Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Mitochondria-targeted colorimetric and fluorescent probes for hypochlorite and their applications for *in vivo* imaging

Ji-Ting Hou,^{*a*} Ming-Yu Wu,^{*a*}Kun Li,*^{*a,b*} Jin Yang,^{*a*} Kang-Kang Yu, Yong-Mei Xie,*^{*b*} Xiao-Qi Yu *^{*a*}

^aKey Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610064, P. R. China.Fax: (0)86-28-85415886
E-mail addresses: kli@scu.edu.cn; xqyu@scu.edu.cn
^b State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, West China Medical School, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, ChinaE-mail:xieym@scu.edu.cn

Contents

Experimental section	S2-4
Figure S1	S5
Figure S2	S5
Figure S3	S 6
Figure S4	S 6
Figure S5	S7
Figure S6	S7
Figure S7	S8
Figure S8	S8
Scheme S2	S9
Figure S9	S9
Figure S10	S 9
NMR and MS copies of various compounds	S10 -13

Experimental Section

General remarks for experimental

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM400 NMR spectrometer. Proton Chemical shifts of NMR spectra were given in ppm relative to internals reference TMS (1H, 0.00 ppm). ESI-MS and HRMS spectral data were recorded on a Finnigan LCQ^{DECA} and a BrukerDaltonics Bio TOF mass spectrometer, respectively. All pH measurements were performed with a pH-3c digital pH-meter (Shanghai Lei Ci Device Works, Shanghai, China) with a combined glass-calomel electrode. Fluorescence emission spectra were obtained using FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorophotometer (HORIBA JobinYvon) at 298 K. Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. All the solvents were dried according to the standard methods prior to use. All of the solvents were either HPLC or spectroscopic grade in the optical spectroscopic studies.

Fluorescence analysis .Probes **Rh-TPP** and **Rh-Py** were prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 2 mM. All UV/Vis and fluorescence titration experiments were performed using 5 μ M **Rh-TPP** or **Rh-Py**in PBS buffer solution (pH 7.4, 10 mM) with varying concentrations of analytes at room temperature. The time dependences of the response of **Rh-TPP** or **Rh-Py** (5 μ M) to NaClO (50 μ M) were determined by mixing the two reactants in PBS buffer solution (pH 7.4).

Imaging of cells.Hela cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic at 37°C in a 5% CO₂/95% air incubator. For fluorescence imaging, cells (4×10^3 /well) were passed on a 6-well plate and incubated for 24h. Immediately before the staining experiment, cells were washed twice with PBS, incubated with 5 μ M **Rh-TPP** or **Rh-Py** for 30 min at 37 °C. Then confocal fluorescent images were captured with an excitation light at543 nm. Then, 100 μ M NaClO was added and incubated for another 10 min and was imaged.

Co-localization imaging of cells. Hela cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic at 37°C in a 5% $CO_2/95\%$ air incubator. For fluorescence imaging, cells (4×10³/well) were passed on a 6-well plate and incubated for 24h. Immediately before the staining experiment, cells were washed twice with PBS, incubated with 5µM **Rh-TPP** or **Rh-Py** for 30 min at 37 °C. Then, 100 µMNaClO was added and incubated for another 10 min. After the cells were washed twice with PBS, MitoTracker Green (1 µM) was added and incubated for 20 min and the confocal fluorescent images were captured.

Fluorescence imaging in living mice Female Balb/c-nu mice (5–6 weeks old) were purchased from Beijing HFK bioscience CO. Ltd, Beijing, China. Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Treatment Committee of Sichuan University (Chengdu, China). The mice were acclimated for 1 week before the experiment.

Representatively, a nude mouse was given a skin-pop injection of **Rh-TPP** or **Rh-Py** (50 μ L, 100 μ M in PBS (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containing 0.1% DMSO)), and a subsequent skin-pop injection of NaClO (50 μ L, 1mM in PBS (pH 7.4, 10 mM)). Images were taken after incubation for different time in Bio-Real in vivo imaging system (Quick View 3000, Bio-Real,AUSTRIA), with an excitation laser of 534 nm and an emission filter of 586±20 nm.



Scheme S1 Synthesis of Rh-TPP and Rh-Py

Preparation and Characterization of Rh-1

To a solution of rhodamine B (2 g, 4.2 mmol) dissolved in 15mL of methanol, an excessive hydrazine hydrate (2.5 mL) was added and then the reaction solutionwas refluxed till the pink color disappeared. Afterthat, the cooled reaction solution was poured intodistilled water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×30 mL). The combined extracts were driedwith sodium sulfate anhydrous. The solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂= 1:1 to afford **Rh-1** (1.0 g, 2.2 mmol) as a white solid. Yield: 52.4%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.42 (s, 2H), 6.29 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 3.34 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 165.7, 153.5, 152.3, 148.6, 132.8, 130.1, 128.6, 128.2, 123.9, 122.6, 108.3, 105.9, 97.9, 65.2, 44.1, 12.9.ESI-MS: m/z 457.2 [M + H]⁺

Preparation and Characterization of Rh-2

To a solution of **Rh-1** (815 mg, 1.8 mmol) dissolved in 15mL of anhydrous CHCl₃, triethylamine (332 μ L, 2.4 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the reaction solutionwas stirred for several minutes. Then, a solution of 2-chloroacetyl chloride (180 μ L, 2.4 mmol)) in 5 mL of dry CHCl₃ was added dropwise. Afterthat, the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h.Thenthe reaction solution was poured intodistilled water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂(3×30 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (50 mL) successively and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3:1 to afford **Rh-2** (860 mg, 1.6 mmol) as a white solid. Yield: 88.9%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 9.92 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.55 – 6.45 (m, 2H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.3 Hz, 4H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 3.32 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 165.1, 163.9, 153.5, 152.3, 148.9,

133.8, 129.6, 129.0, 128.8, 124.3, 123.1, 108.1, 104.5, 97.5, 65.5, 44.1, 41.2 12.9.ESI-MS: m/z 533.3 [M + H]⁺.

Preparation and Characterization of Rh-TPP

To a solution of **Rh-2** (160mg, 0.3mmol) dissolved in 15mL of anhydrous CH₃CN, KI (100 mg, 0.6 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (314 mg, 1.2mmol) was added in one portion and the reaction solutionwas heated to reflux for 24 h. Then, the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH = 50:1 to afford **Rh-TPP** (120mg, 0.14mmol) as a light purple solid. Yield: 46.7%.¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.84 (s, 1H), 7.70 (m, 11H), 7.56 (m, 6H), 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.34 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.27 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.7, 153.2, 152.8, 148.9, 134.9, 133.9, 133.5, 133.1, 130.1, 129.6, 127.8, 127.4, 123.8, 123.2, 118.4, 117.5, 108.2, 103.9, 97.9, 66.1, 53.5, 44.4, 12.8.HRMS calcd for C₄₈H₄₈N₄O₃P⁺ [M]⁺: 759.3459, found: 759.3088.

Preparation and Characterization of Rh-Py

To a solution of **Rh-2** (160mg, 0.3mmol) dissolved in 15mL of anhydrous CH₃CN, KI (100 mg, 0.6 mmol) and pyridine (287 μ L, 3.6mmol) was added in one portion and the reaction solutionwas heated to reflux for 24 h. Then, the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH = 30:1 to afford **Rh-Py** (100 mg, 0.14 mmol) as a light purple solid. Yield: 46.7%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 10.47 (s, 1H), 8.67 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 6.8 Hz, 3H), 8.18 – 8.06 (m, 2H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.36 (s, 2H), 6.32 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 8H), 1.09 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) δ 163.9, 163.7, 153.5, 151.9, 148.9, 146.9, 134.1, 129.6, 129.1, 128.2, 124.4, 123.2, 108.2, 104.3, 97.5, 65.7, 60.6, 44.1, 12.9.HRMS calcd for C₃₅H₃₈N₅O₃⁺ [M]⁺: 576.2969, found: 576.2685.



Figure S1. Absorption spectra of (left) **Rh-TPP** and (right) **Rh-Py** before and after reaction with various ROS in PBS (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containg 0.1% DMSO). [**Rh-TPP**] = [**Rh-Py**] = 5 μ M, ClO⁻: NaClO (final 50 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. •OH: ferrous perchlorate (500 μ M) and H₂O₂ (1 mM) were added at room temperature. O₂⁻: KO₂ was dissovled in the anhydrous DMSO and then the appropriate aliquot was added (final 100 μ M). H₂O₂: H₂O₂ (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. ONOO⁻ (final 50 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. ONOO⁻ (final 50 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C. HauoOH (final 100 μ M) was added and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C.



Figure S2. Fluorescence spectra of (left) **Rh-TPP** and (right) **Rh-Py** before and after addition of various cations and NaClO in PBS (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containg 0.1% DMSO). [**Rh-TPP**] = [**Rh-Py**] = 5 μ M, [NaClO] = [M]ⁿ⁺ = 50 μ M. Cations: Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cd²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Al³⁺, Mn²⁺, Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺.



Figure S3. The titration curve plotted with the fluorescnece intensity of (a) **Rh-TPP**at 577 nm and (b) **Rh-Pyat** 575 nm as a function of NaClO concentration in range of 0-10 μ M.[**Rh-TPP**] = [**Rh-Py**] = 5 μ M.



Figure S4. The effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity of (a) **Rh-TPP** and (b) **Rh-Py** in the absence or presence of NaClO (50 μ M). [**Rh-TPP**] = [**Rh-Py**] = 5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 540 nm, slit: 3 nm/3 nm.



Figure S5.Temporal profile of fluorescence intensity of **Rh-TPP** (blue line) and **Rh-Py** (red line).NaClO (50 μ M) was added at the 60th second.



Figure S6. Time-dependent change of fluorescence intensity of (a) **Rh-TPP** and (b) **Rh-Py** in the absence (blue line) or presence (red line) of NaClO (50 μ M). [**Rh-TPP**] = [**Rh-Py**] = 5 μ M, $\lambda_{ex} = 540$ nm, slit: 3 nm/3 nm.



Figure S7.ESI spectra of Rh-TPP upon addition of 10 equiv NaClO.



Figure S8.ESI spectra of Rh-Py upon addition of 10 equiv NaClO.



Scheme S2. Reaction mechanism of Rh-TPP/PywithNaClO.



Figure S9Effects of **Rh-TPP** and **Rh-Py** at varied concentrations on the viability of Hela cells. The resultsare the mean standard deviation of three separate measurements.



Figure S10. Confocal imaging of ClO⁻ in HeLa cells with **Rh-TPP**or **Rh-Py**. (a) and (e): bright field images of HeLa cells loaded with 5μ M**Rh-TPP** and **Rh-Py**for 30 min; (b) and (f): the fluorescence images of (a) and (e), respectively; (c) and (g): fluorescence images of (a) and (e) after incubation with NaClO (100 μ M) for 10 min, respectively; (d) and (h): merged images. Bars: 25μ M.

¹H-NMR Spectrum of**Rh-1**in CDCl₃ (400 MHz):



¹³C-NMR Spectrum of **Rh-1** in DMSO- $d_6(100 \text{ MHz})$



¹H-NMR Spectrum of**Rh-2**in DMSO-*d*₆(400 MHz):



¹³C-NMR Spectrum of **Rh-2** in DMSO- d_6 (100 MHz):







¹H-NMR Spectrum of **Rh-Py**in DMSO-*d*₆(400 MHz):



HRMS spectra of Rh-TPP:



HRMS spectra of Rh-Py:



S14