1	Electronic Supplementary Information
2 3	Asymmetric epoxidation of alkene and benzylic hydroxylation with P450tol monooxygenase from <i>Rhodococcus coprophilus</i> TC-2
4	Aitao Li, ^a Shuke Wu, ^a Joseph P. Adams, ^b Radka Snajdrova, ^b Zhi Li ^{a,} *
5 6 7 8 9 10	^a Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, 4 Engineering Drive 4, Singapore, 117576. ^b GlaxoSmithKline R&D Ltd, Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage Hertfordshire SG1 2NY, UK. E-mail: <u>chelz@nus.edu.sg</u> (Z. Li).
11	1. Chemicals
12	2. Strains and Biochemicals
13	3. Analytic methods
14	4. Applications of enantiopure epoxides and benzylic alcohols
15	5. Screening of microorganisms for (<i>R</i>)-enantioselective epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene
16	6. Effect of toluene and benzyl alcohol as carbon sources on enzyme activity
17	7. The gene clusters in the genome of <i>Rhodococcus coprophilus</i> TC-2 for the toluene degradationS8
18	8. Primers used for cloning in this study
19	9. Engineering of recombinant E. coli (P450tol-1) co-expressing P450tol hydroxylase with the native
20	ferredoxin and ferredoxin reductase
21	10. Engineering of recombinant E. coli co-expressing P450tol with different pairs of ferredoxins (FdX)
22	and ferredoxin reductases (FdR)
23	11. Engineering of recombinant E. coli (P450tol-GDH) co-expressing P450tol, FdR_200, FdX_200 and
24	GDHS12
25	12. Sequence alignment of P450tol with several known P450 monooxygenaes
26	13. Cell growth, epoxidation/hydroxylation activity, and SDS–PAGE analysis of recombinant <i>E. coli</i>
27	(P450tol-GDH)
28	14. Engineering of recombinant <i>E. coli</i> (P450terp-GDH) co-expressing P450terp monooxygenase and
29	GDH
30	15. General procedure for the asymmetric epoxidation of alkenes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 with resting
31	cells of <i>E. coli</i> (P450tol-GDH) or <i>E. coli</i> (P450terp-GDH)S16
32	16. General procedure for the asymmetric hydroxylation of ethylbenzene derivatives 17 , 19 , 21 , 23 and
33	25 with resting cells of <i>E. coli</i> (P450tol-GDH)
34	17. General procedure for the asymmetric epoxidation of alkenes 1, 3, 5, 7 and 13 in <i>n</i> -hexadecane
35	/water biphasic system
36	18. Asymmetric hydroxylation of 1-bromo-4-ethylbenzene 21 in resin/water biphatic system
37	19. Chiral HPLC chromatograms
38	20. References

1 1. Chemicals

3-Bromostyrene 1 (98%), 3-chlorostyrene 3 (>98%), 2-(3-chlorophenyl)oxirane 4 (98%), 3-2 (trifluoromethyl)styrene 5 (99%), 2-(trifluoromethyl)styrene 9 (99%), 4-nitrostyrene 11 (>98%), 4-3 4 cyanostyrene 13 (98%), 4-phenyl-1-butene 15 (>98%), ethylbenzene 17 (>98%), 1-phenylethanol 18 5 (98%), 1-chloro-4-ethylbenzene 19 (97%), 1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethanol 20 (97%), 1-bromo-4-ethylbenzene 6 **21** (97%), 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanol **22** (97%), 4-ethylnitrobenzene **23** (98%), *n*-hexadecane (>99%), δ -7 aminolevulinic acid hydrochloride (ALA, >99%) and hydrophobic resin XAD 16 were purchased from 8 Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore. 1-Bromo-2-ethylbenzene 25 (97%) and benzylacetone (>98%) were bought 9 from Alfa Aesar, Singapore. 2-Nitrostyrene 7 (98%), 2-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]oxirane 6 (95%), 2-[2-10 (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]oxirane 10 (95%). 2-(4-cyanophenyl)oxirane 14 (97%). 1 - (4 -11 nitrophenyl)ethanol 24 (95%) and 1-(2-bromophenyl)ethanol 26 (95%) were obtained from Enamine 12 (Kiev, Ukraine). The racemic epoxides 2-(3-bromophenyl)oxirane 2 (98%), 2-(2-nitrophenyl)oxirane 8 (98%), 2-(4-nitrophenyl)oxirane 12 (98%) and 2-(2-phenylethyl)oxirane 16 (98%) were synthesized 13 according to the procedure reported previously. ^[S1] 14

15 **2.** Strains and Biochemicals

The toluene and ethylbenzene-degrading strains used for screening were from the strain collection in our 16 17 lab. The T7 express (NEB) competent Escherichia coli, restriction enzymes and Quick DNA Ligase were purchased from NEB (New England Biolabs). Phusion DNA polymerase was obtained from Thermo 18 19 Scientific, Singapore. The plasmids pRSFDuet1 and pETDuet1 were purchased from Novagen, USA. The 20 genes of P450terp (terpC), terpredoxin reductase (terpA) and terpredoxin (terpB) from Pseudomonas sp.^[S2] (Accession No. M91440.1) were purchased from GenScript, Singapore. Medium components 21 22 Luria-Bertani (LB) premix, tryptone and yeast extract were purchased from Biomed Diagnostics, 23 Singapore. Ampicillin sodium salt (>99%) and kanamycin disulfate salt (>99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore. Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (ITPG, >99%) was obtained from
 Calbiochem, USA.

3 3. Analytic methods

4 3.1 Analysis of the conversion for enzyme catalyzed asymmetric epoxidation and hydroxylation

The concentrations of the alkenes and corresponding epoxides, ethylbenzene derivatives and 5 6 corresponding benzylic alcohols were analyzed by using a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC (Japan) system 7 (reverse phase) on an Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm, Agilent) at 25°C with 8 UV detection at 210 nm. The analytical conditions (flow rate and eluent) and the retention time for each 9 compound were shown in Table S1. The calibration curves of the substrates and products were 10 established by analyzing samples containing both 2 mM internal standard (benzyl alcohol for alkenes and 11 epoxides; benzylacetone for ethylbenzenes and benzylic alcohols) and individual substrate or product at 12 different concentration (2 mM, 4 mM, 6 mM, and 8 mM) in acetonitrile. Based on the calibration curves, the concentrations of the substrates and products in the analytic samples were quantified. 13

14	Table S1. HPLC analysis for alkenes and their corresponding epoxides products as well as ethylbenzene
15	derivatives and their corresponding benzylic alcohols products.

Eluent (acetonitrile:water, v/v)	Flow rate (mL/min)	Substrate	Retention time for substrate (min)	Product	Retention time for product (min)
60:40	0.5	1	16.5	2	8.6
60:40	0.5	3	18.4	4	9.3
60:40	0.5	5	17.0	6	9.1
60:40	0.5	7	8.8	8	6.7
60:40	0.5	9	16.8	10	10.2
60:40	0.5	11	9.2	12	6.1
60:40	0.5	13	7.7	14	5.4
60:40	0.5	15	21.3	16	8.1
60:40	0.5	17	15.5	18	5.2
60:40	0.5	19	22.5	20	5.7
60:40	0.5	21	23.7	22	5.8
60:40	0.5	23	12.5	24	5.1
60:40	0.5	25	24.0	26	6.0

1 3.2 Analysis of the *ee* of the epoxides and benzylic alcohols from biotransformations

The product *ee* from the biotransformation was determined by using a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC (Japan) at 25°C with UV detection at 210 nm. The analysis conditions (flow rate, eluent and chiral columns) and retention times of two enantiomers for each product were summarized in Table S2 (all chiral columns were bought from Daicel Corporation, Japan).

6 **Table S2**. HPLC analysis of the *ee* of chiral epoxides and benzylic alcohols.

Products	Chiral column	Eluent (hexane: IPA, v/v)	Flow rate (ml/min)	Retention time for (<i>R</i>)-enantiomer (min)	Retention time for (S)-enantiomer (min)
2 ^{<i>a</i>}	AS-H	90:10	0.5	12.4	13.0
4 ^a	AS-H	90:10	0.5	11.1	11.8
6 ^c	AS-H	90:10	0.5	9.1	9.7
8 ^b	AS-H	90:10	0.5	13.5	14.8
10 ^{<i>a</i>}	IA-3	100:0	0.5	13.2	14.1
12 ^{<i>a</i>}	AS-H	93:7	1.0	15.2	21.7
14 ^{<i>a</i>}	AD-H	90:10	1.0	8.3	8.6
16 ^{<i>d</i>}	OD-H	98:2	1.0	5.9	7.0
18 ^e	OB-H	98:2	0.8	24.0	14.2
20 ^{<i>e</i>}	OB-H	98:2	0.8	18.1	15.9
22 ^e	OB-H	98:2	0.8	19.8	17.1
24 ^{<i>e</i>}	OB-H	98:2	0.8	57.0	52.7
26 ^{<i>e</i>}	OB-H	98:2	0.8	17.3	12.3

7 ^{*a*} The absolute configuration was established in our previous study. ^[S1, S3]

8 ^b The absolute configuration was deduced from the elution order on the chiral HPLC (Chiralpak AS-H

- 9 column). *R* enantiomer was eluted before the *S* enantiomer. ^[S4]
- 10 ^c The absolute configuration was verified by chiral GC analysis (Lipodex E column) according to the

elution order. For product 6, S enantiomer was eluted before the R enantiomer. $^{[S5]}$

^d The absolute configuration was deduced from the elution order on the chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H

13 column). *R* enantiomer was eluted before the *S* enantiomer. ^[S6]

^e The absolute configuration was deduced from the elution order on the chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OB-H

15 column). S enantiomer was eluted before the R enantiomer. ^[S7]

16

17 18

19

20

1 4. Applications of enantiopure epoxides and benzylic alcohols

Entry	Epoxides	Final product	Applications of final product	Ref.
1	(<i>R</i>)-2	Enantio-riched 1-aryl-2- (1H-1,2,4-triazol-1- yl)ethanols	Antifungal activity for the treatment of topical or inner mycoses	[S8]
2	(<i>R</i>)- 4	β3 Adrenergic receptor agonists	Anti-stress effect for the treatment of diabetes and obesity	[\$9]
3	(<i>R</i>)- 6	β3 Adrenergic receptor agonists	Anti-stress effect for the treatment of diabetes and obesity	[S9]
4	(R)- 8	Benzisoxazolones	Antibacterial and antileukemic activity for treatment of psoriasis and proliferative skin disorders	[S10]
5	(<i>R</i>)- 10	Neurotherapeutic azole compounds	For the treatment of anxiety, depression, obesity, sleep disorder, neuropathic pain, <i>etc.</i>	[S11]
6	(S)- 12	Enantiomerically enriched benzoyl piperidine compounds	For the treatment of psychoses and cognition disorders	[S12]
7	(<i>S</i>)-14	Sphingsine-1-phosaphate receptor agonists	For treatment of autoimmune and vascular diseases	[S13]
8	(<i>R</i>)- 16	1) (\bar{R})-Yashabushiketol; 2) β -Lactone-fused tetrahydrofurans	1) Natural product showing chiral aldol functionality; 2)"Activated aldol products" as versatile intermediates in organic synthesis	[S14] [S6]

Table S3. Applications of enantiopure epoxides as synthetic intermediates

Table S4. Applications of enantiopure benzylic alcohols as synthetic intermediates

Entry	Alcohols	Final product	Applications of final product	Ref.
1	(<i>R</i>)-18	Fendiline derivatives	For cancer treatment	[S15]
2	(<i>R</i>)- 20	Selective histamine H1 antagonist : Clemastine	Anticholinergic and sedative effects for treatment of gastrointestinal disorders and respiratory disorders	[S16]
3	(<i>R</i>)-22	Benzotriazole derivatives (mGluR2 receptor)	For treatment or prevention of neurological and psychiatric disorders	[S17]
4	(<i>R</i>)-24	Antisepsis agent	For the treatment of sepsis and septic shock	[S18]
5	(S)- 26	Fractalkine receptor (CX3CR1) antagonists	For the treatment of multiple sclerosis	[S19]

1 5. Screening of microorganisms for (*R*)-enantioselective epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene

Each isolate of 100 toluene- or ethylbenzene-degrading strains was inoculated from M9 medium^{S20} agar plate into 5 mL LB broth medium and incubated at 30°C and 250 rpm for 12 h. 5 mL LB seed culture were transferred into 100 mL M9 medium in a 250-mL conical shaking flask containing a tube with 1 mL toluene, or ethylbenzene. The culture was shaken at 250 rpm and 30°C for 24-30 h, and the cell growth was monitored by measuring OD at 600 nm. Cells were harvested at early stationary phase by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 20 min, washed twice with 100 mM potassium phosphate (KP) buffer (pH 8.0), and re-suspended to 6 g CDW/L in 1 mL KP buffer containing 1 wt% glucose and 5 mM 3-bromostyrene in a 2-mL culture tube. The mixture was shaken at 1000 rpm and 30°C for 5 h. 0.5 mL sample was taken, mixed with 0.5 mL acetonitrile containing 2 mM benzyl alcohol as internal standard, and the supernatant was separated by centrifugation at 21,000 g for 10 min and then subjected to reversed HPLC analysis. For the determination of product ee, 0.5 mL sample was taken and mixed with 0.5 mL n-hexane, and the organic phase was separated by centrifugation at 21, 000 g for 5 min and used for chiral HPLC analysis.



1 6. Effect of toluene and benzyl alcohol as carbon sources on enzyme activity

Figure S1. a) Biotransformation of indole to indigo with the resting cells of *Rhodococcus coprophilus*TC-2 grown on toluene (left) or benzyl alcohol (right) as carbon source. The blue color in the left bottle
indicates the happening of the oxidation of indole. b) SDS-PAGE analysis of the cell-free extracts (CFE)
of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 grown on toluene or benzyl alcohol as carbon source. Lane M: protein
marker; Lane BA: CFE of cells grown on benzyl alcohol as carbon source; Lane Toluene: CFE of cells
grown on toluene as carbon source.

10

11 **Table S5**. Epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene with resting cells of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 grown on

12 toluene or benzyl alcohol as carbon source

Carbon source	Cell density (g CDW/L) ^a	Specific activity (U/g CDW) ^b	ee (%) ^c
Toluene	1.0	8.8	97.6 (<i>R</i>)
Benzyl alcohol	1.0	-	-

^a Cell density at 24 h growth. CDW, cell dry weight. ^b Activity was tested with 2 mM substrate in 4 mL
cell suspension (6 g CDW/L) of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0)
containing 1 wt% glucose at 30°C and 250 rpm for 20 min. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

The gene clusters in the genome of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 for the toluene degradation

The genome of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 was sequenced and analyzed by BGI-HONG KONG CO., LIMITED. Two gene clusters containing a group of genes responsible for the oxidations of toluene to benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde, and then benzoic acid were identified. One of them was shown in Figure S2 (b), the P450tol monooxygenase in this gene cluster was identified as the responsible enzyme in TC-2 strain for the epoxidation.





17 Figure S2. a) Pathway of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2-catalyzed biotransformation of toluene to benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde and benzoic acid. P450tol monooxygenase consisting of ferredoxin 18 19 reductase (FdR), ferredoxin (FdX) and the hydroxylase (P450tol) catalyzes the initial oxidation of toluene 20 to benzyl alcohol. Benzyl alcohol dehydrogenase (BADH) catalyzes the formation of benzaldehyde, 21 followed by benzaldehyde dehydrogenase (BZDH)-catalyzed oxidation to benzoic acid. b) Gene 22 organization in the gene cluster from the genome of Rhodococcus coprophilus TC-2. badh: gene of 23 benzyl alcohol dehydrogenase; *bzdh*: gene of benzaldehyde dehydrogenase; N.A.: unknown gene; 24 p450tol: gene of P450tol hydroxylase; fdx: gene of ferredoxin; CoA-ligase: gene of CoA-ligase; fdr: gene 25 of ferredoxin reductase.

- 26
- 27

- 2 3 4

8. Primers used for cloning in this study

 Table S6. Primers used for cloning in this study.

P450tol (P)	Forward: CGAGCTCGATGACGACCGTCGAATCGAACACAA (sac I)
	Reverse: CCCAAGCTTTCAGCTGAAGGTGAGGTGTACCGGC (Hind III)
Ferredoxin reductase 967	Forward: GGA <u>AGATCT</u> CATGAACTCGCTAACGGAACCGGCCG (Bgl II)
(FdR_967)	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> TCACGATGCAGCGGGTGAACGTTCTG (Kpn I)
Ferredoxin 965 (FdX_965)	Forward: GGA <u>AGATCT</u> CATGCCTTACGTCGTTTACCATCTCCCCG (Bgl II)
	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> CTACCTACTGTCGACCACACGGACGTG (Kpn I)
Ferredoxin reductase 934	Forward: GGA <u>AGATCT</u> CATGCCCACGCCACATCCTGCACCCAC (Bgl II)
(FdR_934)	Reverse: CGGGGTACCCTACAGAACCGCGTCCCGCAACGATGTGG (Kpn I)
Ferredoxin 936 (FdX_936)	Forward: GGA <u>AGATCT</u> CATGCCCACCGTCGTGTACCAGCTCC (Bgl II)
	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> TCAGTCGGTCGAGGACGGCACAGTC (Kpn I)
Ferredoxin reductase 1500	Forward: GGGAATTCCATATGATCCACACCGGCGTGACCGAAG (Nde I)
(FdR_1500) (from <i>Mycobacterium</i> sp.HXN- 1500)	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> TTAGAGGGAGGTTGGGGACGTTGCGC (Kpn I)
Ferredoxin reductase 200	Forward: GGGAATTCCATATGATCCACACCGGCGTGACCGAAG (Nde I)
(FdR_200) (from	Reverse: CGG <i>GGTACCCTATACT</i> GGCAGCAATTCTTTCAGGGGAAGG (<i>Knn</i> I)
<i>Sphingomonas</i> sp. HXN-200)	
Ferredoxin 200 (FdX_200)	Forward: GAATTC <u>CATATG</u> CCAACAGTGACCTATGTTG (Nde I)
(from <i>Sphingomonas</i> sp. HXN-200)	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> TCAATGCTGCGCGAGAGGAAG (Kpn I)
Glucose dehydrogenase	Forward: GGATTC <u>CCATGG</u> TTTATCCGGATTTAAAAGGAAAAGTC(Nco I)
(GDH)	Reverse: GGATTC <u>CTGCAG</u> TTAACCGCGGCCTGCCTG (Pst I)
P450terp (terpC)	Forward: CGC <u>GGATCCG</u> ATGGACGCT AGAGCCACCA TTCCGG (BamH)
	Reverse: CGAGCTCCTAAGCCTTGGTGAAGCGAATCGGC (SacI)
Terpredoxin reductase	Forward: GGA <u>AGATCT</u> CATGGGGGGAACGTCGAGACACTACG (<i>Bgl</i> II)
(terpA)	Reverse: CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> CTATGCGAAATGAAGGGCGTCTTTC (<i>Xho</i> I)
Terpredoxin (terpB)	Forward: GGAATTC <u>CATATG</u> CCACGTGTCGTGTTTATCG (<i>Nde</i> I)
	Reverse: CGG <u>GGTACC</u> CTAAGCAGGTAGTGGAACCCTGACG (<i>Kpn</i> I)

1 9. Engineering of recombinant *E. coli* (P450tol-1) co-expressing P450tol hydroxylase with

2

the native ferredoxin and ferredoxin reductase

The genomic DNA from *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 was used as the PCR template to amplify the 3 4 P450tol gene (P), ferredoxin gene (FdX_965) and ferredoxin reductase gene (FdR_967), respectively. 5 Primers with corresponding restriction sites for the genes amplification were shown in the Table S6. PCR 6 amplified gene products were digested with their restrictive enzymes. The digested P fragment was 7 ligated into the multiple cloning site (MCS) 1 of the pETDuet1 digested with sac I and Hind III restriction enzymes to give the plasmid pETDuet-P450tol. The digested FdR 967 fragment was then ligated into the 8 9 MCS 2 of plasmid pETDuet-P450tol digested with Bgl II and Kpn I to give the plasmid pETDuet-P450tol-FdR 967. The digested FdX 965 fragment was ligated into the MCS 2 of pRSFDuet1 digested 10 11 with Bgl II and Kpn I, resulting in the plasmid pRSFDuet-FdX_965. The two plasmids were then co-12 transformed into T7 express (NEB) competent E. coli cells which were then plated on LB agar plates containing 50 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin (for pRSFDuet1) and 100 mg mL⁻¹ ampicillin (for pETDuet1). The 13 resulting recombinant E. coli co-expressing P450tol and the native ferredoxin and ferredoxin reductase 14 15 was named as E. coli (P450tol-1).

The *E. coli* (P450tol-1) was inoculated to 3 mL LB medium containing 50 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 100 mg mL⁻¹ ampicillin and grown at 37 °C for 10-12 h. The pre-culture (2 mL) was transferred into 50 mL terrific broth (TB) medium containing kanamycin (50 mg L⁻¹) and ampicillin (100 mg L⁻¹). Cells were grown at 37°C and 250 rpm to OD₆₀₀ about of 0.6~0.8, and then induced by addition of IPTG to a concentration of 0.25 mM (ALA was added to 0.5 mM). The cells continued to grow for another 10–12 h at 22°C. The cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 10 min and used for SDS-PAGE analysis according to a protocol reported previously. ^[S21]

- 23
- 24
- 25

2

M 1 2 3 70 kDa \longrightarrow P450tol 55 kDa \longrightarrow FdR_967 25 kDa \longrightarrow

3

4 **Figure S3**. SDS-PAGE analysis of the polypeptides produced in recombinant *E. coli* (P450tol-1). Lane M:

- protein marker; Lane 1: supernatant of cell lysate; Lane 2: precipitate of cell lysate; Lane 3: cell lysate of *E. coli* cells without IPTG addition.
- 7

8 10. Engineering of recombinant *E. coli* co-expressing P450tol with different pairs of 9 ferredoxins (FdX) and ferredoxin reductases (FdR)

10 The P450tol hydroxylase was co-expressed with different pairs of ferredoxins and ferredoxin reductases 11 (Table S7). The genomes of Rhodococcus coprophilus TC-2 and Sphingomonas sp. HXN-200 were used 12 as the templates to amplify ferredoxin reductases (FdR 967, FdR 934 and FdR 200) and ferredoxins (FdX_965, FdX_934 and FdX_200). Meanwhile, plasmid pRSFDuet1-GDH-Fdx ^[S22] was used for the 13 amplification of ferredoxin reductase FdR_1500 gene. The recombinant E. coli cells were engineered 14 15 with the same plasmids configurations described above (section 9), which resulted in four different 16 recombinants (Table S7). Among the four recombinants, the recombinant E. coli (P450tol-4) co-17 expressing P450tol with the FdR_200 and FdX_200 from Sphingomonas sp. HXN-200 showed the 18 highest activity for epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene.

Specific Ferredoxin Conv. **Recombinants** Ferredoxin Sources of reductase activity $(\%)^{b}$ **Reductase** $(U/g CDW)^a$ E. coli (P450tol-1) FdR 967 FdX 965 Rhodococcus coprophilus 0.52 31 TC-2 FdX 936 E. coli (P450tol-2) FdR 934 Rhodococcus coprophilus 1.7 43 TC-2 *E. coli* (P450tol-3) FdR 1500 FdX 200 Mycobacterium sp.HXN-1500/ Sphingomonas sp. 2.5 77 HXN-200 *E. coli* (P450tol-4) FdR 200 FdX_200 Sphingomonas sp. HXN-3.0 90 200 E. coli (P450tol-FdR_200 FdX_200 Sphingomonas sp. HXN-5.8 >99 GDH) 200

Table S7. Effect of co-expression of different pairs of ferredoxins and ferredoxin reductases in
 recombinant *E. coli* on epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene.

^a Activity was tested with 2 mM substrate in 4 mL cell suspension (4 g CDW/L) of recombinant *E. coli*cells in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 1 wt% glucose at 30°C and 250 rpm for 20 min. ^b
Determined by HPLC analysis.

7

1

8

9 11. Engineering of recombinant E. coli (P450tol-GDH) co-expressing P450tol, FdR_200,

10 **FdX_200 and GDH**

The glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) gene was amplified using the genome of *Bacillus subtilis* as the template. The recombinant *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH) co-expressing P450tol, FdR_200, FdX_200 and GDH was then constructed based on the dual plasmid configurations (Figure 1a in main text). The activity of the *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH) was then measured. As shown in Table S7, compared with *E. coli* (P450tol-4), nearly two-fold improvement in epoxidation activity was achieved by *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH).

17

1 12. Sequence alignment of P450tol with several known P450 monooxygenaes

2	P450tol	MTTVESNTTAAIPDEIARQIVLPEGHKDNVPLFEAYRWLRENQPLGQARVEGY	53
3	P450terp	MDARATIPEHIARTVILPQGYADDEVIYPAFKWLRDEQPLAMAHIEGY	48
4	P450pyr	MEHTGQSAAATMPLDSIDVSIPELFYNDSVGEYFKRLRKDDPVHYCADSAF	51
5	P450cam	MTTETIQSNANLAPLPPHVPEHLVFDFDMYNPSNLSAGVQEAWAVLQESNVPDLVWTRCN	60
6		*.:* . : : : : *::	
7			
8	P450tol	DPLWLITKYADLMEVEROPOIFAAGGGEDKGSNNPILANOAGDEFTROLLGGNLRILD	111
9	P450terp	DPMWIATKHADVMOIGKOPGLFSNAEGSEILYDONNEAFMRSISGGCPHVID	100
10	P450pvr	GPYWSITKYNDIMHVDTNHDIFSSDAGYGGIIIDDGIOKGGDGGLDLP	99
11	P450cam	GGHWIATRGOLIREAYEDYRHFSSECPFIPREAGEAYDFIP	101
12		· * *: · · *: * ·	
13			
14	P450tol	ALPYLDOPEHSVVKDVAFDWFRPANLKKWEDRIRETARASIDRLLAGGPDLDAVOEFAVF	171
15	P450terp	SLTSMDPPTHTAYRGLTLNWFOPASIRKLEENIRRIAOASVORLLDFDGECDFMTDCALY	160
16	P450pvr	SETAMDRPRHDEORKAVSPTVAPANLAALEGTIRERVSKTLDGLPVG-EEFDWVDRVSTE	1.5.8
17	P450cam		158
18	1 1000041		100
19			
20	P450tol	FPI.RVIMSI.FGVPEEDEPRMMAI.TODFFGVADPDAORDDIEAI.SPDAAAOOWAATIADFY	231
21	P450terp	YPLHVVMTALGVPEDDEPLMLKLTODFFGVHEPDEOAVAAPROSADEAARRFHETIATFY	220
22	P450pvr	TTTOMIATIFDFPFEERRKITRWSDVTTAAPGGGVVESWDORKTELLECA	208
23	P450cam	FPIRIFMLLAGLPEEDIPHLKYLTDOMTRPDGSMTFAEAKEALY	202
24		······································	
25			
26	P450tol	AYFDVLVESRRA-EPRDDLATLIAVAKDENGEYFPKTFAYGWFVAIATAGHDTTASTLAG	290
27	P450terp	DYFNGFTVDRRS-CPKDDVMSLLANSK-LDGNYIDDKYINAYYVAIATAGHDTTSSSSGG	278
28	P450pyr	AYFOVLWNERVNKDPGNDLISMLAHSP-ATRNMTPEEYLG-NVLLLIVGGNDTTRNSMTG	266
29	P450cam	DYLIPIIEORRO-KPGTDAISIVANGO-VNGRPITSDEAKRMCGLLLVGGLDTVVNFLSF	260
30		*: : .* * * :::*	
31			
32	P450tol	CLQSLAAHPEVLDRVKGDPDLIPDLVNESLRIVSPVKHFTRVALQDYEMRGQKIKAGDRL	350
33	P450terp	AIIGLSRNPEQLALAKSDPALIPRLVDEAVRWTAPVKSFMRTALADTEVRGQNIKRGDRI	338
34	P450pyr	GVLALHKNPDQFAKLKANPALVETMVPEIIRWQTPLAHMRRTAIADSELGGKTIRKGDKV	326
35	P450cam	SMEFLAKSPEHRQELIERPERIPAACEELLRRFSLVADG-RILTSDYEFHGVQLKKGDQI	319
36		: * *: * : * : * * * : * * :: **::	
37			
38	P450tol	MLLFQSGNRDAEVFDRPDDFDIDR-RPNKHIAFGYGPHMCIGQHLAKLELKVMLQELLPH	409
39	P450terp	MLSYPSANRDEEVFSNPDEFDITR-FPNRHLGFGWGAHMCLGQHLAKLEMKIFFEELLPK	397
40	P450pyr	VMWYYSGNRDDEVIDRPEEFIIDRPRPRQHLSFGFGIHRCVGNRLAEMQLRILWEEILTR	386
41	P450cam	LLPQMLSGLDERENACPMHVDFSR-QKVSHTTFGHGSHLCLGQHLARREIIVTLKEWLTR	378
42		:: * . * : * * * * * * * * * * : : : :	
43			
44	P450tol	LERVEVS-GEPKLIQTNFVGGLRKLPVHLTFS 440	
45	P450terp	LKSVELS-GPPRLVATNFVGGPKNVPIRFTKA 428	
46	P450pyr	FSRIEVM-AEPERVRSNIVRGYAKMMVRVHA 416	
47	P450cam	IPDFSIAPGAQIQHKSGIVSGVQALPLVWDPATTKAV 415	
48		:: . :.:* * ::	
49			

Figure S4. Sequence alignment of P450tol with several known cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (the
multiple alignment by ClustalW2). P450tol, P450 from *Rhodococcus coprophilus* TC-2 (this study);
P450terp, P450 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (UniProt: U919J5); P450pyr, P450 from *Sphingomonas*sp. HXN-200 (UniProt: Q5F4D9); P450cam, P450 from *Pseudomonas putida* (UniProt: M5b4l7). "*": the
identical amino acids; ".": similar amino acids; ":": highly similar amino acids.

Based on the amino acid sequence, P450tol shows 47% similarity with P450terp, 26% similarity with P450pyr and 24% similarity with P450cam.

3

2

4 13. Cell growth, epoxidation/hydroxylation activity, and SDS–PAGE analysis of 5 recombinant *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)

E. coli (P450tol-GDH) was grown in 25 mL LB medium containing 50 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 100 mg 6 7 mL⁻¹ ampicillin in 125-mL flask at 37 °C for 10-12 h, the pre-culture (2 mL) was then inoculated into 50 mL TB medium containing kanamycin (50 mg L⁻¹) and ampicillin (100 mg L⁻¹) in multiple 250-mL 8 9 flasks. Cells were grown at 37°C and 250 rpm to OD₆₀₀ about of 0.6~0.8 and then induced by adding 10 IPTG to final concentration of 0.25 mM (ALA was added to 0.5 mM). At different time points, samples 11 were taken for cell density measurement at 600 nm. To determine the cell activity, each time a whole 12 flask containing 50 mL cell culture was taken, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 10 min 13 and re-suspended in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 1wt% glucose for activity test.

Activity test: freshly prepared *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH) cells were diluted by KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 1 wt% glucose to 4 mL with a cell density of 4 g CDW/L. And then 16 μL 0.5 M 3bromostyrene (or 1-bromo-4-ethylbenzene) in ethanol was added to the cell suspension in the flask to a concentration of 2 mM. The reaction was carried out at 30°C, 250 rpm for 20 min. After reaction, 4 mL acetonitrile containing 2 mM benzyl alcohol (2 mM benzylacetone for hydroxylation) as internal standard was added to the flask and mixed with the sample. 1 mL mixture was taken and centrifuged at 21, 000 g for 10 min, 0.5 mL supernatant was separated and subjected to reverse HPLC analysis.

E. coli (P450tol-GDH) cells cultivated for 14 h were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 10
 min and used for SDS–PAGE analysis. The result was shown in Figure 1b in main text.



1

Figure S5. Cell growth and activity curves of *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH). (■) Cell density; (◆) activity
for epoxidation of 3-bromostyrene 1; (▲) activity for hydroxylation of 1-bromo-4-ethylbenzene 21.

4 14. Engineering of recombinant *E. coli* (P450terp-GDH) co-expressing P450terp 5 monooxygenase and GDH

6 The synthetic genes from the company were used as templates. The genes of P450terp (terpC), 7 terpredoxin reductase (terpA) and terpredoxin (terpB) were re-amplified using the corresponding primers 8 listed in Table S6. The fragments were digested with restriction enzymes and inserted into the plasmids 9 with the same configurations for expression of P450tol monooxygenase (Figure 1a in main text). The 10 resulted plasmids pETDuet-P450terp-terpA and pRSFDuet-GDH-terpB were co-transformed into T7 11 express (NEB) competent *E. coli* cells, and the recombinant was selected on LB agar plates containing 50 12 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 100 mg mL⁻¹ ampicillin. This gave the recombinant *E. coli* (P450terp-GDH).

The *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH) was inoculated to 3 mL LB medium containing 50 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 100 mg mL⁻¹ ampicillin and grown at 37 °C for 10-12 h. The pre-culture (2 mL) was transferred into 50 mL TB medium containing kanamycin (50 mg L⁻¹) and ampicillin (100 mg L⁻¹). Cells were grown at 37°C and 250 rpm to OD_{600} about of 0.6~0.8, and then induced by addition of IPTG to a concentration of 0.25 mM (ALA was added to 0.5 mM). The cells continued to grow for another 10–12 h at 22°C. The
 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 10 min and used for SDS-PAGE analysis.

3

4





Figure S6. SDS-PAGE analysis of cell-free extracts of *E. coli* recombinants. Lane M: protein marker;
Lane 1: *E. coli* (P450terp-GDH); Lane 2: *E. coli* containing dual plasmids pETDuet/pRSFDuet as a negative control.

9 15. General procedure for the asymmetric epoxidation of alkenes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15

10 with resting cells of *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH) or *E. coli* (P450terp-GDH)

11 To 4 mL cell suspension (10 g CDW/L) of E. coli (P450tol-GDH) [or E. coli (P450terp-GDH)] in KP 12 buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 1wt% glucose was added 16 µL 0.5 M alkene substrate (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15) in ethanol to give a final concentration of 2 mM. The reaction was conducted at 30° C and 13 14 250 rpm in a 100-mL flask with screw cap for 5 h. After reaction, 200 µL sample was taken, mixed with $200 \,\mu\text{L}$ *n*-hexane, the supernatant was separated by centrifugation 21, 000 g for 10 min and then subjected 15 16 to chiral HPLC analysis for the determination of product *ee*. Acetonitrile 3.8 mL containing 2 mM benzyl 17 alcohol as internal standard was added to the flask and mixed with the remained 3.8 mL sample. 1 mL mixture was taken, and centrifuged at 21, 000 g for 10 min, 0.5 mL supernatant was then subjected to 18 19 reverse HPLC analysis.

16. General procedure for the asymmetric hydroxylation of ethylbenzene derivatives 17, 19,

2

21, 23 and 25 with resting cells of *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)

To 4 mL cell suspension (10 g CDW/L) of E. coli (P450tol-GDH) in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) 3 4 containing 1wt% glucose was added 0.5 M ethylbenzenes substrate (40 µL for 17, 24 µL for 19 and 21, 5 16 μL for 23 and 25) in ethanol to give a final concentration of 5 mM for 17, 3 mM for 19 and 21, 2 mM 6 for 23 and 25, respectively. The reaction was conducted in a 100-mL flask with screw cap at 30°C and 7 250 rpm for appropriate time (8 h for 17, 5 h for 19, 21 and 23, 10 h for 25). After reaction, 200 μL 8 sample was taken, mixed with 200 µL ethyl acetate, and centrifuged at 21,000 g for 10 min, the organic 9 phase was separated and then completely evaporated. The residue was dissolved in 200 µL n-hexane and 10 the sample was then subjected to chiral HPLC analysis. Acetonitrile 3.8 mL containing 2 mM 11 benzylacetone as internal standard was added to the reaction flask and mixed with the remained 3.8 mL 12 sample. 1 mL mixture was taken and centrifuged at 21, 000 g for 10 min, and 0.5 mL supernatant was subjected to reverse HPLC analysis. 13

17. General procedure for the asymmetric epoxidation of alkenes 1, 3, 5, 7 and 13 in n-14 15 hexadecane /water biphasic system

16 To 2 mL cell suspension (10 g CDW/L) of E. coli (P450tol-GDH) in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 1wt% glucose was added 1 mL n-hexadecane containing 40 mM alkene substrate (1, 3, 5, 7 17 and 13) (based on the volume of aqueous phase) as a second phase. The reaction was carried out in a 100-18 19 mL flask with screw cap at 30°C and 250 rpm for 12 h. After reaction, 100 µL aliquot was taken, and the 20 organic phase was separated by centrifuged at 21,000 g for 5 min. Acetonitrile 40 μ L was added to 10 μ L 21 organic phase and mixed with 50 µL acetonitrile containing 2 mM benzyl alcohol as internal standard, the 22 mixture was then subjected to reversed HPLC analysis. For the determination of product ee, 20 µL organic phase was mixed with 80 µL *n*-hexane, and the mixture was used for chiral HPLC analysis. 23

2 18. Asymmetric hydroxylation of 1-bromo-4-ethylbenzene 21 in resin/water biphatic system

To 5 mL cell suspension (10 g CDW/L) of the E. coli (P450tol-GDH) in KP buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) 3 4 containing 1wt% glucose were added 0.21 g resin XAD16 and 70 mM substrate (based on the volume of aqueous phase). The reaction was conducted in 100-mL flask with screw cap at 30°C and 250 rpm for 12 5 6 h. After reaction, for determination of product concentration in aqueous phase, 0.5 mL acetonitrile 7 containing 2 mM benzylacetone as internal standard was mixed with 0.5 mL reaction mixture, followed 8 by centrifugation at 21, 000 g for 10 min, and 0.5 mL supernatant was subjected to reversed HPLC 9 analysis. For the determination of the amount of product absorbed by the resin, the resin was separated by 10 sedimentation and the product was extracted by adding 5 mL methanol then shaking at 30°C and 250 rpm 11 for 10 min. The same procedure was repeated 4 times. The four portions of methanol were combined, 0.5 12 mL acetonitrile containing 2 mM benzyl alcohol as internal standard was mixed with 0.5 mL methanol extract, the mixture was centrifuged at 21,000 g for 10 min and 0.5 mL supernatant was then subjected to 13 reversed HPLC analysis. To determine the product ee, 0.5 mL sample from aqueous phase was taken, 14 15 mixed with 0.5 mL ethyl acetate, and centrifuged at 21,000 g for 10 min, the organic phase was separated 16 and then completely evaporated. The residue was dissolved in 200 μ L *n*-hexane and the sample was 17 subjected to chiral HPLC analysis.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- ___
- 24

1 19. Chiral HPLC chromatograms







- Figure S8. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 2-(3-chlorophenyl)oxirane 4: racemic
 standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed epoxidation (lower).
- 6
- -
- 7



Figure S9. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 2-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]oxirane 6:
 racemic standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed epoxidation (lower).

- 6
- 7









Figure S11. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 2-[2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]oxirane 10:
 racemic standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed epoxidation (lower).

















Figure S14. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 2-(2-phenylethyl)oxirane 16: racemic
 standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed epoxidation (lower).







Figure S15. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 1-phenylethanol 18: racemic standard (upper)
and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed hydroxylation (lower).











Figure S17. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanol 22: racemic
 standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed hydroxylation (lower).

5



Figure S18. Chiral HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of 1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol 24: racemic
 standard (upper) and the product from *E. coli* (P450tol-GDH)-catalyzed hydroxylation (lower).





1 **20. References**

- 2 [S1] A. T. Li, J. Liu, S. Q. Pham and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 11572.
- 3 [S2] J. A. Peterson, J. Y. Lu, J. Geisselsoder, S.Graham-Lorence, C. Carmona, F. Witney and M. C.
 4 Lorence, *J. Biol. Chem.* 1992, 267, 14193.
- 5 [S3] S. K. Wu, A. T. Li, Y. S. Chin and Z. Li, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 752.
- 6 [S4] R. M. Haak, F. Berthiol, T. Jerphagnon, A. J. A. Gayet, C. Tarabiono, C. P. Postema, V. Ritleng, M.
- Pfeffer, D. B. Janssen, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa and J. G. de Vries, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130,
 13508.
- 9 [S5] J. Deregnaucourt, A. Archelas, F. Barbirato, J.-M. Paris and R. Furstoss, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2007,
 349, 1405.
- 11 [S6] K. A. Morris, K. M. Arendt, S. H. oh and D. Romo, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3764
- 12 [S7] J. Wang, H. Liu, D. M. Du, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2009, 20, 605.
- [S8] S. Z. Xu, Z. H. Ming, J. Y. Yang, S. Yang, M. W. Ding, W. J. Xiao, *Chinese J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 30, 285.
- [S9] K. Hattori, M. Nagano, T. Kato, I. Nakanishi, K. Imai, T. Kinoshita and K. Sakane, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 5, 2821.
- 17 [S10] W. Wierenga, A. W. Harrison, B. R. Evans and C. G. Chidester, J. Org. Chem. 49, 438.
- [S11] Y. M. Choi, C. G. Kim, Y. S. Kang, H. J. Yi, H. S. Lee, B. C. Ku, E. H. Lee, D. J. Im, WO
 2006/112685 A1.
- [S12] Y. M. Choi, Y. K. Kim, J. U. Yoo, E. A. Peak, C. E. Park, S. Y. Seo, C. M. Chuang, J. Heo, US
 2004/0044033 A1.
- 22 [S13] J. L. Gilmore, J. E. Sheppeck, WO 2011/017578 A1.
- 23 [S14] G. Solladié, C. Ziani-Chérif and F. Jesser, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 931.
- 24 [S15] J. F. Hancock, D. Vander Hoeven, G. V. Holland, D. Jain, WO 2014/031755 A1

- [S16] A. M. Fournier, R. A. Brown, W. Farnaby, H. Miyatake-Ondozabal and J. Clayden, *Org. Lett.* 2010,
 12, 222.
- 3 [S17] D. C. Beshore, V. Dudkin, R. M. Garbaccio, A. W. Johnson, S. D. Kuduk, J. W. Skudlarek, C.
 4 Wang and M. E. Fraley, US 2012/0135977A1.
- [S18] M. Yamada, T. Ichikawa, T. Yamano, F. Kikumoto, Y. Nishikimi, N. Tamura and T. Kitazaki, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2006, 54, 58.
- 7 [S19] S. Karlström, G. Nordvall, D. Sohn, A, Hettman, D. Turek, K. Åhlin, A. Kers, M. Claesson, C.
- 8 Slivo, Y. Lo-Alfredsson, C. Petersson, G. Bessidskaia, P. H. Svensson, T. Rein, E. Jerning, Å.
- 9 Malmberg, C. Ahlgen, C. Ray, L. Vares, V. Ivanov and R. Johansson, J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 3177.
- 10 [S20] Y. Z. Chen, F. Lie and Z. Li, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2107.
- 11 [S21] W. Zhang, W. L. Tang, Z. Wang and Z. Li, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 3380.
- 12 [S22] S. Q. Pham, P. F. Gao and Z. Li, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2013, **110**, 363.
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16