambda_{em}(nm)$	The character of T ₁ state
Ag				³ MLCT
NH ₃	-6.096	-3.191	572.04	mixed
Hpidc ²⁻				³ ILCT

Table S17 The orbital energy levels for SOMOs of T_1 state for **1**.

Table S18 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of frontier molecular orbitals for **1** based on differenr models. The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO, LS=LSOMO, HS=HSOMO).

	S	f T		of	S_0 of		S ₀	of	T ₁ of		
	S ₀ (01 	Monomer		Din	Dimer		Dimer		Dimer	
	Mono	1			of 1		of 1		of	1	
	of I		01 1		(298 K)		(100 K)		(100 K)		
	Н	L	LS	HS	Н	L	Н	L	LS	HS	
٨	26.30	4.84	37.81	4.10	8.22	35.04	8.68	34.37	23.04	14.91	
Ag	(23.83)				(6.56)		(6.88)				
NILI2	1.62	0.34	2.06	0.23	0.63	50 5(0.68	50.27	2.01	7.33	
NH3	(1.57)		3.90		(0.56)	38.30	(0.60)	39.37			
Hpidc2-	72.08	04.92	58.23	05.69	91.15	6.40	90.64	6.26	74.95		
	(74.60)	94.83		93.08	(92.88)		(92.52)	0.20		//.//	

Table S19 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of frontier molecular orbitals for **2** based on differenr models. The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO, LS=LSOMO, HS=HSOMO).

	S ₀ of		T ₁ of		S_0 of		
	2		2		2		
	(298	K)	(298 K)		(100 K)		
	Н	L	LS	HS	Н	L	
4 0	6.68	30.80	11.03	6 57	7.07	29.84	
Ag	(5.07)	30.80	11.05	0.37	(5.36)		
NH3	0.45	61 33	0.00	0.01	0.49	61.20	
NH3	(0.35)	01.55	0.90	0.91	(0.39)		
Hpidc2-	92.86	7 07	<u> </u>	02.52	92.44	<u>۹ 07</u>	
	(94.58)	/.8/	00.00	92.32	(94.26)	8.97	

Table S20 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of frontier molecular orbitals of S0 state for **3** and **4** based on different models. The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (H=HOMO, L=LUMO).

	3				4				
	Tetranuc	lear Unit	Octanuclear Unit		Tetranuclear Unit		Octanuclear Unit		
	Н	L	Н	L	Н	L	Н	L	
Ag	4.74		6.90		5.36		5.09		
	(3.50)	8.05	(5.36)	7.65	(4.16)	4.41	(3.96)	4.62	
am	0.34		0.70		0.52		0.50		
	(0.26)	0.49	(0.59)	1.64	(0.38)	0.30	(0.37)	2.40	
Hpidc2-	94.92		92.41		94.12		94.41		
	(96.24)	91.46	(94.05)	90.71	(95.46)	95.30	(95.68)	92.98	

Table S21 Hirshfeld orbital compositions of frontier molecular orbitals of T1 state for **3** and **4** based on different models. The NAO compositions of HOMOs were given in parenthesis (LS=LSOMO, HS=HSOMO).

		3		4				
	Tetranuclear Unit		Octanuclear Unit		Tetranuc	lear Unit	Octanuclear Unit	
	LS	HS	LS	HS	LS	HS	LS	HS
Ag	12.29	7.49	0.94	1.24	0.61	0.87	5.02	4.99
am	0.99	1.02	90.10	91.95	93.17	94.45	0.52	1.93
Hpide2-	86.72	91.49	8.96	6.81	6.21	4.69	94.46	93.08

References:

S1 (a) J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865; (b) J. P. Perdew,

K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1997, 78, 1396.

S2 (a) T. H. Dunning Jr., P. J. Hay, In Modern Theoretical Chemistry; Schaefer, H. F., III., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 3, 1-28; (b) P. J. Hay, W. R. Wadt, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **82**, 270; (c) W. R. Hadt, P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 284; (d) P. J. Hay, W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 299.

- S3 P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, Mol. Phys., 1974, 27, 209.
- S4 K. Burke and E. K. U. Gross, A guided tour of time-dependent density functional theory. In Density Functionals: Theory and Applications, Lecture Notes in Physics; D. Joubert, Ed.; Springer: New York, 1998; 500.
- S5 S. I. Gorelsky. SWizard Program; University of Ottawa: Ottawa, Canada, 2010; http://www.sg-chem.net/.
- S6 S. I. Gorelsky and A. B. P. Lever, J. Organomet. Chem., 2001, 635, 187.
- S7 F. L. Hirshfeld, Theor. Chim. Acta (Berl.) 1977, 44, 129.
- S8 T. Lu and F. W. Chen, Acta Chim. Sin., 2011, 69, 2393.
- S9 (a) A. E. Reed and F.Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 4066; (b) A. E. Reed, R. B.
 Weinstock and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 83, 735.
- S10 E. D. Glendering, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter and F. Weinhold, NBO, version 3.1.
- S11 I. Mayer, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1983, 97, 270.
- S12 (a) T. Lu and F. W. Chen, J. Comp. Chem., 2012, 33, 580; (b) T. Lu, Multiwfn, Revison 2. 6. 1; University of Science and Technology Beijing: Beijing, China, 2013.
- S13 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, GAUSSIAN 09 (Revision A.02), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.