Supporting Information

The influence of S-to-S bridge in diiron dithiolate models on the oxidation reaction: A mimic of the H_{ox}^{air} state of [FeFe]-hydrogenases

Dehua Zheng,^{*a*} Mei Wang,^{*a*} Lin Chen,^{*a*} Ning Wang,^{*a*,*b*} Minglun Cheng^{*a*} and Licheng Sun^{*a*,*c*}

 ^aState Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, DUT-KTH Joint Education and Research Centre on Molecular Devices, Dalian University of Technology (DUT), Dalian 116024, China. E-mail: symbueno@dlut.edu.cn
 ^bSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, HenanUniversity of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001, China
 ^cDepartment of Chemistry, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm10044, Sweden

Experimental Section

Materials and instruments

Materials. Preparation of $(\mu$ -cpdt)Fe₂(CO)₆, **1** and **2** was carried out under dry oxygen-free dinitrogen with standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried and distilled prior to use according to the standard methods. The reagents PMe₃ and Cp₂Co were purchased from Aldrich and LiB(H)Et₃ from Acros. The other reagents such as cyclopentanone, Fe(CO)₅, FcBF₄, FcPF₆, and Cp₂Fe were purchased from local companies. All reagents were used as received. Compounds *cis*-2,5-dibromocyclopentanone, ^{S1}Fe₂(SLi)₂(CO)₆, ^{S2} and FcBAr^F₄ were synthesized according to the literature procedures.^{S3,S4}

Instruments. Infrared spectra were recorded with a JASCO FT/IR 430 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were collected with a varian INOVA 400 NMR spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a HP1100LC/MSD. Elemental analyses were performed with a Thermoquest-Flash EA 1112 elemental analyzer.

Synthesis

Synthesis of $(\mu$ -cpdt)Fe₂(CO)₆ (cpdt = cyclopentanone-2,5-dithiolate). Compound *cis*-2,5-dibromocyclopentanone (0.72 g, 3.0 mmol) was added to a freshly prepared Fe₂(SLi)₂(CO)₆ (~3 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at -78 °C with stirring under the protection of nitrogen. The color of the solution immediately turned from dark green to red. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then the bottle was removed from the cooling bath. After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:1, *V/V*) as eluent. Yield of (μ -cpdt)Fe₂(CO)₆: 35% (0.45 g). IR (CH₂Cl₂): *v*(CO) 2076 (m), 2036 (s), 1997 (m), 1731 (w) cm⁻¹. MS (API-ESI in CHCl₃): *m*/*z* 426.3 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. for C₁₁H₆Fe₂O₇S₂: *m*/*z* 425.8). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.18 (s br, 2H), δ 2.06 (d br, 2H), δ 1.50 (d br, 2H).



Synthesis of 1 and 2. The solution of PMe₃ (3.0 M, 1.3 mL, 4.0 mmol) in hexane was added to a toluene solution (20 mL) of $(\mu$ -cpdt)Fe₂(CO)₆ (0.43 g, 1.0 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was refluxed for 8 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:3, *V/V*) as eluent. Pure complexes 1 and 2 were obtained as red needle crystals by recrystallization of crude products in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (3:2, *V/V*), respectively.



Yield of **1**: 37% (0.19 g). IR (CH₂Cl₂): v(CO) 1986 (m), 1958 (s), 1913 (m), 1718 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.00 (s br, 2H), 1.90 (d br, 2H), δ 1.50–1.56 (m, 20H). ³¹P NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.20, 27.78. Anal. calcd. for C₁₅H₂₄Fe₂O₅S₂P₂: C, 35.51%; H, 4.63%; Found: C, 35.40%; H, 4.59%.

Yield of **2**: 52% (0.25 g). IR (CH₂Cl₂): v(CO) 2043 (m), 1986 (s), 1967 (m), 1715 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.11 (s br, 2H), 1.96 (d br, 2H), δ 1.50–1.55 (m, 11H). ³¹P NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 25.17. Anal. calcd. for C₁₃H₁₅Fe₂O₆S₂P: C, 32.94%; H, 3.19%; Found: C, 33.11%; H, 3.14%.

Synthesis of [1(OH)]BAr^F₄. Ferricinium salt [Cp₂Fe](BAr^F₄) (Ar^F = 3,5-C₆H₃(CF₃)₂) (420 mg, 0.4 mmol) and H₂O (10 µL) were added to the solution of 1 (100 mg, 0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) under nitrogen. The solution changed immediately from red to dark green. After the mixture was stirred for 15 min, 90 mL of hexane was added. The suspension was stirred for 5 min and the green precipitate was filtered. The solid was washed with hexane (2 × 10 mL) and dried under vacuum. Yield of [1(OH)]BAr^F₄: 88% (0.25 g). The single crystal was obtained from a saturated solution of [1(OH)]BAr^F₄ in CH₂Cl₂/C₆H₁₄ (1/4, *V/V*) at room temperature. IR (CH₂Cl₂): *v*(CO) 2053 (m), 2014 (s), 1959 (m) cm⁻¹. ³¹P NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 31.07, 11.54. API-ESI-MS (in CH₂Cl₂): *m*/*z* 538.9282 [M–BAr^F₄]⁺ (calcd. for C₁₅H₂₅Fe₂O₆P₂S₂: *m*/*z* 538.9267). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.75 (s, 1H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 2.95 (s, 1H), 2.32 (s, 2H), 2.01 (s, 1H), δ 1.64–1.73 (2s, 18H).

X-Ray structure determination of 1, 2 and [1(OH)]BAr^F₄

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected with an Bruker Smart Apex II

CCD diffractometer with agraphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.071073$ Å) at 298 K using the ω -2 θ scan mode. Data processing was accomplished with the SAINT processing program.^{S5} Intensity data were corrected for absorption by the SADABS program.^{S6} All structures were solved by direct methods and refined on F^2 against full-matrix least-squares methods by using the SHELXTL 97 program package.^{S7}All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were located by geometrical calculation. Details of crystal data, data collections and structure refinements are summarized in Tables S1 and S2. CCDC-798238 (1), 798239 (2) and -926091 ([1(OH)]BAr^F₄) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Complex	[1] ₂	2	[1(OH)]BAr ^F ₄ CH ₂ Cl ₂
Formula	$C_{30}H_{48}Fe_4O_{10}P_4S_4\\$	$C_{13}H_{15}Fe_2O_6PS_2$	$C_{48}H_{39}BCl_2F_{24}Fe_2O_6P_2S_2$
$F_{ m w}$	1044.20	474.04	1487.26
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1	P2(1)/c
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.158(4)	8.9553(15	12.6932(8
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.393(5)	10.2137(16)	18.5417(14)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.576(6)	11.056(3)	25.8275(18)
α (deg)	92.450(6)	105.403(3)	90.00
β (deg)	92.727(6)	93.507(3)	91.440(4)
γ (deg)	95.945(6)	112.215(2)	90.00
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	2195.7(14)	887.6(3)	6076.7(7)
Ζ	2	4	4
D_{calcd} (g cm ⁻³)	1.579	1.774	1.626
Crystal size (mm)	0.3/ 0.3 / 0.2	0.32/ 0.29 / 0.2	0.25/ 0.18 / 0.12
μ (mm ⁻¹)	1.697	1.985	0.805
θ Range (deg)	2.02 / 24.69	1.94 / 25.0	2.08 / 25.0
Reflns collected	10766	3080	23223
Independent reflns	7730	3028	10260
Parameters refined	469	217	788
<i>F</i> (000)	1072	480	2976
GOF on F^2	0.96	1.011	1.087
R _{int}	0.049	0.0250	0.0677
$R_1\left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]^a$	0.0686	0.0390	0.0935
$wR_2 \left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]^b$	0.1733	0.1078	0.2370
Residual electron density (e $Å^{-3}$)	0.899 / 0.459	1.125 / 0.629	0.918 / 0.737

Table S1Crystallographic data processing parameters for 1, 2, and $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F}$

^{*a*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||$. ^{*b*} $wR_2 = [\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma w(F_0^2)^2]^{1/2}$.

Complex	1	2	$[1(OH)]BAr_4^{F}$
Bond lengths			
Fe1–Fe2	2.5748(18)	2.5369(7)	2.6202(18)
Fe1–S1	2.252 (3)	2.2438(11)	2.216(2)
Fe1–S2	2.251 (3)	2.2552(10)	2.194(2)
Fe2–S1	2.260 (3)	2.2688(10)	2.292(2)
Fe2–S2	2.264 (3)	2.2678(9)	2.333(2)
Fe1–P1	2.237 (3)	2.2300(10)	2.272(3)
Fe2–P2	2.225 (3)	_	2.264(2)
Fe1–C6	1.784 (10)	1.770(4)	1.760(12)
Fe1–C7	1.759 (10)	1.770(4)	1.804(11)
Fe1–C9	_	_	2.609
Fe2–C8	1.755(10)	1.189(4)	1.828(10)
Fe2–C9	1.734(10)	1.797(4)	1.769(10)
Fe2–O1	3.717	3.715	1.928(5)
SS	3.019	3.3035	3.114
01…08	3.023	3.027	3.530
Bond angles			
S1–Fe1–S2	84.02(9)	84.85(3)	89.83(9)
Fe1–S1–Fe2	69.60(8)	68.41(3)	71.05(7)
S1–Fe2–O1	_	_	85.31(18)
S2–Fe2–O1	_	_	84.39(16)
Fe1–C9–Fe2	_	_	70.6
S1–Fe2–C8	104.4(3)	_	171.0(2)
S2–Fe2–C8	108.2(3)	_	87.1(2)
S1–Fe2–C9	90.3(3)	_	95.7(3)
Torsional angle			
C6–Fe1–Fe2–C8	34.1	28.3	0
S1–Fe1–Fe2–S2	108.4	107.5	115.7

Table S2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1, 2, and [1(OH)]BAr^F₄

Electrochemistry studies of 1 and [1(OH)]BAr^F₄

Cyclic voltammograms were carried out in a three-electrode cell under Ar using CHI 630D electrochemical work station. The working electrode was a glassy carbon disc (diameter 3 mm) polished with 3 and 1 μ m diamond pastes and sonicated in ion-free water for 15 min prior to use. The reference electrode was a non-aqueous Ag⁺/Ag (0.01 M AgNO₃ in CH₃CN) electrode and the counter electrode was platinum wire. A solution of 0.1 M *n*Bu₄NPF₆ (Fluka, electrochemical grade) in CH₂Cl₂ was used as supporting electrolyte, which was degassed by bubbling with dry argon for 10 min before measurement. The ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc) couple was used as an internal reference and all potentials given in this work are referred to the Fc^{+/0} potential.

Chemical reduction of [1(OH)]BAr^F₄

The process of chemical reduction of $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F}$ was monitored by in situ IR spectroscopy using a Mettler-Toledo ReactIRTM 15 System equipped with an MCT detector and a DsubAgXSiCompTM in situ probe. Two equiv. of Cp₂Co was added to the solution of $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F}$ (14 mg, 0.01 mmol), which was in situ generated in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). All typical ν (CO) absorptions of $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F}$ disappeared within 15 min and in the meantime, the ν (CO) bands of 1 almost completely recovered in the IR spectra (Fig. S4[†]).

References

- S2 P. A. Eldredge, K. S. Bos, D. E. Barber, R. F. Bryan, E. Sinn, A. Rheingold and B. A. Averillm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2365–2375.
- S3 M. Brookhart, B. Grant and Jr. A. F. Volpe, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 3920–3922.
- S4 J. L. Bras, H. Jiao, W. E. Meyer, F. Hampel and J. A. Gladysz, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000,

S1 M. Boelens, N. De Kimpe, M. Keppens and J.-P. Declercq, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 4170–4171.

616, 54–66

- S5 G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXTL97 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structure*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- S6 Software packages SMART and SAINT, Siemens Energy & Automation Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, 1996.
- S7 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS Absorption Correction Program, University of Gätingen, Germany, 1996.



Fig. S1 ORTEP drawing of 2 with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity except the hydrogen atoms at the C(11) and C(12).



Fig. S2 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F}$ (1.0 mM) in CH₂Cl₂ and (b) $[1(OH)]BAr_{4}^{F} + 1$ equiv. of CF₃COOH in the presence of ferrocene as an internal standard, with 0.1 M *n*Bu₄NPF₆ as electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S3 A view of the O–H \cdot O bonds between two molecules of $[1(OH)]^+$. The structure is represented as standard ball and stick mode (O, red, small ball; H, sky-blue; C, grey; P, purple; S, yellow; Fe, red). Hydrogen atoms not involving in H-bond are omitted for clarity.



Fig. S4 Sample-stacked IR spectra for the reduction of the in situ generated [1(OH)]BAr^F₄ (5 mM in CH₂Cl₂) by 2 equiv. of Cp₂Co.